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Abstract

Several studies indicate that screening in combination with lifestyle modification may produce a greater reduction in colorectal cancer rates than screening alone. To identify national opportunities for the primary prevention of colorectal cancer, we assessed the prevalence of modifiable lifestyle risk factors in the United States. We used nationally representative, cross-sectional data from 5 NHANES cycles (1999–2000, n = 2,753; 2001–2002, n = 3,169; 2003–2004, n = 2,872; 2005–2006, n = 2,993; 2007–2008, n = 3,438). We evaluated the 5 colorectal cancer risk factors deemed "convincing" by the World Cancer Research Fund (obesity, physical inactivity, intake of red meat, processed meat, alcohol), and cigarette smoking, a "suggestive" risk factor in the Surgeon General's report. We estimated the prevalence of each risk factor separately and jointly, and reported it overall, and by sex, race/ethnicity, age, and year. In 2007 to 2008, 81% percent of U.S. adults, aged 20 to 69 years, had at least one modifiable risk factor for colorectal cancer. More than 15% of those younger than 50 years had 3 or more risk factors. There was no change in the prevalence of risk factors between 1999 and 2008. The most common risk factors were risk factors for other chronic diseases. Our findings provide additional support for the prioritization of preventive services in health care reform. Increasing awareness, especially among young adults, that lifestyle factors influence colorectal cancer risk, and other chronic diseases, may encourage lifestyle changes and adherence to screening guidelines. Complementary approaches of screening and lifestyle modification will likely provide the greatest reduction of colorectal cancer. Cancer Prev Res; 5(1); 138–45. ©2011 AACR.

Introduction

The vision of the recently released National Prevention Strategy is to move "...the nation from a focus on sickness and disease to one based on prevention and wellness (1)." Colorectal cancer, which cost an estimated 14 billion dollars in 2010, and accounted for approximately 11% of the total cost of cancer care (2), is a focus of health care reform. The prevailing strategy to address colorectal cancer has been through secondary prevention. Screening average risk adults 50 years and older has accounted for a large proportion of an overall decline in colorectal cancer incidence and mortality over the past 3 decades (3). The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 will increase access to colorectal cancer screening (4), and screening rates will be a key indicator of the prevention strategy (1). Although the benefit of screening is clear, there is also extensive evidence to support increased efforts for primary prevention through lifestyle modification.

In the Annual Report to the Nation on the Status of Cancer, it was estimated that increased screening in combination with a significant, but achievable, improvement in lifestyle risk factor prevalence from the rates in 2000 could reduce colorectal cancer mortality by as much as 50% in 2020 (3). In addition, estimates from a large cohort of women found that while endoscopic screening can significantly reduce risk of colorectal cancer, the magnitude of the risk reduction is less than the reduction for lifestyle and dietary changes alone (5). In a cohort of men, it was estimated that as much as 71% of colon cancer risk could be avoided through modification of a constellation of lifestyle factors (6). Collectively, this evidence suggests that the most successful national strategy for colorectal cancer prevention will include complementary approaches of both screening and lifestyle modification.

Furthermore, in stark contrast to overall incidence, colorectal cancer incidence among adults under 50 years, and below the recommended screening age, has increased since...
the early 1990s (3, 7). This may be due, in part, to increases in the prevalence of lifestyle risk factors (7). The current screening practice for average risk adults will not address colorectal cancer in this age range; and there is not an evidence-base to support population-wide screening in those younger than 50 (8). It is undetermined whether the health care system currently has the capacity to provide quality screening even to those 50 and over (9). Thus, primary prevention of colorectal cancer through lifestyle modification may be the most effective strategy among younger adults.

To identify national opportunities for the primary prevention of colorectal cancer, and prioritize targets for prevention by sex, race/ethnicity, and age, we assessed the prevalence of modifiable lifestyle risk factors in the United States. We evaluated the prevalence of the 5 “convincing” risk factors as determined by the World Cancer Research Fund (i.e., obesity, physical inactivity, and intake of red meat, processed meat and alcohol; ref. 10), as well as cigarette smoking, which was classified a suggestive risk factor in the Surgeon General’s report (11), and has been consistently associated with colorectal cancer risk in prospective studies (12).

