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Abstract
Curcumin has shown some promise in the prevention of oral carcinogenesis by mechanism(s) that are still not completely resolved. Messenger RNA translation is mediated in eukaryotes by the eIF4F complex composed of eukaryotic translation initiation factors eIF4E, eIF4G, and eIF4A. Overexpression of some of these components or the inactivation of initiation repressor proteins (4E-BP1) has been implicated in cancer development including oral carcinogenesis by affecting cell survival, angiogenesis, and tumor growth and invasion. In this study, we examined the possibility that curcumin affects the translational machinery differently in normal, immortalized normal, leukoplakia, and malignant cells. Curcumin treatment in vitro inhibited the growth of immortalized oral mucosa epithelial cells (NOM9-CT) and the leukoplakia cells (MSK-Leuk1s) as well as in the UMSCC22B and SCC4 cells derived from head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Curcumin only exerted minor effects on the growth of normal oral epithelial cells (NOM9). In the immortalized, leukoplakia, and cancer cells, curcumin inhibited cap-dependent translation by suppressing the phosphorylation of 4E-BP1, eIF4G, eIF4B, and Mnk1, and also reduced the total levels of eIF4E and Mnk1. Our findings show that immortalized normal, leukoplakia, and malignant oral cells are more sensitive to curcumin and show greater modulation of protein translation machinery than the normal oral cells, indicating that targeting this process may be an important approach to chemoprevention in general and for curcumin in particular.

Introduction
Gene expression is regulated at multiple stages including the process of transcription to modulate mRNA levels and the step of translation to modulate protein levels. Other mechanisms include mRNA stability and protein degradation. Deregulation of any of these steps causes aberrant gene expression leading to abnormal cell growth and development of premalignant and malignant lesions. In eukaryotes, mRNA translation is mainly regulated at the initiation, the rate-limiting step of translation, and involves a large multiprotein complex centered on the trimer eIF4F, which in turn is composed of the cap-binding protein eIF4E, the RNA helicase eIF4A, and the adaptor protein eIF4G (1). The regulation of gene expression at the level of translation initiation is critical for the proper control of cellular growth, proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis.

There is a vast difference in the amount of eIF4F required by cellular mRNAs to be translated efficiently, and translation depends on the composition of their 5′ untranslated regions, with higher eIF4F levels resulting in the increased translation of growth factor mRNAs (2). The accessibility of the cap for eIF4E as well as the accessibility of eIF4E for eIF4G is tightly regulated by the eIF4E-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1) belonging to a family of repressor proteins. The activity of 4E-BP1 is regulated by the hierarchical phosphorylation of a set of conserved serine and threonine residues; hyperphosphorylated forms bind much weaker to eIF4E than hypophosphorylated forms (3). Dysregulated cap-dependent translation due to inappropriate eIF4F activation has recently been shown to play an important role in various human diseases and is emerging as one of the most promising approaches for cancer intervention.

Head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC) are often characterized by the overexpression of an epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), c-Met, as well as cytokine and G protein–coupled receptors, which converge on the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Akt pathway, in turn activating
the mammalian target of rapamycin and positively regulating cap-dependent translation (4). In most HNSCCs, eIF4E is overexpressed and related to disease progression (5). A previous study from our group has shown that small interfering RNA against eIF4E can suppress the growth of a HNSCC cell line (6). Furthermore, phosphorylation of 4E-BP1 and eIF4G has been correlated with the high rate of tumor proliferation in other cancers (7, 8). Such studies have indicated that eIF4F complex is an attractive target for cancer therapy in head and neck tumorogenesis. Recently, various approaches for targeting eIF4F complex have been described including the use of small peptide, antiviral drug, and a natural compound silibinin (9–11).

