Aspirin in the Chemoprevention of Colorectal Neoplasia: An Overview
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Abstract

Considerable evidence supports the effectiveness of aspirin for chemoprevention of colorectal cancer (CRC) in addition to its well-established benefits in the prevention of vascular disease. Epidemiologic studies have consistently observed an inverse association between aspirin use and risk of CRC. A recent pooled analysis of a long-term posttrial follow-up of nearly 14,000 patients from four randomized, cardiovascular disease prevention trials showed that daily aspirin treatment for about five years was associated with a 34% reduction in 20-year CRC mortality. A separate meta-analysis of nearly 3,000 patients with a history of colorectal adenoma or cancer in four randomized adenoma prevention trials showed that aspirin reduced the occurrence of advanced adenomas by 28% and any adenoma by 17%. Aspirin has also been shown to be beneficial in a clinical trial of patients with Lynch syndrome, a hereditary CRC syndrome; in those treated with aspirin for at least two years, there was a 50% or more reduction in the risk of CRC commencing five years after randomization and after aspirin had been discontinued. A few observational studies have shown an increase in survival among patients with CRC who use aspirin. Taken together, these findings strengthen the case for consideration of long-term aspirin use in CRC prevention. Despite these compelling data, there is a lack of consensus about the balance of risks and benefits associated with long-term aspirin use, particularly in low-risk populations. The optimal dose to use for cancer prevention and the precise mechanism underlying aspirin’s anticancer effect require further investigation. Cancer Prev Res; 5(2); 164–78. ©2011 AACR.

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common cancer in the world, accounting for an estimated 9.8% of all new cancers (1.2 million cases annually) and 8.1% of all cancer mortality (1). Recognition of the efficacy of endoscopic polypectomy in preventing CRC by identifying and removing precancerous adenomas has led to a marked increase in the use of colonoscopy screening, particularly in the United States (2–4). However, the development of primary prevention strategies to reduce the risk of developing colorectal neoplasia remains an important goal, particularly in view of the inherent limitations of population-based secondary prevention programs that rely on detection and removal of adenomas.

Perhaps the most widely studied pharmacologic agent for the prevention of CRC in humans is aspirin. During October 2 to 3, 2010, an International Expert Roundtable, sponsored by Bayer, convened in Berlin, Germany to discuss the evidence for aspirin’s role in CRC prevention. In this overview, members of this Roundtable summarize the meeting and discuss new data published through September, 2011. We address a number of key issues, including epidemiologic evidence of aspirin use in relation to CRC incidence; clinical trials examining the role of aspirin in prevention of CRC and adenoma; clinical trials of aspirin in patients with hereditary syndromes; observational studies of aspirin use in relation to survival among patients with established CRC; safety issues associated with long-term aspirin use; optimal dosing that balances...
chemopreventive efficacy with safety; mechanisms underlying the anticancer benefit of aspirin; and the potential role of aspirin in combination with other chemopreventive agents.

Epidemiologic studies of sporadic CRC
The vast majority of cohort and case–control studies have observed an inverse association between aspirin use and risk of CRC (5). An early analysis of 662,424 men and women enrolled in the Cancer Prevention Study II cohort showed that aspirin use at least 16 times per month was associated with a 40% reduced risk of colon cancer mortality over a 6-year period (6). An updated analysis of this cohort observed that daily use of at least 325 mg for a minimum of 5 years was associated with a lower incidence of CRC compared with nonusers [rate ratio (RR), 0.68; 95% CI, 0.52–0.90], and reduced risks of other cancers (7).

Another cohort study of 47,363 male U.S. health professionals showed that regular aspirin users (≥2 times/week) had a 21% (RR, 0.79; 95% CI, 0.69–0.90) lower risk of CRC over more than 18 years of follow-up (8). Similar findings were observed in the U.S. Nurses’ Health Study (NHS) cohort of 82,911 women; regular aspirin use (≥2 325-mg tablets/wk) was associated with a 23% reduced risk of CRC (RR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.67–0.88) more than 20 years of follow-up (9). In a study of 301,240 older U.S. men and women (mean age 62.8 years), a lower risk of CRC was seen among both weekly users (RR, 0.88; 95% CI, 0.80–0.97) and daily users (RR, 0.86; 95% CI, 0.79–0.94) of aspirin compared with nonusers (10).

