

Phase II Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Green Tea Extract in Patients with High-Risk Oral Premalignant Lesions

Anne S. Tsao,¹ Diane Liu,² Jack Martin,³ Xi-ming Tang,^{1,4} J. Jack Lee,² Adel K. El-Naggar,⁴ Ignacio Wistuba,^{1,4} Kirk S. Culotta,⁵ Li Mao,¹ Ann Gillenwater,⁶ Yuko M. Sagesaka,⁷ Waun K. Hong¹ and Vassiliki Papadimitrakopoulou¹

Abstract

Epidemiologic and preclinical data support the oral cancer prevention potential of green tea extract (GTE). We randomly assigned patients with high-risk oral premalignant lesions (OPL) to receive GTE at 500, 750, or 1,000 mg/m² or placebo thrice daily for 12 weeks, evaluating biomarkers in baseline and 12-week biopsies. The OPL clinical response rate was higher in all GTE arms ($n = 28$; 50%) versus placebo ($n = 11$; 18.2%; $P = 0.09$) but did not reach statistical significance. However, the two higher-dose GTE arms [58.8% (750 and 1,000 mg/m²), 36.4% (500 mg/m²), and 18.2% (placebo); $P = 0.03$] had higher responses, suggesting a dose-response effect. GTE treatment also improved histology (21.4% versus 9.1%; $P = 0.65$), although not statistically significant. GTE was well tolerated, although higher doses increased insomnia/nervousness but produced no grade 4 toxicity. Higher mean baseline stromal vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) correlated with a clinical ($P = 0.04$) but not histologic response. Baseline scores of other biomarkers (epithelial VEGF, p53, Ki-67, cyclin D1, and p16 promoter methylation) were not associated with a response or survival. Baseline p16 promoter methylation ($n = 5$) was associated with a shorter cancer-free survival. Stromal VEGF and cyclin D1 expression were downregulated in clinically responsive GTE patients and upregulated in nonresponsive patients at 12 weeks (versus at baseline). An extended (median, 27.5 months) follow-up showed a median time to oral cancer of 46.4 months. GTE may suppress OPLs, in part through reducing angiogenic stimulus (stromal VEGF). Higher doses of GTE may improve short-term (12-week) OPL outcome. The present results support longer-term clinical testing of GTE for oral cancer prevention.

More than 170,000 people develop oral cancer annually worldwide (1), and oral cancer is associated with severe morbidity and a 5-year overall survival rate of less than 50% (2). Oral carcinogenesis is a multistep process involving the accumulation of genetic changes leading to progressive dysplasia, unregulated cell growth, and cancer. Oral cancer frequently arises from oral premalignant lesions (OPL), which have a 2% to 3% overall risk of developing into carcinoma (3). This risk increases to 17.5% within 8 years for dysplastic or high-risk OPLs (4). The reported cancer risks of OPLs also increase in association with erythroplasia (erythroleukoplakia) and

proliferative verrucous hyperplasia, a history of never smoking, polysomy (5), early chromosomal alterations (9*p*, 3*p*, and 17*p*; refs. 6–8), and inactivation of *p16*^{INK4a} (9).

Green tea extract (GTE) contains high amounts of polyphenols, including epigallocatechin 3-gallate (EGCG), which inhibits carcinogenesis in preclinical models and potentially prevents oral cancer (10, 11). Although EGCG is the most abundant and best studied of the tea polyphenols (12), it seems that preventive effects are stronger with a mixture of tea catechins, such as polyphenon E (Poly E; a decaffeinated green tea catechin mixture) or GTE, than with EGCG alone (13, 14). In preclinical models, EGCG treatment arrested cells in the G₀-G₁ phase, downregulated cyclin D1 (CD1; ref. 15), increased p14^{ARF} and/or p16 protein levels and thus stabilized p53 and regulated apoptosis (16), and blocked angiogenesis by decreasing phosphorylation of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR; ref. 17) and inhibiting VEGF secretion by tumor cells (18).

Preliminary evidence from numerous studies supports the preventive potential of tea and tea polyphenols (10, 19, 20). Asian and other epidemiologic studies have suggested a potential protective effect of green tea against epithelial malignancies (21). A prospective cohort survey of more than 8,552 Japanese individuals showed that drinking more than 10 daily

Authors' Affiliations: Departments of ¹Thoracic/Head and Neck Medical Oncology, ²Biostatistics, ³Dental Oncology, ⁴Pathology, ⁵Pharmacy-Pharmacology Research, and ⁶Head and Neck Surgery, The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas and ⁷ItO En Ltd., Central Research Institute, Tokyo, Japan

Received 6/15/09; revised 7/27/09; accepted 8/31/09; published online 11/5/09.

Requests for reprints: Vassiliki Papadimitrakopoulou, Department of Thoracic/Head and Neck Medical Oncology, M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Unit 432, 1515 Holcombe Boulevard, Houston, TX 77030. Phone: 713-792-6363; Fax: 713-792-1220; E-mail: vpapadim@mdanderson.org.

©2009 American Association for Cancer Research.

doi:10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-09-0121

cups (120 mL each) of green tea reduced malignancy (22). Oral GTE (23) had a reasonable safety profile in a phase I trial by members of our group involving patients with advanced pre-treated cancer, causing mainly caffeine-related gastrointestinal (abdominal bloating, sore throat, nausea), cardiovascular (palpitations), and neurologic (insomnia, paresthesia, restlessness) side effects. The phase I investigators recommended a maximum dose of 1,000 mg/m² [equivalent to seven to eight cups of green tea given thrice daily (TID); ref. 23]. Although this phase I trial (23) did not show a significant anticancer benefit, GTE is nevertheless an ideal agent for chemoprevention study because it has a low cost and toxicity and is readily available.

Successful chemopreventive agents must be effective and safe enough for extended use because short-term interventions are not expected to substantially reduce cancer risk over the longer term. Most active chemopreventive agents studied to date are only likely to delay malignant transformation (24). For example, although high-dose 13-*cis*-retinoic acid reversed OPLs (25), its chemopreventive usefulness was limited by toxicity that precluded long-term use. GTE toxicity in the initial clinical reports (23) and antitumor effects in preclinical studies support its safe use for an extended period of time and provided a reasonable scientific rationale for the randomized, placebo-controlled phase II chemoprevention trial of GTE in the setting of OPLs that we report here.