Methods

Study population

The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics, is a nationally representative survey that uses a multistage stratified, clustered probability sample of the U.S. civilian noninstitutionalized population. Data is collected through household interviews and in-person examination at mobile examination centers. We estimated the national prevalence of colorectal cancer risk factors in 5 of the 2-year survey cycles (1999–2000, n = 4,880; 2001–2002, n = 5,411; 2003–2004, n = 5,041; 2005–2006, n = 4,979; 2007–2008, n = 5,935). We evaluated non-Hispanic white (NHW), non-Hispanic black (NHB), and Mexican-American (MA) men and nonpregnant women, aged 20 to 69 years.

Risk factors

We evaluated 6 colorectal cancer risk factors; obesity, physical inactivity, and intake of red meat, processed meat and alcohol, and cigarette smoking. During the household interview, participants were asked about physical activity, cigarette smoking, and alcohol use. During the examination, height and weight were measured, and a 24-hour food recall was administered. All factors of interest, except physical activity, were identically measured in each cycle.

We calculated body mass index [BMI, (weight, kg)/(height, m²)] from height and weight measured at the examination. When height and weight were missing (1.1% in all cycles), we used self-reported values from the interview. Participants with a BMI ≥ 30.0 kg/m² were classified as obese.

Red meat and processed meat intakes were assessed using a 24-hour recall. One day of 24-hour diet recall was collected until 2003 to 2004, when 2 days of recalls were collected. To be consistent, we used the first day 24-hour recall in this study. Daily intake of items containing red meat (i.e., beef, lamb, and veal; USDA codes: 21, 23, 2711, 2713, 2721, 2723, 2731, 2741, 2743, 2751, 2761, 2811, 2813), were summed (13). Participants who consumed 2 oz or more were classified as regular red meat consumers. Daily intake of items containing processed meat (e.g., frankfurters, sausages, lunchmeats, or meat spreads; USDA codes: 252, 2756), were summed (13). Participants who consumed 2 oz or more were classified as regular processed meat consumers.

Participants were asked about their cigarette smoking status and usual alcohol intake. We classified smoking in 2 ways: ever and current. Because smoking is thought to influence colorectal cancer risk after decades, rather than immediately (12, 14), we classified participants who smoked more than 100 cigarettes in their lifetime as “ever” smokers. However, because only current smoking behavior can be modified, we also classified participants who smoked cigarettes on “most” or “some” days as “current” smokers. We classified participants who consumed 2 or more alcoholic beverages on most days as regular alcohol consumers.

Participants were asked about their physical activity behaviors. From 1999 to 2006, physical activity was uniformly measured. Participants were asked to indicate (i) whether they walked/bicycled for transportation, (ii) whether they took part in household/yard tasks requiring at least moderate effort, (iii) all of their recreational activities, and (iv) the number of minutes and days they spent doing all (transportation, household, and recreational) activities. Participants were asked to describe their “usual daily activities” as one of the following: “sit during day and do not walk very much,” “stand or walk about quite a lot during day but do not have to carry or lift things very often,” “lift or carry light loads, or have to climb stairs or hills often” or “do heavy work or carry heavy loads.” In the 2007 to 2008 cycle, the physical activity questionnaire was changed. As in the previous cycles, participants were asked whether they walked/bicycled for transportation, and the number of minutes and days they spent walking/bicycling. Participants also reported whether they took part in moderate or vigorous “work” activities (household and occupational activities), and the number of minutes and days they spent doing “work” activities. Rather than reporting all recreational activities, participants reported whether they took part in moderate or vigorous recreational activities, and the number of minutes and days they spent doing recreational activities. For all NHANES cycles, we summed the total minutes of moderate and vigorous activities per week. Then, using the 2007 to 2008 physical activity recommendations (15), we classified participants who completed 150 or more minutes of moderate or 75 or more minutes of vigorous activity each week as physically active. Because occupational activity minutes were not collected from 1999 to 2006, participants that selected “do heavy work or carry heavy
loads’ to describe their usual day were also classified as physically active.

**Statistical analyses**

Statistical analyses were done using SUDAAN 9.0 (Research Triangle Park) and SAS v.9.1. Sampling weights of the smallest subsample (i.e., 24-hour food recall) were applied to account for the NHANES sampling design, including oversampling, nonresponse, and poststratification. The analyses included participants with complete information on all risk factors of interest (1999–2000, n = 2,753; 84.2% of eligible; 2001–2002, n = 3,169; 85.6% of eligible; 2003–2004, n = 2,872; 84.1% of eligible; 2005–2006, n = 2,993; 85.9% of eligible; 2007–2008, n = 3,438; 87.2% of eligible).