Smaller cohort studies and a large number of case–control studies have reported very similar associations (5). In a separate analysis of the NHS cohort, regular aspirin use was significantly associated with a 28% reduction in risk of death from CRC, a 12% reduction in risk of death from any cancer, and a 25% reduction in risk of death from all causes (11).

The trend for the benefits of aspirin to increase with a longer duration of use has been consistently observed in cohort studies (5). An analysis of 5,146 women from 7 cohort studies estimated that long-term aspirin use (about 20 years) reduced the risk of CRC by 15% (RR, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.78–0.92; ref. 12). Several case–control studies have also reported a reduction in CRC risk associated with increasing duration of aspirin use (12–15). An analysis of 9,232 men from 11 case–control studies reported that aspirin use (about 20 years) reduced the risk of CRC by 41% (RR, 0.59; 95% CI, 0.54–0.64; ref. 12).

Clinical trials of cardiovascular disease with cancer outcomes
Rothwell and colleagues recently obtained long-term follow-up data on cancer outcomes for several randomized trials of aspirin that were originally designed to examine the effect of aspirin on cardiovascular (CV) disease prevention (16). An initial pooled analysis of individual patient data included 4 such trials, which each included at least 1,000 subjects assigned to daily aspirin treatment for at least 2.5 years: 2 primary prevention trials [British Doctor’s Trial (1988) and Thrombosis Prevention Trial (1998)] and 2 secondary prevention trials [Swedish Aspirin Low-dose Trial, SALT (1991); and UK-Transient Ischaemic Attack, UK-TIA (1991); refs. 17–20]. These trials examined diverse populations, including men initially recruited with low CV risk (n = 10,224; refs. 17, 18) and higher-risk men and women with a history of transient ischemic attack (TIA), minor stroke, or retinal artery occlusion (n = 3,809; refs. 19, 20). The scheduled aspirin doses ranged from 75 to 1,200 mg/d (3 of the 4 trials were placebo controlled), and the median treatment duration was 2.6 to 6.9 years. Among the 4 trials, there were 391 documented CRC cases over a median follow-up of 18.3 years. Treatment with any aspirin dose between 75 to 500 mg/d reduced the 20-year risk of colon cancer by 24% and CRC-associated mortality by 35% overall, but with increasing benefit with longer durations of scheduled randomized treatment during the initial trial period (Fig. 1). There was a suggestion that the reduction in CRC incidence may be largely confined to an effect on the proximal colon (HR, 0.45; 95% CI, 0.28–0.74) compared with the distal colon (HR, 1.10; 95% CI, 0.73–1.64; P for difference = 0.04). Although there was no overall effect of aspirin on the risk of rectal cancer (HR, 0.90; 95% CI, 0.63–1.30), there seemed to be a reduced risk (HR, 0.47; 95% CI, 0.26–0.87, P = 0.01) among those with a scheduled treatment duration of at least 5 years.

A subsequent pooled analysis of individual patient data examined the effects of aspirin on mortality due to all cancers (21), including data from all 8 randomized trials of daily aspirin versus control (7 placebo controlled) with an initial scheduled trial treatment period of at least 4 years (17, 18, 20–26). Three of the studies enrolled 7,526 patients with type 1 or type 2 diabetes (22, 24,25). These studies are summarized in Table 1. Randomized trials of aspirin administered on alternate days were not eligible for inclusion. Among the 8 included trials with a total of 25,570 patients and 674 cancer-related deaths during the trial periods, aspirin treatment at a dose ranging from 75 to 1,200 mg/d was associated with a 21% lower risk of death from any cancer during the in-trial follow-up period (HR = 0.79; 95% CI, 0.68–0.92, P = 0.003). Benefit was only apparent after 5 years of follow-up (HR = 0.66; 95% CI, 0.50–0.87, P = 0.003), with the absolute reduction in 20-year risk of cancer death reaching 7.08% (95% CI, 2.42–11.74) at age 65 years. Among the 6 trials with data on sites of cancer occurrence, patients randomized to aspirin had a reduced risk of death due to CRC (HR, 0.41; 95% CI, 0.17–1.00, P = 0.05), beginning 5 years after the initiation of aspirin treatment.