Materials and Methods

Patient selection

The major inclusion criterion was the presence of one or more histologically confirmed, bidimensionally measurable OPLs that could be sampled by biopsy and had at least one of the following high-risk features of malignant transformation: harboring at least mild dysplasia, located in a high-risk area (i.e., floor of mouth, ventrolateral tongue, and soft palate), significant extent of OPL tissue involvement, and presence of symptoms (pain or substantial discomfort). Additional inclusion criteria included age between ≥ 18 and ≤ 75 y; Zubrod performance status < 2 ; adequate hematologic, liver, and renal function; adequate cardiac function (defined as no clinically significant electrocardiogram abnormality, unstable atrial or ventricular arrhythmias requiring medical control, or ischemic event experienced within the prior 6 mo); negative pregnancy test in females of childbearing potential within 7 d before first dose of study medication; use of effective contraceptive method while on the trial; and written informed consent for participation. The main exclusion criteria were as follows: known hypersensitivity to oral GTE or its analogs, use of prior investigational agents within 30 d, any serious intercurrent illness, history of prior malignancy with less than a 1-y disease-free interval before study entry, lactating females, and patients who were not able to abstain from the consumption of methylxanthine-containing products (including coffee, tea, chocolate, caffeinated soft drinks, and theophylline) and decaffeinated tea.

This phase II, placebo-controlled, dose-finding trial was conducted exclusively at The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, approved by the M. D. Anderson Institutional Review Board, and conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice Guidelines.

Treatment plan

On enrollment, patients provided a medical history (including complete alcohol, tobacco, and caffeine consumption history; use of concomitant medications; and adverse symptoms) and underwent a physical examination, clinical laboratory tests, color photography, bidimensional measurements, and a biopsy of the OPL. Smoking and

alcohol cessation counseling was provided. All biopsy slides were reviewed for confirmation of the diagnosis and suitability for biomarker analysis. During active treatment, both safety and clinical efficacy evaluations occurred every 4 wk. Histologic and biomarker evaluations were added to the other evaluations at baseline and after 3 mo (12 wk) of therapy. Plasma concentrations of EGCG and caffeine were measured at baseline, before each TID dose on the last day of intervention, and 3 h after the last dose on the last day of intervention (week 12) to identify any correlations between efficacy and/or toxicity and EGCG or caffeine plasma concentrations.

Eligible patients were equally randomized to one of the four following treatment arms in a double-blinded manner: GTE (500 mg/m²), GTE (750 mg/m²), GTE (1,000 mg/m²), or placebo. Capsules of a GTE formulation called THEA-FLAN 30 ARG were supplied by Ito En Ltd.; each capsule contained 350 mg of GTE. The first batch of study GTE capsules (lot number 00-09-26A) was manufactured on September 26, 2000, stable for at least 45 mo, and replaced with a new batch (lot number 04-03-30A) in June 2004. The composition of GTE has been reported previously (23). GTE and placebo capsules were supplied to the pharmacy in blister packs containing 10 capsules each that were sealed in aluminum foil bags containing 10 blister packs each. After removal from the foil bag, capsules were stored in a closed container at 4°C.

In each arm, the dose of GTE or placebo was given orally TID after meals for 12 wk. No inpatient dose escalations were allowed. The randomization was done with the Pocock-Simon dynamic allocation scheme (26) to balance three prognostic factors in each of the four arms. The prognostic factors were tobacco use (current, stopped, never), alcohol use (current, stopped, never), and tea use (zero to four 8-oz cups daily or five or more 8-oz cups daily). GTE dosage calculations were based on the participant's body surface area according to the following formula: body surface area (m²) = weight (kg)^{0.425} × height (cm)^{0.725} × 0.007184. The calculated dose was adjusted downward to the closest dose that could be administered using one or more 350 mg capsules. Dosing was based on a prior M. D. Anderson phase I trial (23) of dose levels from 500 to 5,050 mg/m², which identified a maximum tolerated dose of 4,200 mg/m² once daily and an optimal dose of 1,000 mg/m² given TID for phase II testing. Patients received a 1-mo supply of GTE every 4 wk and were instructed to record compliance in a diary card and to return any unused medication. Study monitors conducted diary checks and pill counts every 4 wk during active therapy. A patient was considered compliant if the 4-wk pill counts determined that 90% of the scheduled doses of drug or placebo were taken during the trial.

Toxicity before starting each 4-wk cycle was evaluated according to the National Cancer Institute Revised Common Toxicity Criteria version 2.0. For nonhepatic grade 2 or 3 toxicity, study medication was withheld until the toxicity resolved to grade < 1 when GTE was restarted at a 25% reduced dose; for grade 1 to 3 increased serum alanine aminotransferase, GTE was withheld until normalization when the full dose was resumed. Any grade 4 or life-threatening toxicity required study drug discontinuation. Subjects were discontinued from study if they withdrew consent; requested discontinuation; had evidence of histologic or clinical progression of disease (PD), persistent grade 2 or greater elevation in alanine aminotransferase, nonhepatic unacceptable toxicity, or an intercurrent illness necessitating premature termination; were pregnant or failed to use adequate birth control; were unwilling to comply with protocol requirements and follow-up; or died. At the time of discontinuation or as soon thereafter as possible, patients who prematurely discontinued treatment underwent all evaluations and procedures (if possible) that otherwise would have been conducted at the scheduled end of treatment (week 12).

Study end points and statistical analysis

The primary end point was clinical and histologic response of high-risk OPLs at 12 wk to three different doses of GTE compared with placebo. Secondary end points were the qualitative and quantitative

toxicities of GTE, effects of treatment on the expression of biomarkers, and any potential correlation between treatment efficacy and/or toxicity with plasma concentrations of EGCG or caffeine. Efficacy was analyzed on an intent-to-treat basis. Patients with evaluable disease were defined as having bidimensionally measurable lesions. Lesions with clearly defined margins were measured in two dimensions and then the surface area was calculated by multiplying the longest diameter measurement by the greatest perpendicular diameter measurement. Standard punch biopsies were done by the same dental oncologist (J.M.) for all patients on this study. The clinical responses were assessed according to previously described criteria (27) for bidimensional measurements: disappearance of all lesions was considered a complete response (CR), 50% or greater decrease in the sum of products of diameters of all measured lesions was considered a partial response (PR), and increase by 25% or greater in size of lesions or appearance of new lesions or progression to invasive cancer was considered PD. Any response that did not meet criteria for CR, PR, or PD was considered stable disease (SD). The histologic response was assessed according to the following categories: CR was defined as a complete reversal of premalignancy to normal epithelium with no new lesions, PR was defined as an improvement in degree of maturation of epithelium with no new lesions and no progression of any lesion, and SD was defined as no change in histology and no appearance of new lesions or progression of any lesion. Histologic PD was defined as progression from hyperplasia and/or hyperkeratosis to dysplasia, or from a lower to a higher degree of dysplasia or invasive carcinoma, or appearance of any new lesions. The sample size comprised 9 patients per treatment arm, for a total of 36 evaluable patients. We based this sample size on historical estimates of response to chemoprevention agents such as retinoids aiming at a conservative estimate of 20% response rate (25, 27). The minimum sample size was calculated to provide a preliminary assessment of the dose effect. Using nine patients per treatment arm would rule out a 20% or better response rate with 86% power if no response (or zero response) was observed for a specified treatment arm. Although this trial was not designed or powered to detect statistically significant differences in response between treatment groups, comparison of the incidence of complete or PR versus SD or PD was made between the treatment groups using Fisher's exact test. Concordance between histologic and clinical response was assessed within each treatment (pooled GTE or placebo) using Bowker's test. Response rates were summarized and compared by smoking, alcohol consumption status, and baseline histology. Baseline biomarker data were summarized and compared and correlated with smoking status, alcohol use, histology groups, and clinical and histologic response by Wilcoxon rank sum test or Kruskal-Wallis test. The difference in biomarker modulation was compared between responders versus nonresponder groups and among treatment arms using Wilcoxon rank sum test or Kruskal-

