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Cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 is a major player in inflammation and cancer. It is one of two COXs that convert arachidonic acid to a common intermediate in the production of prostaglandins and thromboxane. COX-2 is inhibited by nonselective COX inhibitors such as aspirin, ibuprofen, and sulindac as well as by the COX-2-selective inhibitors celecoxib and rofecoxib. Inflammation is an important contributor to cancer development, and COX inhibitors, whether selective for COX-2 or not, exhibit chemopreventive activity in animal models and patients. Celecoxib, rofecoxib, and sulindac showed impressive reductions in polyp recurrence but also caused cardiovascular side effects in colorectal clinical prevention trials (1–4). Subsequent studies revealed that the adverse cardiovascular effects are mechanism based (i.e., they result from the inhibition of COX-2) and that they are especially relevant to individuals with preexisting risk factors for coronary artery disease (1, 5). This mechanistic basis is the focus of this perspective, whereas two other articles in this issue of the journal address the clinical basis of the adverse cardiovascular consequences of COX-2 inhibitors (6, 7), which led to the withdrawal of rofecoxib from the market and a significant reduction in the use of celecoxib.

Cigarette smoking has been reported to cause an increase in the production of prostaglandins in the lung, which is manifested by the excretion of the major urinary metabolite of prostaglandin E2, called PGE-M (8). In this issue of the journal, Duffield-Lillico et al. (9) report that the increase in urinary PGE-M is due to the induction of COX-2. Using a rigorous, mass spectrometry–based analytic method, they examined the effect of celecoxib treatment on the levels of PGE-M in never smokers, ex-smokers, and current smokers. As expected, the levels of PGE-M were higher in the current smokers and ex-smokers. Celecoxib significantly decreased urinary PGE-M in all groups, but the effect was much greater in smokers treated with the drug. One interpretation of this observation is that when arachidonic acid is not used by the COX pathway, it is shunted into the 5-LOX pathway (Fig. 1). This shunt has been proposed for many years, but this is the first evidence that it occurs in human beings. The increase in levels of LTE4 occurred only in individuals with high PGE-M, which suggests that the 5-LOX exists in a location close to the tobacco smoke–induced COX-2.

In addition to providing evidence for the redirection of arachidonate from COX-2 to 5-LOX (i.e., from prostaglandin biosynthesis to leukotriene biosynthesis), these observations
may provide fresh insights into the cardiovascular toxicity of COX-2 inhibitors. Multiple factors have been associated with COX-2 inhibitor–associated cardiovascular toxicity, but all involve a decrease in the production of the antithrombotic and antiatherogenic prostaglandin, prostaglandin I₂ (PGI₂), resulting from the inhibition of COX-2 in the vessel (e.g., coronary artery) wall. This process was shown in 1999 by McAdam et al. using a rigorous mass spectrometric method. They showed that celecoxib (and later, rofecoxib) selectively reduced the secretion of the major urinary metabolite of PGI₂ in people taking the drug (19). This was a stunning result at the time because the link between COX-2 and vascular PGI₂ biosynthesis had not been fully appreciated. The prediction by McAdam et al. that COX-2 inhibition might be associated with cardiovascular events was borne out in the placebo-controlled polyp prevention trials mentioned earlier. The discovery by Duffield-Lillico et al. that celecoxib increases the urinary excretion of leukotrienes associated with cardiovascular risk suggests that this effect may contribute to increased cardiovascular events in individuals taking COX-2–selective and some other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

These findings need to be confirmed, and one can imagine the design of many parallel studies with different inhibitors as well as experiments in mouse models to test the importance of 5-LOX action in cardiovascular toxicity induced by COX-2–selective inhibitors. Nevertheless, the findings provide potentially important new insights into the pharmacology of COX inhibitors and the complexity of selectively modulating signal transduction by lipid mediators. Furthermore, the study underscores the value of high-quality biomarkers in clinical investigations. Last, the findings may have therapeutic implications. If the cardiovascular risk of COX-2 inhibition is due to the reduction of PGI₂ biosynthesis and an increase of leukotriene biosynthesis and an increase of leukotriene biosynthesis, simultaneous modulation of the COX-2 and 5-LOX pathways may reduce the cardiovascular risk of COX inhibitors and thus enable their full chemopreventive potential to be realized. This combination therapy may even lead to increased chemopreventive activity, as seen in animal models (20).
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