Although these data on the effects of daily aspirin are compelling, it should be acknowledged that these were secondary analyses of cardiovascular prevention trials not originally designed to examine cancer incidence or mortality. Hence, ascertainment of cancer-related endpoints may be less complete or accurate than would be expected in a clinical trial with defined cancer outcomes. Some results were also based upon posttrial follow-up of patients.
through linkage with death certificates or cancer registries and there was no information regarding posttrial usage of aspirin or nonaspirin nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAID) or cancer screening. In addition, 2 large randomized trials of alternate-day aspirin, the Physicians’ Health Study (PHS) and Women’s Health Study (WHS) did not show a reduction in CRC incidence (27, 28). The PHS was a randomized, placebo-controlled trial designed to determine the effect of aspirin 325 mg/every other day on CV disease in 22,071 healthy male physicians. The WHS examined the effect of aspirin 100 mg/every other day on CV events and overall cancer incidence in 39,876 initially healthy women. There was no effect of aspirin on the incidence of CRC over a 10-year follow-up in either trial; the relative risk of CRC was 1.03 (95% CI, 0.83–1.28) in the PHS and 0.97 (95% CI, 0.77–1.24) in the WHS (29, 30).

The explanation for the contrast in results between the PHS and WHS incidence data with the findings from these 2 metaanalyses is unclear. First, both the PHS and WHS studies used alternate-day dosing regimens, in contrast to the daily dosing used in the studies included by Rothwell.
and colleagues. It is possible that alternate-day dosing may be less effective than daily dosing in inhibiting carcinogenesis. Second, the duration of follow-up in both the PHS and WHS studies may have been insufficient to detect a difference in CRC incidence. In their metaanalysis determining CRC incidence (16), Rothwell and colleagues noted at least a 7-year delay after initiation of aspirin treatment before a reduction in CRC incidence even began to emerge (Fig. 1), with a clear reduction not evident until after 10 years. Thus, it is possible that longer follow-up of the WHS or PHS may show a potential protective benefit. Third, in the WHS, the equivalent daily dose of aspirin was 50 mg, lower than the 75 mg/d shown to be effective in both metaanalyses.

Clinical trials of sporadic colorectal adenoma

Adenomas are the precursors of the vast majority of CRC (31–33). To date, 4 placebo-controlled, randomized trials have examined the effects of aspirin in nearly 3,000 patients with either a history of colorectal adenoma or previous CRC (Table 2; refs. 34–38). A metaanalysis of these trials showed that aspirin at any dose (81–325 mg/d) reduced the risk of any colorectal adenoma (defined as occurrence after randomization) by 17% (RR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.72–0.96) over a median postrandomization follow-up of 33 months (37). The risk of advanced colorectal adenomas (defined as 1 cm or larger in size or with tubulovillous or villous histology, high-grade dysplasia, or invasive cancer) was reduced by 28% (RR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.57–0.92) over a median postrandomization follow-up of 33 months (37). The risk of advanced colorectal adenomas (defined as 1 cm or larger in size or with tubulovillous or villous histology, high-grade dysplasia, or invasive cancer) was reduced by 28% (RR, 0.72; 95% CI, 0.57–0.92) over a median postrandomization follow-up of 33 months (37). Additional studies are ongoing, including the Japan Colorectal Aspirin Polyps Prevention (J-CAPP) study, a multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled study, which is examining the effect of aspirin 100 mg/d on occurrence of recurrent tumors 2 years after endoscopic removal of colorectal adenomas and cancers (40).