Wallis test. Logistic regression was used to assess the effects of dose, histology, and baseline biomarkers on response. Kaplan-Meier curves were used to show the oral cancer-free survival in the different treatment arms and a log-rank test was used to test the difference between the groups. Both univariate and multivariate Cox models were used to assess the effect of covariates, such as histology and biomarkers, on oral cancer-free survival. All tests were two-sided and were considered statistically significant if $P \leq 0.05$. All computations were carried out in Statistical Analysis System 9.1 (SAS) and S-plus 7.0 for Windows (Insightful Corp.).

Biomarker assays

Immunohistochemistry. Tissue biopsies (at baseline and 12 wk) were assessed by immunohistochemistry for the following biomarkers: cytoplasmic expression of VEGF in the epithelium and also in the stroma and nuclear staining for p53, Ki-67, and CD1 in normal or premalignant epithelium (in basal, suprabasal, or superficial layers using the most histologically advanced area). Biopsies were processed and immunohistochemistry was carried out per standard protocol and validated antibodies. Unstained 4- μ m-thin slides were prepared from formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue sections for immunohistochemical analyses. The slides were deparaffinized, hydrated, and heated in a steamer for 10 min with 10 mmol/L of sodium citrate (pH 6.0) for antigen retrieval and washed in Tris buffer. Slides were treated with 3% H_2O_2 in methanol and nonspecific protein blocking and incubated with the primary and then secondary antibodies. All antibody information and incubation conditions are shown in Table 1. Diaminobenzidine chromogen was subsequently applied for 5 min and the slides were then counterstained with hematoxylin. The immunohistochemical staining was evaluated independently by two pathologists (X.T. and I.W., who were both blinded to the clinical and molecular variables) using light microscopy ($\times 200$ magnification). The staining intensity (range, 0-3) and percentage of positive cells (range, 1-100) have been previously documented and described in prior publications (28). A cytoplasmic immunohistochemical stain was scored by multiplying the intensity and extension for VEGF (scores ranged from 0 to 300) or the nuclear stain was scored as percentage of positive cells (0-100%) for CD1, Ki-67, and p53 in the basal and suprabasal epithelium. The stain intensity was defined as follows: 0, no appreciable staining; 1, barely detectable staining compared with the stromal elements; 2, readily appreciable staining; 3, dark brown staining. In addition, the VEGF expression intensity of stromal cells was recorded as 0 to 3 based on stain intensity, and CD1 expression in epidermal superficial layer was recorded as "present" or "absent."

Methylation analysis—bisulfite pyrosequencing. Sections of the lesion biopsies were microdissected to obtain epithelial cells for genomic DNA extraction. Extracted genomic DNA was used for bisulfite treatment to modify unmethylated cytosine residues. Pyrosequencing was

Table 1. List of antibodies used and tissue biopsy treatment conditions for the immunohistochemical biomarker evaluation conducted at baseline and at week 12

	Manufacturer	Clone name	H ₂ O ₂ block	Nonspecific protein block	First antibody concentration and incubation time	Second antibody polymer and incubation time
VEGF	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	A-20, RXH	22'	DAKO serum-free protein block for 7'	1:200, 65'	DAKO LSAB+ streptavidin for 15', HPR for 15'
CD1	Lab Vision	SP-4, RXH	15'	10% FBS for 35'	1:100, 65'	DAKO Envision Link+ for 30'
Ki-67	DAKO	MIB-1, MXH	15'	10% FBS for 35'	1:200, 65'	DAKO Envision Link+ for 30'
p53	DAKO	Do-7, MXH	15'	10% FBS for 35'	1:400, 65'	DAKO Envision Link+ for 30'

Abbreviation: FBS, fetal bovine serum.

of 195.4 > 138.1 (*m/z*) in electrospray ionization–positive mode. The calibration curve (quadratic) ranged from 0.1 to 20 µg/mL. To avoid interference due to the high background signal of caffeine in donor human plasma, we purchased frozen fresh rhesus plasma and used it as our biomatrix for calibration and quality control samples. Caffeine reference standard, USP, was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Unconjugated (free) EGCG. EGCG was extracted from 1 mL plasma in duplicate using MTBE and dried at 40°C under gentle nitrogen gas stream and then reconstituted with 100 µL of 10:90 (v/v) methanol/0.2% formic acid. Chromatographic separation was carried out using an Ascentis Express, 50 × 2.1 mm, 2.7 µm analytic column over 12 min at 0.3 mL/min using 20:80, 1:1 (v/v) methanol/acetonitrile/0.2% formic acid mobile phase with a linear gradient to 100% organic over the first 4.5 min. The analytic retention time was 6.1 ± 0.1 min. The monitored transition was 456.8 > 168.9 (*m/z*) in electrospray ionization–negative mode. The calibration curve ranged from 1 to 1,000 ng/mL.