Curcumin, a derivative of the East Indian plant Curcuma longa, has been shown to exert anticancer effects against a broad range of cancers including HNSCC. It affects every major hallmark of cancer including cellular proliferation, growth, survival, angiogenesis, and metastasis. Depending on the cell type, curcumin can use different molecular mechanisms such as inhibition of NF-κB, downregulation of c-myc, cyclin D1, and protein tyrosine kinases (12). Interestingly, expression of cyclin D1 is also downregulated by the inhibition of eIF4E in head and neck cancer cells (6). Earlier studies have shown that curcumin treatment resulted in the suppression of HNSCC growth both in vitro (13) and in vivo (14). However, it is not known whether curcumin affects cap-dependent translation differentially in normal, immortalized normal, leukoplakia, and malignant cells, which is important in the context of cancer chemoprevention.

To address this question, we used an in vitro oral carcinogenesis model system that consists of normal, immortalized normal, leukoplakia, and malignant cells, which is important in the context of cancer chemoprevention. Here, we report that curcumin inhibits the growth of these cells differentially and that the extent of growth inhibition depends, at least in part, on the disruption of eIF4F complex leading to diminished levels of critical cell cycle regulatory proteins including cyclin D1.

**Materials and Methods**

**Reagent and antibodies**

Curcumin, with a purity of >98%, was purchased from LKT laboratories, dissolved in DMSO, and then further diluted in a cell culture medium. All manipulations with drugs were carried out under subdued lighting. The antibody against ornithine decarboxylase, and β-actin (Sigma) and the rest of the antibodies used were procured from Cell Signaling Technology.

**Cell culture**

Normal oral mucosa (NOM9) epithelial cells were derived from histologically normal buccal mucosa specimen using the method described by Xu et al. (15). The specimen was obtained from oral cancer patient according to a protocol (LAB03-0743) approved by the Institutional Review Board. All experiments were done on second-passage cells with the NOM9 cells. Immortalized but non-tumorigenic normal oral epithelial cells designated NOM9-CT were established from NOM9 cells by overexpressing Cdk4 (C) and hTERT (T) using methods described by Ramirez et al. (16). All of these cells were routinely maintained in a serum-free keratinocyte growth medium (KG, Lonza, Inc.). MSK-leuk1s, a progressive variant of the cell line established from a dysplastic leukoplakia lesion (17), was kindly provided by Dr. P.G. Sacks (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY). The UMSCC22B cell line derived from a lymph node metastasis of a squamous cell carcinoma of the hypopharynx (18) was obtained from Dr. Thomas Carey (University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI). SCC4 derived from a squamous cell carcinoma of the tongue (19) were grown in a monolayer culture in a 1:1 (v/v) mixture of DMEM and Ham’s F12 medium supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum in an incubator with a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂ maintained at 37°C.

**Western blot analysis**

Cells were lysed in cell lysis buffer consisting of 25 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 7.6), 150 mmol/L NaCl, 1% NP40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, and 0.1% SDS (Thermo Scientific). After centrifugation, protein concentrations of the supernatants were determined (Protein Assay kit, Bio-Rad Laboratories) and diluted with a 2× Laemmli sample buffer [62.5 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 2% SDS, 25% glycerol, 710 mmol/L β-mercaptoethanol, and 0.01% bromphenol blue] to obtain equal protein amounts. Samples were boiled for 5 min at 95°C and separated on an 8% or 12% polyacrylamide gels containing SDS. For immunoblotting, proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Millipore). Staining the membranes with Ponceau was used to assess the loading and transfer of proteins in the different lanes of the gel. The membrane was then incubated in a blocking buffer (TBS [pH 7.6], 0.1% Tween 20, and 5% nonfat dry milk) for 1 h, followed by overnight incubation with primary antibodies in blocking buffer at 4°C. The membranes were washed in the above buffer without the milk. The bound primary antibodies were then detected using the IgG-horseradish peroxidase conjugate (GE Healthcare) and visualized with an enhanced chemiluminescence detection system (GE Healthcare).