Clinical trials of hereditary colorectal neoplasia

Aspirin has also been investigated in clinical trials among patients with hereditary conditions with a known genetic basis, such as familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) or Lynch syndrome (hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal carcinoma). In classic FAP, patients typically develop hundreds to thousands of adenomatous polyps throughout the colon, often beginning as early as the second decade of life. Colorectal adenocarcinomas inevitably develop in FAP patients, typically by age 40 years, or approximately 10 to 15 years after the initial appearance of polyposis (39). A germline mutation in the adenomatous polyposis coli (APC) gene underlies FAP and is a primary molecular event in up to 85% of sporadic cancers; thus, chemoprevention studies in these patients have relevance for colorectal carcinogenesis in the general population.

Agents that can delay adenoma development or growth and progression to cancer could play a vital role in delaying prophylactic colectomy and preventing polyposis in a retained rectum or ileal pouch after colectomy (40). Early randomized control trials have shown the efficacy of...
Novelose. In more than 80% of patients, recruitment was based on molecular genetic identification of the underlying mismatch repair gene defect. Of the 1,007 eligible carriers randomized, 937 patients with Lynch syndrome commenced treatment and were the basis for analysis. Analysis at the end of the intervention phase revealed that aspirin did not reduce the risk of colorectal adenoma or carcinoma over a mean treatment duration of 29 months (46). The study design involved a prolonged double-blind, postintervention follow-up. When the first recruits reached the target of 10 years, a review of cancer incidence was carried out (47). Over a mean follow-up of 55.7 months, despite routine clinical surveillance, 48 participants developed 53 primary CRCs (18/427 randomized to aspirin, 30/434 to aspirin placebo). Intention to treat analysis of time to first CRC showed an HR of 0.63 (95% CI, 0.35–1.13, \( P = 0.12 \)). The primary endpoint of the trial was the number and stage of CRCs after 2 years of aspirin treatment. In a perprotocol analysis of individuals who consumed a minimum of 1,400 tablets as a marker of adherence to at least 2 years of treatment, the HR of CRC was 0.41 (95% CI, 0.19–0.86, \( P = 0.02 \); Fig. 2). Secondary analysis revealed fewer Lynch syndrome-related cancers in those on aspirin for at least 2 years (incident RR = 0.42; 95% CI, 0.25–0.72, \( P = 0.001 \)).

**Studies of patients with previous CRC**

In a placebo-controlled, randomized trial of patients with a history of nonmetastatic colon or rectal cancer after resection of their primary tumor, daily treatment with standard-dose (325 mg) aspirin was associated with a 35% reduction in risk of recurrent adenoma or carcinoma.

---

### Table 2. Patient characteristics and effect of aspirin on the reduction of colorectal adenoma riska

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design</th>
<th>APACC</th>
<th>AFPPS</th>
<th>CALGB</th>
<th>ukCAP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients (n)</td>
<td>Randomized-controlled trial</td>
<td>Randomized-controlled trial</td>
<td>Randomized-controlled trial</td>
<td>Randomized trial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up: 4 y</td>
<td>Aspirin (160 or 300 mg/d) or placebo</td>
<td>Aspirin (81 or 325 mg/d) or placebo</td>
<td>Aspirin (325 mg/d) or placebo</td>
<td>Aspirin (300 mg/d) or folate supplement (0.5 mg/d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recent history of colorectal adenomas</td>
<td>Recent history of colorectal adenomas</td>
<td>Recent history of colorectal adenomas</td>
<td>Recent history of colorectal adenomas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients (n)</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>1,121</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoma inclusion criteria</td>
<td>Recent history of colorectal adenomas</td>
<td>Recent history of colorectal adenomas</td>
<td>Previous history of CRCs</td>
<td>Recent history of colorectal adenomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of adenomas (%)</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk ratio (95% CI) for any adenomaa</td>
<td>0.95 (0.75–1.21)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.77–1.02)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.44–0.86)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.63–0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk ratio (95% CI) for advanced adenomaa</td>
<td>0.91 (0.51–1.60)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.52–1.06)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.29–2.05)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.43–0.91)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** APACC, Association pour la Prévention pari’Aspirine du Cancer Colorectal; AFPPS, Aspirin Folate Polyp Prevention Study; CALGB, Cancer and Leukemia Group B; ukCAP, United Kingdom Colorectal Adenoma Prevention.