Results

Patient and treatment characteristics

We enrolled 41 patients between August 2002 and March 2008 to achieve 36 evaluable patients (9 per arm). Of the 41 patients, 11 were randomized to placebo, 11 to GTE at 500 mg/m², 9 to GTE at 750 mg/m², and 10 to GTE at 1 g/m² given orally TID. Our analysis of efficacy included 39 of these patients, excluding 2 who did not have a 3-month efficacy assessment. The baseline demographics of the patients are seen in Table 2. There were 14 patients (34%) with a prior head and neck cancer history, 11 of whom had a prior oral tongue cancer. The remaining three patients had a prior history of a larynx cancer, base of tongue, and a tonsil cancer. The arms were similar with respect to distribution of patients with a prior history of head and neck squamous cell cancer. The distribution of tobacco use across the treatment groups was similar with ~37% never smokers, 54% former smokers, and 10% actively smokers at the time of trial enrollment. The number of former and current alcohol users was similar between the treatment arms, with the exception of fewer never drinkers in the GTE (500 mg/m²) arm. One patient had prior betel nut use and was enrolled in the GTE (750 mg/m²) arm. Patients were also assessed for caffeine use and 39 (95.1%) had used prior caffeine. The distribution of caffeine use across treatment groups was similar. Current caffeine use at baseline occurred in 25 (61%) patients with soft drinks, 21 (51.2%) with coffee, 26 (63.4%) with tea, and 27 (65.9%) with chocolate. None of the

patients had used or were currently using theophylline medication for a medical indication.

Efficacy

Response rates are reported in Table 3. Thirty-nine patients were evaluable for the 12-week clinical and histologic response assessments. The clinical response rate was higher in the three combined GTE arms (50%) versus placebo (18.2%; *P* = 0.09), as was the histologic response rate 21.4% (GTE arms) versus 9.1% (placebo; *P* = 0.65). The clinical response rate was dose dependent—58% in the combined higher-dose GTE arms (750 and 1,000 mg/m²) versus 36.4% (GTE at 500 mg/m²) and 18.2% (placebo; *P* = 0.03, Cochran-Armitage trend test). Dose dependency was not seen in histologic response (*P* = 0.68). In the 15 patients with a clinical response, 3 had hyperkeratosis/hyperplasia, 9 had mild dysplasia, and 3 had moderate dysplasia in their baseline tumor biopsy. In the seven histologic responders, three had mild dysplasia, three had moderate dysplasia, and one had severe dysplasia. There were no correlations between the 12-week clinical or histologic response rate and baseline patient demographics, with the one exception of a higher 12-week histologic response rate in never drinkers (*P* = 0.01). Neither caffeine consumption nor prior cancer history affected the clinical or histologic response rate. Figure 1 illustrates examples of a clinical and histologic response to GTE treatment.

With a median follow-up time of 27.5 months, 15 patients subsequently developed oral cancer with a median time to oral cancer development of 46.4 months. There was no difference in oral cancer–free survival between the GTE and placebo arms. None of the baseline patient demographics of age, gender, smoking status, or alcohol history correlated with development of oral cancer. However, in patients with mild to moderate dysplasia, there was a shorter median time to oral cancer development of 35.9 months when compared with patients with hyperplasia, where the median time to oral cancer development has not been reached (*P* = 0.005). Neither a clinical nor a histologic response to GTE intervention was associated with oral cancer development.

Toxicity

Overall, the therapy was well-tolerated and safe with treatment-related adverse events reported by 28 of the 30 (93.3%) patients who received GTE and 9 of the 11 (81.8%) patients

Table 3. Efficacy outcomes (*n* = 39)

Efficacy parameter	Placebo (<i>n</i> = 11)	GTE				<i>P</i>
		500 mg/m ² (<i>n</i> = 11)	750 mg/m ² (<i>n</i> = 8)	1,000 mg/m ² (<i>n</i> = 9)	All GTE doses (<i>n</i> = 28)	
Clinical response	18.2%				50%	0.09
CR	0	1	0	0	1	
PR	2	3	5	5	13	
SD	4	4	1	1	6	
PD	5	3	2	3	8	
Histologic response	9.1%				21.4%	0.65
PR	1	3	0	3	6	
SD	7	5	5	3	13	
PD	3	3	3	3	9	




Fig. 1. A, photographic example of a high-risk OPL patient at baseline. B, same patient after GTE treatment showing a clinical response. C, baseline histologic example of a high-risk OPL patient at baseline showing dysplasia. D, same patient showing a histologic response after GTE treatment.

who received placebo. Table 4 summarizes the adverse event information. The most frequently reported grade 1 to 2 adverse events were insomnia, headache, nausea, and nervousness; the incidence of insomnia and nervousness was higher in patients receiving GTE at 750 and 1,000 mg/m². Insomnia was reported in 11 (36.7%) patients who had received GTE and 2 (18.2%) that received placebo. There were only three grade 3 adverse events reported and no grade 4 or 5 adverse events. The grade 3 toxicity was reported by two patients that received 750 mg/m² GTE and included insomnia, diarrhea, and oral/neck pain. Two patients (one in each arm GTE at 750 and 1,000 mg/m²) discontinued therapy due to side effects of insomnia, gastrointestinal symptoms (diarrhea, flatulence), and nervousness. There were no significant differences in hematologic or blood chemistry results between the GTE and placebo arms at baseline and week 12 of therapy.

Pharmacokinetic results

Caffeine. Caffeine concentrations in plasma on day 84 were dose and schedule (TID) dependent, ranging from 0.75 to 2.78 µg/mL in the 500 mg/m² arm to 6.49 to 13.07 µg/mL in the 1,000 mg/m² arm (Fig. 2). The 5-fold greater mean peak concentration (12.29 versus 2.14) observed following 1,000 mg/m² dosing was most likely the reason for the increased insomnia

and nervousness in this arm of the study. All concentrations in the placebo arm were <0.1 µg/mL. Dose-dependent interday accumulation of caffeine was observed in the 1,000 mg/m² arm when comparing mean trough concentrations following the last dose from the previous day. Trough concentrations were 8-fold greater (6.92 versus 0.84) in the 1,000 mg/m² arm when compared with the 500 mg/m² arm, yet the difference in dose was only 2-fold. Similar results were observed when comparing C_{max} concentrations over an 8-week period, which clearly showed a time-dependent accumulation of caffeine in the phase I trial (23). The expected fall in plasma concentration between the last dose from the previous day and the first dose of the next day in the 500 mg/m² arm correlates well with the reported terminal half-life for caffeine of 5 to 7 hours but does not for the 1,000 mg/m² arm. This may be due to a drug-drug interaction between caffeine and the catechins in the GTE because both are metabolized in the liver via cytochrome P450 enzymes (30). From the limited pharmacokinetic sampling available, there was no correlation between clinical or histologic response and levels of caffeine.