**Transient transfection and in vitro translation assay**

Cells were electroporated with bicistronic reporter plasmid (20) or control plasmid as previously described (21). Briefly, 1.2 × 10⁶ cells were reconstituted in 100 μL of electroporation transfection solution or Nucleofector solution V (Amaxa Biosystems). A bicistronic reporter or control plasmid was added to the cells and the mixtures were transferred to electroporation cuvettes and subjected to electroporation according to the manufacturer’s programs.
and instructions. The electroporated and transfected cell suspensions were immediately mixed with 500 μL of pre-warmed RPMI supplemented with 5% FBS. The cells were then transferred to 12-well plates for luciferase assay containing prewarmed KGM medium supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum. Cells were incubated for 24 h after which they were incubated in serum-free KGM medium for another 24 h before treatment with curcumin. An in vitro translation assay of the bicistronic reporter assay system was done using the Dual-Luciferase reporter assay system (Promega) as recommended by the manufacturer. After treatment with curcumin for 8 h, cells were lysed with 250 μL per well of Passive Lysis Buffer (Promega) by scraping vigorously with a rubber policeman and cell lysates were subjected to one or two freeze-thaw cycles to accomplish complete lysis of cells. Afterwards, 20 μL of each cell lysate were assayed for Renilla and firefly luciferase activity with a luminometer (EG & G Berthold).

Analysis of cell growth inhibition

For cell growth analysis, cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 15,000 cells per well before treatment for 24 h. Cells were treated with various doses of curcumin (0-50 μmol/L) and controls were treated with the carrier (DMSO) alone. As described earlier (22), crystal violet assay was used to determine the percentage of growth inhibition.

Results

Curcumin differentially inhibits proliferation in oral normal, immortalized normal, leukoplakia, and cancer cells

Previously, others and we have shown that curcumin inhibit the growth of HNSCC cell lines (13, 14); however, there is no report on the effect of curcumin on normal and immortalized or leukoplakia-derived oral keratinocytes. We found that curcumin treatment of normal, immortalized normal, leukoplakia, and malignant oral keratinocytes inhibited differentially cellular proliferation in dose- and time-dependent manner (Fig. 1A). The immortalized normal, leukoplakia, and malignant cells seemed to be more sensitive to curcumin than the normal epithelial cells. This differential effect is not merely a reflection of a lower growth rate of the NOM9 cells as NOM9-CT cells had a 1.5-fold higher growth rate than NOM9. The MSK-leuk1s, UMSCC22B, and SCC4 cells had a higher plating efficiency and a 3-fold faster growth rate than the NOM9 cells (Fig. 1B). The similar inhibition of NOM9-CT, MSK-leuk1s, SCC4, and UMSSC22B despite their different growth rates also suggests that the effect of curcumin is not dependent tightly, if at all, on growth rate.

To gain some insight into the mechanism/s by which curcumin induces cell growth inhibition, we studied the NOM9-CT cells and found that there was a marked decrease in the cyclin D1 protein after curcumin treatment. Interestingly, several cellular protein targeted by curcumin i.e., c-myc and cyclin D1, are also regulated by elevated levels of eIF4E. Previously, we have shown that silencing eIF4E in head and neck carcinoma cells using small interfering RNA leads to decreased cyclin D1 levels and cell growth inhibition (6). Interestingly, levels of total eIF4E protein were also downregulated in curcumin-treated cells, correlating with the changes in total cyclin D1 protein levels (Fig. 1C). We therefore analyzed the role of curcumin in the modulation of protein translation in human normal, immortalized, immortalized normal, leukoplakia, and cancer oral cells.

Differential effects of curcumin on protein translation initiation complex in normal, immortalized normal, leukoplakia, and malignant oral cells

Different components of the eIF4F complex as well as proteins that either activate (Mnk1) or inhibit (4E-BP1) the translational machinery were affected differentially by curcumin (Fig. 2A). In addition, the immortalized normal (NOM9-CT), leukoplakia (MSK-leuk1s), and cancer (SCC4, UMSCC22B) cells had high levels of constitutively active Mnk1 compared with the normal keratinocytes (NOM9). Although NOM9 cells showed minor changes, NOM9-CT cells showed marked and dose-dependent decreases in p-eIF4E and pMnk1 along with total eIF4E and Mnk1 levels, whereas decreased levels of p-4E-BP1 and p4E-BP1 were observed without effect on their respective total protein levels. Similarly, MSK-leuk1s and malignant cells showed decreased levels of p-eIF4E along with total eIF4E, p-eIF4G, p-eIF4B, and p4E-BP1. pMnk1; and p4E-BP1 also showed dose-dependent decrease. In response to the higher doses of curcumin, the levels of cyclin D1 in various cell lines decreased markedly, corresponding with the decrease in translation machinery components. However, only a modest decrease in cyclin D1 protein was observed in curcumin-treated NOM9 cells.