a**Refer refs. 34–38.**

b**Versus placebo or folate (based on colonoscopic follow-up).**
Aspirin in Chemoprevention of Colorectal Neoplasia

The safety profile of aspirin for CV disease prevention has been well established and extensively reviewed (52, 53). The most frequently reported serious adverse events associated with regular aspirin use are related to gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding; the vast majority of which are not life threatening. A recent metaanalysis of 35 randomized controlled trials of aspirin using doses of 75 to 325 mg per day estimated an HR for a major GI bleed of 1.55 (95% CI, 1.27–1.90) compared with inert control reagents. For average-risk individuals, this translates into 1 to 2 GI bleeds per 1,000 person-years (53), although the absolute risk may be higher among individuals with additional baseline risk factors. Some (55–57), but not all (58–60), studies find that such toxicities are largely dose related, with the HR for bleeding complications being generally higher at 300 to 325 mg doses of aspirin rather than 75 to 162.5 mg doses (20, 61–65). Nonetheless, the risk of GI toxicity with low-dose aspirin remains significant (59). The relative (RR, 1.43; 95% CI, 0.85–2.42) and absolute (1–2 intracranial bleeds per 10,000 patient-years) risk of intracranial bleeding with low-dose aspirin use is lower than the corresponding risk of GI bleeding (59, 66). However, the generally more severe consequences of intracranial bleeding weigh heavily in overall considerations of risk and benefit. Thus, based on available data and largely due to concerns about the adverse consequences of long-term aspirin use, the U.S. Preventive Services task force recommended against the routine use of aspirin for CRC prevention in 2007 (67).

Such risk–benefit calculations will require reconsideration based on the recent evidence supporting a benefit of daily aspirin use in the prevention of death from several cancers, including those of the colorectum (21). In the pooled analysis of individual patient data from 8 randomized controlled trials of aspirin versus control, daily aspirin for 5 to 10 years, reduced in-trial cancer deaths after 5 years by 34% (P = 0.003), with a 10% reduction in all-cause mortality during the trials, and reduced the 20-year risk of cancer death by 20% (P < 0.0001). On the basis of these data, it would seem that, for most individuals, the benefits of long-term use of daily aspirin for prevention of chronic disease would likely outweigh the consequences associated with the increased risk of bleeding. Thus, a formal risk–benefit analysis that fully incorporates the cumulative hazards of prolonged use of aspirin is warranted. Moreover, clinical trial data are still lacking and are unlikely to become available regarding the risks and benefits associated with the daily use of aspirin in low-risk populations over a duration beyond 10 years, as might be expected in routine clinical practice. A clinical trial to examine the full range of risks and benefits directly over such a prolonged duration of use would almost certainly be impractical. As a result, the present data may be considered sufficiently compelling by some to warrant a broader recommendation for routine aspirin use for the prevention of chronic and overall survival among patients with Dukes’ C and high-risk Dukes’ B CRC.

Safety profile for chemoprevention

The safety profile of aspirin for CV disease prevention has been well established and extensively reviewed (52, 53). The most frequently reported serious adverse events associated with regular aspirin use are related to gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding; the vast majority of which are not life threatening. A recent metaanalysis of 35 randomized controlled trials of aspirin using doses of 75 to 325 mg per day estimated an HR for a major GI bleed of 1.55 (95% CI, 1.27–1.90) compared with inert control reagents. For average-risk individuals, this translates into 1 to 2 GI bleeds per 1,000 person-years (53), although the absolute risk may be higher among individuals with additional baseline risk factors. Some (55–57), but not all (58–60), studies find that such toxicities are largely dose related, with the HR for bleeding complications being generally higher at 300 to 325 mg doses of aspirin rather than 75 to 162.5 mg doses (20, 61–65). Nonetheless, the risk of GI toxicity with low-dose aspirin remains significant (59). The relative (RR, 1.43; 95% CI, 0.85–2.42) and absolute (1–2 intracranial bleeds per 10,000 patient-years) risk of intracranial bleeding with low-dose aspirin use is lower than the corresponding risk of GI bleeding (59, 66). However, the generally more severe consequences of intracranial bleeding weigh heavily in overall considerations of risk and benefit. Thus, based on available data and largely due to concerns about the adverse consequences of long-term aspirin use, the U.S. Preventive Services task force recommended against the routine use of aspirin for CRC prevention in 2007 (67).