For each NHANES cycle, we estimated the prevalence of each risk factor (obesity, physical inactivity, ever and current smoking, and red meat, processed meat, and alcohol intake) separately. The total number of risk factors was calculated by summing the 6 risk factors; current smoking, rather than ever, was used in the total. We compared differences in the prevalence of the risk factors and the total number of risk factors by sex, race/ethnicity and age using the \( \chi^2 \) test. Because these factors often cooccur, we also estimated the joint distribution of the 6 modifiable risk factors in 2007 to 2008. Of the 64 possible risk factor combinations (“risk profiles”), we report the 10 most common ones in the overall study population and in subpopulations defined by sex, race/ethnicity, and age. Within each population, at least 60% of the participants had one of the 10 risk profiles. Given our objective to prioritize today’s colorectal cancer prevention efforts, we focus the results on the most recent (2007–2008) NHANES cycle and describe changes in prevalence of the risk factors over time.

**Results**

The study population was the estimated U.S. adult population 20 to 69 years of age, which was comprised of 52.0% women, 77.4% non-Hispanic whites, 12.8% non-Hispanic blacks, and 9.8% Mexican Americans. When divided into age categories, 29.8% were 20 to 34 years, 34.6% were 35 to 49 years, and 35.6% were 50 to 69 years.

**Prevalence of colorectal cancer risk factors**

**2007 to 2008.** Ever smoking was the most common risk factor overall (Table 1). Men were more likely to be both ever and current smokers than women. Non-Hispanic whites were most likely to be ever smokers. Non-Hispanic blacks were most likely to be current smokers \( (P = 0.08) \). Although ever smoking was most prevalent among the oldest age group (50–69 years), current smoking was most prevalent among the youngest age group (20–34 years).

When considering current smoking rather than ever, obesity was the most common risk factor overall, followed closely by physical inactivity. Women had higher prevalences of obesity and physically inactivity than men; and non-Hispanic blacks and Mexican Americans had higher prevalences of obesity and physical inactivity than non-Hispanic whites. The prevalences of obesity and physical inactivity increased with age.

Among the dietary risk factors, intake of red meat was the most common risk factor, followed by processed meat, and then alcohol. Men were more likely to regularly consume all 3 dietary risk factors than women. Across race/ethnicity groups, red meat intake was similar; processed meat intake was highest among non-Hispanic blacks, and alcohol intake was highest among non-Hispanic whites. Across age groups, those 20 to 34 years were most likely to consume red meat, but processed meat and alcohol intake did not differ by age.

**Changes over 1999 to 2008.** The prevalences remained relatively constant over time in the overall study population and by sex, race/ethnicity, and age (Fig. 1). Between the 1999 and 2008 survey cycles, the prevalence of obesity increased in the overall study population and within each subgroup. The prevalence of physical inactivity decreased over time in the overall study population and within all subgroups except those aged 50 to 69 years; these results are based on physical activity assessments that were not completely consistent over time.

**Total number of colorectal cancer risk factors**

**2007 to 2008.** Nineteen percent of the overall population had no risk factors, whereas approximately 64% had 1 or 2 risk factors and 17% had 3 or more risk factors (Table 2). Men had more risk factors than women; approximately 20% of men had 3 or more risk factors as compared with approximately 15% of women \( (P = 0.07) \). The distribution of number of risk factors differed significantly by race/ethnicity and age groups: non-Hispanic blacks had the highest prevalence of 3 or more risk factors \( (\sim 23\%) \), followed by non-Hispanic whites \( (\sim 16\%) \), and Mexican Americans \( (\sim 13\%) \). The prevalence of 3 or more risk factors increased slightly with age \( (20–34, \sim 16\%; 30–49, \sim 17\%; 50–69, \sim 18\%) \).

**Changes over 1999 to 2008.** These patterns were similar across all 5 NHANES cycles in the overall population and by sex, race/ethnicity, and age (Supplementary Table S1). The prevalence of 3 or more risk factors was consistent across cycles. However, in earlier cycles, the prevalence of having no risk factors was lower, and the prevalence of one risk factor was higher, than in later cycles, particularly in men, non-Hispanic blacks and Mexican Americans. This difference was likely due to the corresponding change in the prevalence of physical inactivity, which was most pronounced in these same subgroups.