EGCG. Concentrations of free EGCG reached almost 1 µmol/L but were relatively more erratic than caffeine with a wide interpatient variability in the 1,000 mg/m² arm. Day 84 concentrations in plasma ranged from 1.7 to 75.3 ng/mL in the

500 mg/m² arm and 5.7 to 435.2 ng/mL in the 1,000 mg/m² arm, with peak concentrations from 22.1 to 75.3 ng/mL and 8.7 to 435.2 ng/mL, respectively (Fig. 3). There seems to be a trend toward a dose-dependent increase in concentration of EGCG, but the distinction is not as evident as with caffeine due to the wide range of concentrations observed at the 1,000 mg/m² dose. The possible reasons for this are the very poor oral absorption of EGCG (<1%) or the differences in dynamic metabolic capacity between these few patients at the time of dosing (30, 31). Free EGCG accounts for only 12% to 28% of total EGCG (conjugated and unconjugated), with the major conjugated forms being glucuronidated and sulfated products (32). No significant difference in trough concentrations can be observed at day 84. All placebo concentrations were <2.0 ng/mL. From the limited pharmacokinetic sampling available, there was no correlation between clinical or histologic response and levels of EGCG.

Biomarker results

Baseline analyses were conducted in 35 tissue specimens for epithelial VEGF, p53 expression, and Ki-67 and in 34 tissue specimens for stromal VEGF and CD1 expression. Three-month (post-treatment) analyses were conducted in 34 tissue specimens for epithelial VEGF and p53, in 35 specimens for Ki-67, and in 33 specimens for stromal VEGF and CD1 expression. The only statistically significant difference in baseline biomarkers among study arms was a lower p53 expression in the 1,000 mg/m² arm ($P = 0.007$). No baseline biomarker level correlated with patient demographics of age, gender, smoking status, or alcohol consumption. However, higher baseline mean and median values of stromal VEGF ($P = 0.24$), p53 ($P = 0.34$), Ki-67 ($P = 0.055$), and CD1 ($P = 0.04$) were

found in the mild/moderate dysplasia versus the hyperplasia cohort (Wilcoxon rank sum test). Levels of epithelial VEGF were the same in the hyperplasia and mild/moderate dysplasia cohorts ($P = 1.0$).

The baseline expression levels of the biomarkers were analyzed against both the clinical and histologic response rates, and none of the baseline biomarker expression scores, except that of stromal VEGF, correlated with response. A higher baseline stromal VEGF score was associated with a better clinical response to GTE treatment, with a median expression level of 3 in PR group versus 1 in the SD/PD group ($P = 0.02$, Wilcoxon rank sum test). The multivariate analysis supported this finding by showing that a high baseline level of stromal VEGF is the single most significant predictor for clinical response. Interestingly, this effect was also seen in the two patients with spontaneous remissions in the placebo group where their baseline median stromal VEGF level was 2.5 compared with the median baseline expression level of 1 in the patients with SD or PD. However, this association of stromal VEGF to response was not duplicated in the histologic response assessment.

To assess the treatment effect on modulation of the biomarkers, the expression level of the biomarkers at baseline was subtracted from those obtained at 12 weeks. Overall, there was no difference in biomarker modulation between the three different doses of GTE treatment. However, there was less up-regulation of stromal VEGF in the overall GTE group when compared with that seen in the placebo group (stromal VEGF mean levels, 0.13 versus 0.67; median levels, 0 versus 1; $P = 0.15$). In the subgroup analysis of the clinical responders, GTE treatment led to lower levels of stromal VEGF and CD1 expression at 12 weeks, as opposed to the nonresponders (SD

Table 4. Most common adverse events (grades 1-2)

Adverse events	Placebo (n = 11)	GTE			
		500 mg/m ² (n = 11)	750 mg/m ² (n = 9)	1,000 mg/m ² (n = 10)	All doses (n = 30)
Insomnia	18.2%	18.2%	44.4%	50%	36.7%
Nausea	0%	36.4%	22.2%	30%	30%
Nervousness	9.1%	9.1%	11.1%	60%	26.7%
Headache	18.2%	27.3%	11.1%	40%	26.7%
Dyspepsia	18.2%	9.1%	11.1%	20%	13.3%
Flatulence	9.1%	9.1%	11.1%	20%	13.3%
Diarrhea	18.2%	9.1%	11.1%	10%	10%
Abdominal pain	18.2%	9.1%	11.1%	0%	6.7%
Back pain	9.1%	9.1%	0%	10%	6.7%
Abnormal EKG	0%	9.1%	0%	10%	6.7%
Gastric reflux	0%	9.1%	11.1%	0%	6.7%
Dizziness	0%	9.1%	0%	10%	6.7%
Rhinitis	0%	0%	22.2%	0%	6.7%
Sinusitis	0%	0%	11.1%	10%	6.7%
Eyelid twitching	0%	18.2%	0%	0%	6.7%
Urinary frequency	0%	9.1%	0%	10%	6.7%
Constipation	9.1%	0%	11.1%	0%	3.3%
Paresthesia	9.1%	0%	0%	10%	3.3%

Abbreviation: EKG, electrocardiogram.

and PD) who had elevation of stromal VEGF and CD1 expression at 12 weeks. These differences in biomarker modulation did not reach statistical significance due to the small numbers of patients. In the placebo ($n = 10$) group, a trend toward downregulation of p53, Ki-67, and CD1 was seen in the two patients with a clinical response, whereas the same biomarkers were upregulated in the nonresponding group.

Univariate Cox model analysis was conducted using the biomarker expression levels as a continuous variable and showed that higher levels of the following baseline biomarkers led to a higher risk of oral cancer development: stromal VEGF [hazard ratio (HR), 1.88; $P = 0.08$], p53 (HR, 18.2; $P = 0.001$), Ki-67 (HR, 20.8; $P = 0.04$), and CD1 (HR, 7.4; $P = 0.07$). When using the median expression level of the biomarkers, the univariate analysis also noted that expression of p53 and Ki-67 above the median level was associated with a higher risk of development of oral cancer (HR, 3.1; $P = 0.049$ and HR, 3.1; $P = 0.06$, respectively). In the multivariate Cox analysis when adjusting for histology, higher p53 levels predicted for a worse oral cancer-free survival (HR, 12.7; $P = 0.004$).

The p16 promoter methylation analysis of the 19 tissue specimens with sufficient tissue for analysis showed 5 patients to have p16 promoter methylation at baseline compared with 14 who did not. In these five patients, the median cancer-free survival was 31 months, whereas the patients with no baseline p16 promoter methylation did not reach their median cancer-free survival yet ($P = 0.35$). Only seven patients in the study had paired tissue specimens from both pretreatment and post-treatment that yielded methylation results. In these seven patients, five showed no methylation of the p16 promoter at baseline and remained unmethylated after their respective treatments. Two patients had p16 promoter methylation at baseline and both were treated on the GTE (500 mg/m²) arm. Analysis of the post-GTE treatment tissue did not show modulation of the p16 promoter methylation status in either of the two patients.