Such risk–benefit calculations will require reconsideration based on the recent evidence supporting a benefit of daily aspirin use in the prevention of death from several cancers, including those of the colorectum (21). In the pooled analysis of individual patient data from 8 randomized controlled trials of aspirin versus control, daily aspirin for 5 to 10 years, reduced in-trial cancer deaths after 5 years by 34% (P = 0.003), with a 10% reduction in all-cause mortality during the trials, and reduced the 20-year risk of cancer death by 20% (P < 0.0001). On the basis of these data, it would seem that, for most individuals, the benefits of long-term use of daily aspirin for prevention of chronic disease would likely outweigh the consequences associated with the increased risk of bleeding. Thus, a formal risk–benefit analysis that fully incorporates the cumulative hazards of prolonged use of aspirin is warranted. Moreover, clinical trial data are still lacking and are unlikely to become available regarding the risks and benefits associated with the daily use of aspirin in low-risk populations over a duration beyond 10 years, as might be expected in routine clinical practice. A clinical trial to examine the full range of risks and benefits directly over such a prolonged duration of use would almost certainly be impractical. As a result, the present data may be considered sufficiently compelling by some to warrant a broader recommendation for routine aspirin use for the prevention of chronic...
Dosing for chemoprevention

Because the adverse effects of aspirin seem to be largely dose related as noted above, the minimally effective dose required for CRC prevention remains a critically important question. The Rothwell metaanalysis found that typical regimens of daily aspirin used for vascular disease prevention (75–325 mg/d) were as effective as high-dose (1,200 mg/d) aspirin (16). However, the short-term follow-up data from the 2 trials of alternate-day aspirin that were not eligible for inclusion in the metaanalysis (i.e., aspirin 325 mg in the PHS study and 100 mg in the WHS study) did not show a reduction in risk of CRC (27, 29). Although the negative findings of these studies could be attributed to their relatively short follow-up and/or alternate-day dosing, they leave some uncertainty regarding the effects of low-dose regimens.

The adenoma trials indicate that aspirin doses in the range of 81 to 325 mg daily reduce risk. However, the dose–response patterns in these studies are difficult to integrate. Two trials compared higher (300–325 mg/d) and lower (81–160 mg/d) doses of aspirin; a reduction in the risk of all recurrent adenomas was found only with the lower (81–160 mg/d) doses (37). Nonetheless, 2 other trials that only studied the higher (300–325 mg/d) doses of aspirin both reported reductions in risk of all adenomas from the active treatment (36, 38). Over all trials, the summary estimates for the risk reduction associated with lower (81–160 mg) and higher (300–325 mg) dose aspirin were similar both for all adenomas and for advanced adenomas.

Although the posttrial follow-up of the randomized trials of daily aspirin in prevention of CV disease and the results of the adenoma recurrence trials show relative consistency that 75 to 81 mg daily doses of aspirin may be sufficient for optimal CRC prevention, observational data are not clear on this point. Some studies suggest that 300 to 325 mg/d may be required (5, 7–9, 68). Unfortunately, in most of these observational studies, information regarding the doses and duration of use is incomplete, and many of the analyses of dose–response patterns have not taken into account the duration of use and the follow-up apparently required for prevention of CRC. In 2 prospective cohort studies that could examine use of aspirin over a long duration, greater efficacy was observed with intake as high as 14 (325 mg) tablets per week (8, 9).