Curcumin decreased the stability of eIF4E protein through the proteasomal pathway

Real-time quantitative-PCR analysis was done to determine whether the decrease in the levels of eIF4E and other eIFs was due to their decreased transcription because curcumin modulates most of its target genes through inhibiting this process. Treatment of NOM9-CT cells with curcumin for 30 minutes did not alter the mRNA levels of 4E-BP1, eIF4E, and cyclin D1 (data not shown), suggesting the involvement of posttranscriptional mechanisms in the process. Treatment with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 increased the levels of eIF4E (Fig. 2B); however, there was no effect on total 4E-BP1 proteins in MG132-pretreated cells. These results show that eIF4E is downregulated by curcumin in a proteasome-dependent manner.

Curcumin inhibits the cap-dependent translation and expression of cell growth–promoting proteins

To test whether cap-dependent translation is a relevant biological target of curcumin in vivo, a transient expression
assay was used in which cells were transfected with the bicistronic reporter and the luciferase production was measured in response to increasing doses of curcumin. The reporter construct consisted of two cistrons separated by the hepatitis C virus internal ribosome entry site (IRES; Fig. 3A). Translation of the Renilla luciferase cistron is cap dependent, whereas that of the firefly luciferase cistron is directed by the hepatitis C virus internal ribosome entry site and is therefore cap independent. The expression of Renilla luciferase was significantly inhibited by curcumin in dose-dependent manner in NOM9-CT (P = 0.0005) and UMSCC22B cells (P = 0.0004), whereas there was no significant effect on the levels of firefly luciferase (Fig. 3B and C). This shows that curcumin significantly inhibits in vivo cap-dependent translation.

We proceeded to examine the effect of curcumin on the expression of cell growth–promoting proteins in NOM9-CT and UMSCC22B cells to test the hypothesis that curcumin would downregulate the translation of weak mRNAs while having little effect on strong mRNAs. Indeed, Western blotting data shown in Fig. 3D and E show that the levels of β-actin (which has a short, unstructured 5′ untranslated regions) was unaffected, whereas the expression of cyclin D1, c-myc, ornithine decarboxylase, and Yes (all of which have long, highly structured 5′ untranslated regions) was markedly decreased, suggesting that curcumin inhibited the cap-dependent translation of weak mRNAs encoding proteins.

**Discussion**

In this study, we have used an in vitro oral carcinogenesis model for examining the hypothesis that curcumin’s anti-proliferative effects may be mediated at least in part by the inhibition of cap-dependent translation. Our results show that in immortalized, immortalized normal, leukoplakia, and malignant cells, curcumin disrupts the translational machinery, resulting in the inhibition of protein translation. We propose that these effects contribute to the preferential inhibition by curcumin of the proliferation of immortalized, leukoplakia, and cancerous cells compared with normal cells.