Discussion

The two higher doses of GTE in this placebo-controlled trial produced a trend toward a greater clinical response in association with a high baseline level and downregulation of angiogenic stromal VEGF. These correlative findings suggest that potential GTE preventive activity may be linked mechanistically to the inhibition of angiogenesis, which is believed to promote carcinogenesis in premalignant conditions. The small trial population did not allow our analysis of downregulated stromal VEGF to reach statistical significance, and so we could not establish that modulation of this biomarker was directly linked to GTE activity; this result is consistent, however, with preclinical data supporting the antiangiogenic properties of EGCG (18–20, 33, 34). EGCG decreases neovascularization via downregulation of VEGF and decreases endothelial cell growth by increasing fibroblast growth factor-2 (19). In head and neck, breast, and other epithelial cancer cell lines, EGCG treatment led to reduced VEGF secretion (18) and binding to its receptor (35).

Biomarker evaluations and interpretations in a clinical trial are difficult and challenging. We evaluated six tissue-based biomarkers: Ki-67, p53, CD1, and p16 promoter methylation and angiogenesis-related epithelial and stromal VEGF. Ki-67 as a marker of the proliferative fraction of OPL cells seemed to increase as the tissue passed from normal histology to hyperplasia to dysplasia to cancer. Mutations of p53 occur in 40% to 50% of head and neck squamous cell cancers and are associated with an increased immunohistochemical expression of p53 in malignant cells (36, 37). We did not find a correlation between levels of Ki-67 or p53 expression and either a clinical or a histologic response. A higher baseline p53 level (above the median), however, was associated with a worse oral cancer-free survival in our multivariate analysis. This result is consistent with prior trials in which p53 protein overexpression frequently occurred in OPLs with a decreased response to retinoid chemoprevention and increased rates of PD or cancer (38).





Fig. 2. Dose-dependent increases in caffeine concentrations during T1D administration on day 84 of GTE. Time-dependent accumulation of caffeine over 12 wk of GTE is revealed by the 8-fold greater mean trough concentration observed at the 1,000 mg/m² dose. The average time elapsed between drug administration and predose or postdose blood collection was 3.3 h. Points, arithmetic mean concentrations; bars, SD.

Fig. 3. A trend toward a dose-dependent increase in plasma EGCG concentrations during TID administration of GTE. Points, arithmetic mean concentrations; bars, SD. The data show some dose-dependent accumulation of EGCG over the sampling period of approximately 9 to 12 h, although variability in plasma concentrations at 1,000 mg/m² is very high. Trough concentrations following the last dose from the previous day are similar for both arms and no significant accumulation of drug is observed.



CD1 gene amplification frequently occurs and is associated with increased genomic instability in head and neck squamous cell tumors (39, 40). CD1 overexpression allows cells to continually enter the S phase independently of external stimulatory signals. Because dysregulation of the cell cycle, especially disruption of the G₁-S checkpoint, is important to head and neck tumorigenesis, CD1 is an important potential marker of the risk of an OPL for oral cancer (39, 41). Prior studies have shown that high baseline CD1 expression has the highest correlation with increased cancer risk (41, 42). This finding specifically involves the truncated alternate CD1 protein, which is suspected of being a nuclear oncogene (43). In our trial, the median level of CD1 was higher in clinical responders than in nonresponders ($P = 0.9287$), and CD1 downregulation was seen in clinical responders, whereas CD1 was upregulated in patients with SD or PD. Although higher levels of baseline CD1 were associated with a higher risk of oral cancer development (HR, 7.4; $P = 0.07$) in our univariate analysis, a result that is consistent with our prior observations (39, 41), this effect was weaker in our multivariate analysis. These data add to the growing body of evidence that CD1 may be an important regulatory molecule in OPLs.

Promoter methylation of p16 has been reported to predict the malignant transformation of oral epithelial lesions (44, 45). EGCG inhibited DNA methyltransferase activity and potentially reactivated methylation-silenced genes in preclinical studies (46). Conclusions about this marker were not possible, however, in our limited analysis. Only two patients, both of whom received 500 mg/m² of GTE, had baseline p16 promoter methylation that could be evaluated following treatment, which did not reverse methylation status in either patient. Baseline p16 promoter methylation occurred in 5 patients overall, who had a shorter cancer-free survival than did the 14 patients without this methylation; this difference did not reach statistical significance, however, because of the limited patient numbers.

We also analyzed the pharmacokinetics of the GTE components caffeine and EGCG. A prior phase I analysis (23) found

that the accumulation of caffeine was dose dependent, but EGCG levels did not accumulate nor did they seem to be dose related. Our present analysis confirms the dose-dependent elevation of intraday caffeine concentrations during TID administration of GTE, with the highest peak concentrations occurring after the last daily dose (in the evening). This finding most likely explains the greater incidence of insomnia and nervousness at higher GTE doses. EGCG levels were too erratic to establish dose dependence, but the highest peak concentration of EGCG in the 1,000 mg/m² arm was 5-fold greater than the highest peak concentration in the 500 mg/m² arm. A lack of EGCG accumulation was confirmed in this trial and most likely is due to poor bioavailability of oral GTE in the majority of our patients. Oral EGCG analogues with an improved bioavailability and consequently increased concentrations in plasma are being developed.

Promising green tea products in active cancer prevention research include GTE and Poly E, which are prominent in clinical research, and EGCG alone. Both GTE and Poly E contain several catechins, including EGCG most abundantly, and perhaps the major distinction between them is caffeine, which is present in GTE but not in Poly E. The promise of catechin mixtures containing EGCG has been reinforced by mouse studies showing that Poly E has stronger effects against lung cancer than does EGCG alone or modified Poly E (stripped of EGCG; refs. 13, 14, 47). An important aspect of future research is to standardize as much as possible the formulation and contents of natural GTE or Poly E (not only the active but the believed-to-be inactive constituents as well) that are used in research so as to enhance the objective interpretation of different trials of the same-named agent (48). For example, the validity of follow-up testing of the clinical potential we report here will depend in large part on the formulation of GTE in the future being the same as or very similar to that of the present trial.