**Colorectal cancer risk factor profiles**

**2007 to 2008.** Because these risk factors often cooccur, we also identified the most common risk factor profiles. Among those with 1 or more risk factor, the top 3 most common risk profiles were obesity only, physical inactivity only, and both obesity and physical inactivity; these were also the most common profiles among women,
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Table 1. U.S. prevalence of colorectal cancer risk factors in adults aged 20 to 69 years overall, and by sex, race/ethnicity, and age, NHANES 2007 to 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>Overall% (SE; n = 3,438)</th>
<th>Male (n = 1,733)</th>
<th>Female (n = 1,705)</th>
<th>NHW (n = 1,758)</th>
<th>NHB (n = 897)</th>
<th>MA (n = 783)</th>
<th>20–34 (n = 944)</th>
<th>35–49 (n = 1,087)</th>
<th>50–69 (n = 1,407)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever</td>
<td>48.97 (2.06)</td>
<td>55.75 (2.30)</td>
<td>42.73 (2.31)</td>
<td>51.10 (2.32)</td>
<td>44.32 (2.22)</td>
<td>38.29 (2.73)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>46.02 (3.80)</td>
<td>46.06 (2.62)</td>
<td>55.28 (1.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>26.19 (1.91)</td>
<td>29.20 (1.97)</td>
<td>23.42 (2.13)</td>
<td>26.11 (2.34)</td>
<td>31.92 (2.51)</td>
<td>19.37 (2.52)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>33.81 (2.81)</td>
<td>26.84 (2.89)</td>
<td>19.17 (1.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>35.61 (1.11)</td>
<td>33.92 (1.29)</td>
<td>36.25 (1.52)</td>
<td>33.54 (1.53)</td>
<td>44.56 (2.39)</td>
<td>40.32 (3.09)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>28.91 (2.27)</td>
<td>36.16 (1.74)</td>
<td>40.70 (2.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical inactivity</td>
<td>33.60 (1.78)</td>
<td>26.75 (1.54)</td>
<td>39.92 (2.07)</td>
<td>31.38 (2.28)</td>
<td>45.20 (3.35)</td>
<td>36.02 (3.73)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>25.50 (2.43)</td>
<td>34.72 (2.21)</td>
<td>39.31 (3.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intake Red meat</td>
<td>30.67 (1.39)</td>
<td>36.02 (2.16)</td>
<td>25.75 (1.49)</td>
<td>31.25 (1.74)</td>
<td>27.87 (2.14)</td>
<td>29.79 (1.80)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>34.65 (1.72)</td>
<td>29.05 (2.53)</td>
<td>28.92 (1.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processed meat</td>
<td>14.33 (1.91)</td>
<td>18.69 (1.14)</td>
<td>10.31 (1.09)</td>
<td>14.11 (1.01)</td>
<td>18.10 (1.60)</td>
<td>11.15 (1.34)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>15.77 (1.15)</td>
<td>14.60 (1.86)</td>
<td>12.86 (1.57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>8.47 (1.18)</td>
<td>12.52 (1.40)</td>
<td>4.73 (1.39)</td>
<td>9.28 (1.31)</td>
<td>6.95 (1.21)</td>
<td>4.06 (0.98)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>7.25 (1.20)</td>
<td>8.70 (1.08)</td>
<td>9.27 (1.95)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Weighted. Differences between groups evaluated using the χ² test.

bEver smoking: ≥100 lifetime cigarettes smoked; Current smoking: cigarettes smoked on "most" or "some" days; Obesity: ≥30 kg/m²; Physical inactivity: <150 minutes of moderate or <75 minutes of vigorous activity each week; Red meat intake: ≥2 oz total red meat intake in 24-hour recall; Processed meat intake: ≥2 oz total processed meat intake in 24-hour recall; Alcohol intake: ≥2 drinks on most days.
Figure 1. U.S. prevalence of colorectal cancer risk factors in adults aged 20 to 69 years overall, and by sex, race/ethnicity, and age, NHANES 1999 to 2008. The measurement of physical activity in NHANES 2007 to 2008 differed from previous years, therefore the 2007 to 2008 physical inactivity prevalence estimate is not connected to prior estimates.
Discussion