eIF4E is a rate-limiting factor required for the initiation of translation. eIF4E inactivation is mediated by the inhibition of 4E-BP1 phosphorylation, which results in a shift of equilibrium from eIF4E/eIF4G complexes to eIF4E/4E-BP1 complexes. Our data show that curcumin inhibits the phosphorylation of 4E-BP1 and thus enhances its activity. Our data are consistent with an ancillary observation of a decrease in the phosphorylation of 4E-BP1 made during a study on the effects of curcumin on the mammalian target of rapamycin pathway (23, 24). The inhibition of p4E-BP1
Fig. 2. Effects of curcumin on components of the translational machinery. A. NOM9, NOM9-CT, MSK-Leuk1s, SCC4, and UMSCC22B cells were treated with DMSO or various doses of curcumin for 30 min. Whole-cell lysates were then prepared and Western blotting was done using antibodies against the proteins (total protein and phosphorylated forms identified with the letter "p" listed to the left of each row). B. NOM-9CT cells were pretreated with 5 μmol/L MG132 for 24 h before treating with 50 μmol/L curcumin for 30 min. The cell lysates were subjected to Western blot analysis on 12% PAGE in the presence of SDS using antibodies against 4E-BP1, eIF4E, and cyclin D1. The data are from one experiment, which was repeated with similar results.
and eIF4E in curcumin-treated cells was associated with a reduction in cyclin D1 protein level, which could explain the inhibitory effect of curcumin on cell proliferation. In this respect, the effect of curcumin was similar to the effect of small interfering RNA–targeting eIF4E (6, 25) or the overexpression of 4E-BP1 (26).

One of the key findings of this study was the constitutive high level of activated Mnk1 in immortalized, leukoplakia, and malignant cells in comparison with the normal oral keratinocytes. Mnk1, an eIF4E Ser 209 kinase, also interacts with the carboxy terminal part of eIF4GI to form the eIF4E-eIF4GI-Mnk-1 trimeric complex (27). Earlier it has been shown that constitutively activated Mnk1 promotes tumorigenesis (28), whereas it is dispensable for normal growth and development in mammals (29). We have shown here for the first time that curcumin inhibits the phosphorylation of Mnk1 in immortalized normal oral keratinocytes, leukoplakia and malignant cells. This provides a novel chemopreventive approach for targeting Mnk1 kinase by curcumin.

eIF4G acts as a scaffolding molecule that interacts with several other components of the ribosomal initiation complex and its association with eIF4E strongly enhances the binding of the latter to 5′mRNA cap structures (30). Overexpression of eIF4G causes malignant transformation of NIH3T3 cells and is also a general event in lung carcinogenesis (31). In this study, we found that the basal levels of total eIF4G protein were low in normal oral keratinocytes (NOM9) compared with the other cell lines analyzed. Furthermore, we found that except in normal cells, curcumin inhibits the activation of eIF4G protein. In a recent study by Yu et al. (32), curcumin was shown to inhibit the phosphorylation of eIF4G in prostate cancer cells through the activation of an unspecified protein

![Fig. 3. Effect of curcumin on cap-dependent translation.](image-url)
phosphatase, thus disrupting the activity of eIF4F complex may be one of the hitherto underappreciated mechanisms of curcumin-induced cell growth inhibition.

eIF4B stimulates eIF4F activity by potentiating the eIF4A RNA helicase activity (33). It also interacts directly with the eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 (eIF3; ref. 34) with which eIF4G interacts, thus bridging the mRNA with the ribosome (35). Phosphorylation of eIF4B on Ser 422 is physiologically significant as it increases the interaction of eIF4B with eIF3 and is modulated by S6 kinase, implying that eIF4B may mediate some of the effects of S6Ks on translation (36). Earlier, curcumin has been shown to inhibit activated S6K (37) in rhabdomyosarcoma cell lines. Interestingly, in the current study, curcumin also inhibited the Ser 422 phosphorylation of eIF4B (Fig. 2A) that is critical for recruiting 40S ribosomal subunit to initiate scanning to the initiation codon and its joining with the 60S subunit.

Taken together, our results provide novel information that curcumin targets eIF4F complex, thus inhibiting the protein translation and downregulating cyclin D1 and c-Myc proteins involved in cell cycle and proliferation. Whether the effective doses of curcumin used in the current study are achievable in vivo is not clear. Phase I clinical trials have shown that up to 8 g/d of curcumin can be delivered to patients with virtually no side effects (38). Metabolism of the drug may differ from in vitro to that in vivo, making it difficult to correlate its bioavailability. Recently, it has been suggested that heat-solubilized curcumin could be used for increasing its bioavailability (39). Earlier, use of serum albumin as a carrier for curcumin indicate this fact.
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