The present biomarker suggestion that a clinical response to GTE may involve the inhibition of angiogenesis is only preliminary, and the ability of GTE to prevent clinical OPL progression remains uncertain. Clinical response in our trial was not

associated with a decreased risk of oral cancer. Therefore, the GTE intervention may not have been sufficient and/or clinical OPL response is not a valid intermediate surrogate marker for cancer development, leaving the need for true surrogate markers. About the first possibility, our clinical findings may indicate that GTE was not given long enough and so was unable to completely reverse genetic OPL changes and ultimately prevent progression. We have shown this effect in retinoid-treated OPLs, where a transitory prevention benefit stopped once retinoid therapy stopped (24, 25, 27). About the second possibility, other prevention trials have indicated that OPL response to treatment did not correlate with decreased cancer development (41, 49). Therefore, identifying molecular biomarkers that can serve as surrogate markers for preventive efficacy remains a research priority and should be incorporated within future clinical trials directed toward developing personalized chemoprevention strategies in the OPL setting. For example, our current results suggest that stromal VEGF levels deserve further prospective investigation for their ability to serve as a predictive biomarker of GTE treatment.

In conclusion, higher doses of GTE for 12 weeks in our trial led to a higher clinical response rate but did not generate an

improvement in oral cancer-free survival. It remains unclear whether a longer duration of GTE would have led to a greater preventive benefit, but it seems that GTE administered at 750 to 1,000 mg/m² TID is feasible over a longer duration of time. Therefore, our present clinical results support future trials of GTE monotherapy given for a longer period of time or in combination with a targeted agent such as an epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor. The potential synergism of EGCG and epidermal growth factor tyrosine kinase inhibitors in inhibiting aerodigestive tumor cell growth has been shown in preclinical studies (50–53).

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

Y.M. Sagesaka is an employee of Ito En Ltd., which funded the trial reported here. W.K. Hong is an advisor to Ito En Ltd.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Robert Newman for his expertise and critical review of the pharmacokinetics in this manuscript and Nancy Shinn, RN, for her excellence in clinical research coordination.

References

- Petti S. Pooled estimate of world leukoplakia prevalence: a systematic review. *Oral Oncol* 2003;39:770–80.
- Fuller CD, Wang SJ, Thomas CR, Jr., Hoffman HT, Weber RS, Rosenthal DI. Conditional survival in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: results from the SEER dataset 1973–1998. *Cancer* 2007;109:1331–43.
- Braakhuis BJ, Tabor MP, Kummer JA, Leemans CR, Brakenhoff RH. A genetic explanation of Slaughter's concept of field cancerization: evidence and clinical implications. *Cancer Res* 2003;63:1727–30.
- Silverman S, Jr., Gorsky M, Lozada F. Oral leukoplakia and malignant transformation. A follow-up study of 257 patients. *Cancer* 1984;53:563–8.
- Hittelman WN, Voravud N, Shin DM, Lee JS, Ro JY, Hong WK. Early genetic changes during upper aerodigestive tract tumorigenesis. *J Cell Biochem Suppl* 1993;17F:233–6.
- Zhang L, Cheung KJ, Jr., Lam WL, et al. Increased genetic damage in oral leukoplakia from high risk sites: potential impact on staging and clinical management. *Cancer* 2001;91:2148–55.
- Mao L, Lee JS, Fan YH, et al. Frequent microsatellite alterations at chromosomes 9p21 and 3p14 in oral premalignant lesions and their value in cancer risk assessment. *Nat Med* 1996;2:682–5.
- Rosin MP, Cheng X, Poh C, et al. Use of allelic loss to predict malignant risk for low-grade oral epithelial dysplasia. *Clin Cancer Res* 2000;6:357–62.
- Papadimitrakopoulou V, Izzo J, Lippman SM, et al. Frequent inactivation of p16INK4a in oral premalignant lesions. *Oncogene* 1997;14:1799–803.
- Fujiki H, Yoshizawa S, Horiuchi T, et al. Anticarcinogenic effects of (–)epigallocatechin gallate. *Prev Med* 1992;21:503–9.
- Fujiki H, Suganuma M, Okabe S, et al. Mechanistic findings of green tea as cancer preventive for humans. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* 1999;220:225–8.
- Yang CS, Wang ZY. Tea and cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1993;85:1038–49.
- Bode AM, Dong Z. Epigallocatechin 3-gallate and green tea catechins: united they work, divided they fail. *Cancer Prev Res* 2009;2:514–7.
- Fu H, He J, Mei F, et al. Lung cancer inhibitory effect of epigallocatechin-3-gallate is dependent on its presence in a complex mixture (polyphenol E). *Cancer Prev Res* 2009;2:531–7.
- Ahmad N, Cheng P, Mukhtar H. Cell cycle dysregulation by green tea polyphenol epigallocatechin-3-gallate. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2000;275:328–34.
- Hastak K, Agarwal MK, Mukhtar H, Agarwal ML. Ablation of either p21 or Bax prevents p53-dependent apoptosis induced by green tea polyphenol epigallocatechin-3-gallate. *FASEB J* 2005;19:789–91.
- Lamy S, Gingras D, Beliveau R. Green tea catechins inhibit vascular endothelial growth factor receptor phosphorylation. *Cancer Res* 2002;62:381–5.
- Masuda M, Suzui M, Lim JT, Deguchi A, Soh JW, Weinstein IB. Epigallocatechin-3-gallate decreases VEGF production in head and neck and breast carcinoma cells by inhibiting EGFR-related pathways of signal transduction. *J Exp Ther Oncol* 2002;2:350–9.
- Cao Y, Cao R. Angiogenesis inhibited by drinking tea. *Nature* 1999;398:381.
- Beltz LA, Bayer DK, Moss AL, Simet IM. Mechanisms of cancer prevention by green and black tea polyphenols. *Anticancer Agents Med Chem* 2006;6:389–406.
- Yang CS, Lambert JD, Ju J, Lu G, Sang S. Tea and cancer prevention: molecular mechanisms and human relevance. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 2007;224:265–73.
- Imai K, Suga K, Nakachi K. Cancer-preventive effects of drinking green tea among a Japanese population. *Prev Med* 1997;26:769–75.
- Pisters KM, Newman RA, Coldman B, et al. Phase I trial of oral green tea extract in adult patients with solid tumors. *J Clin Oncol* 2001;19:1830–8.
- Papadimitrakopoulou VA, Hong WK, Lee JS, et al. Low-dose isotretinoin versus β -carotene to prevent oral carcinogenesis: long-term follow-up. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1997;89:257–8.
- Hong WK, Endicott J, Itri LM, et al. 13-*cis*-Retinoic acid in the treatment of oral leukoplakia. *N Engl J Med* 1986;315:1501–5.
- Pocock SJ, Simon R. Sequential treatment assignment with balancing for prognostic factors in the controlled clinical trial. *Biometrics* 1975;31:103–15.
- Lippman SM, Batsakis JG, Toth BB, et al. Comparison of low-dose isotretinoin with β carotene to prevent oral carcinogenesis. *N Engl J Med* 1993;328:15–20.
- Tang X, Varella-Garcia M, Xavier AC, et al. Epidermal growth factor receptor abnormalities in the pathogenesis and progression of lung adenocarcinomas. *Cancer Prev Res* 2008;1:192–200.
- Issa JP, Gharibyan V, Cortes J, et al. Phase II study of low-dose decitabine in patients with chronic myelogenous leukemia resistant to imatinib mesylate. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:3948–56.
- Moridani MY, Scobie H, Salehi P, O'Brien PJ. Catechin metabolism: glutathione conjugate formation catalyzed by tyrosinase, peroxidase, and cytochrome p450. *Chem Res Toxicol* 2001;14:841–8.
- Henning SM, Niu Y, Lee NH, et al. Bioavailability and antioxidant activity of tea flavanols after consumption of green tea, black tea, or a green tea extract supplement. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2004;80:1558–64.
- Lee MJ, Wang ZY, Li H, et al. Analysis of plasma and urinary tea polyphenols in human subjects. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 1995;4:393–9.
- Cao Y, Cao R, Brakenhielm E. Antiangiogenic mechanisms of diet-derived polyphenols. *J Nutr Biochem* 2002;13:380–90.
- Jankun J, Selman SH, Swiercz R, Skrzypczak-Jankun E. Why drinking green tea could prevent cancer. *Nature* 1997;387:561.
- Kondo T, Ohta T, Igura K, Hara Y, Kaji K. Tea catechins inhibit angiogenesis *in vitro*, measured by human endothelial cell growth, migration and tube formation, through inhibition of VEGF receptor binding. *Cancer Lett* 2002;180:139–44.
- Brennan JA, Boyle JO, Koch WM, et al. Association between cigarette smoking and mutation of the p53 gene in squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *N Engl J Med* 1995;332:712–7.
- Nees M, Homann N, Discher H, et al. Expression of mutated p53 occurs in tumor-distant epithelia of head and neck cancer patients: a possible molecular