We found that there are many opportunities for the primary prevention of colorectal cancer in the United States. In 2007 to 2008, 81% percent of U.S. adults, aged 20 to 69 years, had at least one modifiable risk factor for colorectal cancer. In the Annual Report to the Nation, it was estimated that screening, in combination with a considerable reduction in the 2000 prevalence of lifestyle risk factors could have a significant impact on colorectal cancer mortality (3). Yet, we observed no notable improvement in the prevalence of a subset of those risk factors in the decade between 1999 and 2008. The combination of suboptimal screening rates and a steady prevalence of lifestyle risk factors suggests that colorectal cancer rates will not decrease to the extent possible in the near future. The most prevalent modifiable risk factors for colorectal cancer, like obesity, are not novel; these are the same risk factors as for other chronic diseases, like diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Our findings do not signify a need for specialized colorectal cancer lifestyle interventions, but they do provide additional evidence for the need for the early intervention and targeted prevention and wellness services to be developed as part of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 (4).

In the clinical setting, the guidelines for colorectal cancer prevention focus on screening the average risk adult at age 50 (16). However, our data indicate that the conversation about colorectal cancer risk and prevention needs to start much earlier. We observed that more than 15% of those younger than 50, and thus, not recommended for screening, had 3 or more risk factors. In contrast to overall rates, colorectal cancer incidence has increased in those younger than 50 (7). Alerting younger adults about their colorectal cancer risk based on lifestyle factors provides an opportunity for change. Awareness of personal risk due to lifestyle risk factors may also increase awareness of the importance of adherence to screening guidelines at the appropriate age. Indeed, a growing body of evidence supports the benefit of simultaneously addressing multiple health behaviors in health care settings (17–19). Because colorectal cancer screening is a complex behavior (20); more research is needed to determine whether increased awareness of lifestyle risk factors among young adults would influence future screening behavior.

Our findings also show that the population subgroups with the highest burden of colorectal incidence and mortality also have the greatest opportunity for primary prevention through lifestyle modification. The total burden of colorectal cancer risk factors mirrored colorectal cancer incidence and mortality rates by sex and race/ethnicity. Men had a higher total number of risk factors than women; men also have higher colorectal cancer incidence and mortality rates than women (21). Non-Hispanic blacks had the highest total number of risk factors among the race/ethnicity groups; and African Americans have the highest colorectal cancer incidence and mortality rates (21). Providing access to resources that encourage appropriate lifestyle changes, and increasing access to screening, may improve colorectal cancer rates in those with the highest burden.

We used nationally representative data to estimate the separate and joint prevalences of risk factors, overall, and by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. U.S. prevalence of total number of colorectal cancer risk factors overall, and by sex, race/ethnicity, and age, NHANES 2007 to 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of colorectal cancer risk factors, % (SE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34–49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Weighted. Differences between groups evaluated using the $\chi^2$ test.
sex, race/ethnicity, and age over a 10-year period. The data were cross-sectional; individuals were not followed over time or for colorectal cancer outcomes. The prevalence of current smoking that we estimated in NHANES 2007 to 2008 was higher than that reported in the 2007 National Health Interview Survey (22); our estimate is for a narrower age range (20–69 years vs. 18+). We were unable to fully evaluate physical inactivity time trends because its assessment was changed in the 2007 to 2008 NHANES survey relative to the 1999 to 2006 surveys. In addition, the 1999 to 2006 surveys incompletely assessed occupational activity, an activity type that may differ across sex, race/ethnicity, age, and time. Red meat and processed meat intakes were evaluated using the current state-of-art, 1-day, 24-hour diet recall, using the U.S. Department of Agriculture’s Automated Multiple Pass Method (23). We used a single 24-hour diet recall because multiple days were not available for 2 of the 5 NHANES cycles evaluated. Although multiple days of 24-hour diet recall are needed to assess individuals’ usual intake, this single 24-hour recall is a robust method to describe the average dietary intake of a group (23).

Improved clinical and community preventive services are a major aspect of the National Prevention Strategy. Colorectal cancer is a disease with many opportunities for prevention. Increasing national screening rates is an important strategy for reducing the burden of colorectal cancer, but it should not be the only strategy. We found that the vast majority of American adults have at least one modifiable colorectal cancer risk factor. Furthermore, a sizable proportion of those younger than 50 years have several colorectal cancer risk factors. When advising the public about risks associated with factors like obesity and cigarette smoking, colorectal cancer should be included in the discussion. Increasing the public’s awareness that these factors also impact colorectal cancer risk may enhance efforts to modify lifestyle, and may further encourage adherence to screening guidelines. The most successful national strategy for colorectal cancer prevention will likely include complementary approaches of both screening and lifestyle modification.
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