- basis for the development of multiple tumors. *Cancer Res* 1993;53:4189–96.
38. Shin DM, Xu XC, Lippman SM, et al. Accumulation of p53 protein and retinoic acid receptor β in retinoid chemoprevention. *Clin Cancer Res* 1997;3:875–80.
39. Izzo JG, Papadimitrakopoulou VA, Liu DD, et al. Cyclin D1 genotype, response to biochemoprevention, and progression rate to upper aerodigestive tract cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003;95:198–205.
40. Izzo JG, Papadimitrakopoulou VA, Li XQ, et al. Dysregulated cyclin D1 expression early in head and neck tumorigenesis: *in vivo* evidence for an association with subsequent gene amplification. *Oncogene* 1998;17:2313–22.
41. Papadimitrakopoulou V, Izzo JG, Liu DD, et al. Cyclin D1 and cancer development in laryngeal premalignancy patients. *Cancer Prev Res* 2009;2:14–21.
42. Marsit CJ, Black CC, Posner MR, Kelsey KT. A genotype-phenotype examination of cyclin D1 on risk and outcome of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Clin Cancer Res* 2008;14:2371–7.
43. Lu F, Gladden AB, Diehl JA, et al. An alternatively spliced cyclin D1 isoform, cyclin D1b, is a nuclear oncogene. *Cancer Res* 2003;63:7056–61.
44. Hall GL, Shaw RJ, Field EA, et al. p16 promoter methylation is a potential predictor of malignant transformation in oral epithelial dysplasia. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2008;17:2174–9.
45. Papadimitrakopoulou VA, Izzo J, Mao L, et al. Cyclin D1 and p16 alterations in advanced premalignant lesions of the upper aerodigestive tract: role in response to chemoprevention and cancer development. *Clin Cancer Res* 2001;7:3127–34.
46. Fang MZ, Wang Y, Ai N, et al. Tea polyphenol (–)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate inhibits DNA methyltransferase and reactivates methylation-silenced genes in cancer cell lines. *Cancer Res* 2003;63:7563–70.
47. Yan Y, Cook J, McQuillan J, et al. Chemopreventive effect of aerosolized polyphenon E on lung tumorigenesis in A/J mice. *Neoplasia* 2007;9:401–5.
48. Stoner GD. Foodstuffs for preventing cancer: the preclinical and clinical development of berries. *Cancer Prev Res* 2009;2:187–94.
49. Papadimitrakopoulou VA, Lee JJ, William WN, Jr., et al. Randomized trial of 13-*cis* retinoic acid compared with retinyl palmitate with or without β -carotene in oral premalignancy. *J Clin Oncol* 2008.
50. Zhang X, Zhang H, Tighiouart M, et al. Synergistic inhibition of head and neck tumor growth by green tea (–)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate and EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor. *Int J Cancer* 2008;123:1005–14.
51. Amin AR, Kucuk O, Khuri FR, Shin DM. Perspectives for cancer prevention with natural compounds. *J Clin Oncol* 2009.
52. Amin AR, Wang D, Khuri FR, Chen ZG, Shin DM. *In vitro* and *in vivo* synergistic anti-tumor efficacy of two naturally available dietary polyphenols EGCG and luteolin [abstract 33]. 2009.
53. Amin AR, Khuri FR, Chen ZG, Shin DM. Synergistic growth inhibition of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck by erlotinib and epigallocatechin-3-gallate: the role of p53-dependent inhibition of nuclear factor- κ B. *Cancer Prev Res* 2009;2:538–45.

Cancer Prevention Research

Phase II Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Green Tea Extract in Patients with High-Risk Oral Premalignant Lesions

Anne S. Tsao, Diane Liu, Jack Martin, et al.

Cancer Prev Res 2009;2:931-941.

Updated version Access the most recent version of this article at:
<http://cancerpreventionresearch.aacrjournals.org/content/2/11/931>

Supplementary Material Access the most recent supplemental material at:
<http://cancerpreventionresearch.aacrjournals.org/content/suppl/2009/11/17/2.11.931.DC1>

Cited articles This article cites 50 articles, 20 of which you can access for free at:
<http://cancerpreventionresearch.aacrjournals.org/content/2/11/931.full#ref-list-1>

Citing articles This article has been cited by 14 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at:
<http://cancerpreventionresearch.aacrjournals.org/content/2/11/931.full#related-urls>

E-mail alerts [Sign up to receive free email-alerts](#) related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link
<http://cancerpreventionresearch.aacrjournals.org/content/2/11/931>.
Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.