Thus, taking the clinical trial and observational data together, there is very strong evidence that aspirin in doses as low as 325 mg/d reduces CRC risk. There is clear clinical trial evidence for daily doses as low as 75 mg, but the observational data—admittedly, incomplete—are inconsistent. Additional data regarding the effectiveness of 100 mg aspirin every other day may soon be available through longer-term follow-up of the WHS and PHS, which is currently underway (29). In addition, 2 ongoing placebo-controlled trials of aspirin (100 mg/d), the Aspirin in Reducing Events in the Elderly (ASPREE) study and the Aspirin to Reduce Risk of Initial Vascular Events (ARRIVE), might yield important insights, although each of these studies may not offer follow-up beyond 5 years. A dose-finding study regarding the risk–benefit balance of different aspirin doses among individuals with Lynch syndrome is currently being planned.

It is important to consider that, for CV disease prevention, 75 to 81 mg aspirin seems equivalent to 300 to 325 mg aspirin (69). Thus, even if 300 to 325 mg aspirin is more effective than 75 to 81 mg aspirin for CRC prevention, any lower efficacy for 75 to 81 mg aspirin may be offset by its more favorable safety profile. In any case, the large magnitude of the benefits for vascular disease prevention may dominate those for CRC at either dose. Moreover, incorporation of potential benefits associated with the development of an efficacious and cost-effective means of reducing the GI risks of long-term aspirin use (e.g., concurrent administration of gastroprotective agents) will also likely require future consideration in defining optimal dosing.

The mechanism of action of aspirin for chemoprevention

Aspirin’s most well-characterized pharmacologic activity is the permanent modification of the prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthetase (PTGS) or COX enzymes, which are rate limiting for the metabolic conversion of arachidonic acid to prostaglandins and related eicosanoids. The COX-1 isoenzyme is constitutively expressed in many tissues, whereas growth factors, oncogenes, tumor promoters, and inflammatory cytokines induce the COX-2 isoenzyme. Aspirin’s vascular benefits seem largely due to acetylation of platelet-activated PTGS-1 or COX-1, and inhibition of COX-1 generated thromboxane A2 (TXA2) that occurs with low doses (<75 mg/d; ref. 70). In contrast, the mechanism of aspirin’s antineoplastic effect is less clear, with substantial evidence supporting both COX-dependent and COX-independent mechanisms. Moreover, data supporting the importance of COX-dependent mechanisms are not entirely consistent concerning the relative importance of the COX-1 and COX-2 isoforms in carcinogenesis. Some of the primary effectors of COX-dependent mechanisms in carcinogenesis are likely to be prostaglandins, particularly prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), which increases cellular proliferation, migration, and invasiveness, promotes angiogenesis, induces resistance to apoptosis, and modulates cellular and humoral immunity. In animal models, PGE2 administration reverses aspirin-induced adenoma regression and enhances carcinoma-induced tumor incidence, whereas genetic deletion of PGE2 receptors EP1 and EP4 confers resistance to formation of aberrant crypt foci, polyps, and cancers (71). Examination of the polyps in ApCmin/+ mice reveals that both COX-1 and COX-2 contribute to PGE2 formation in polyps, but only COX-1 contributes to PGE2 production in normal tissue (72). In humans, aspirin doses sufficient to inhibit COX-1 but not COX-2 (73) appear to effectively inhibit prostaglandin...
synthesis in the colon (74). This suggests that, if the anticancer benefit of aspirin is primarily mediated through the suppression of prostaglandins, inhibition of COX-1 is likely to play a role. In support of this hypothesis, disruption of both COX-1 and COX-2 genes is associated with reduced colorectal polyp formation by approximately 80% in the Apc<sup>Min+/−</sup> murine model of FAP (72).

Nonetheless, a number of studies have shown that COX-2 is directly implicated in colorectal tumorigenesis (75, 76). In Apc<sup>Δ716</sup> knockout mice, which mimic human FAP, deletion of the COX-2 gene reduced the number of intestinal polyps compared with controls (77). Human data also support a central role for COX-2 in colorectal carcinogenesis. First, COX-2 selective inhibitors have been shown to be effective in preventing colorectal adenoma (78–80). Furthermore, aspirin inhibits COX-2 in epithelial cells at higher doses compared with those required to acetylate platelets (70). This is consistent with the observational finding that higher doses of aspirin are more strongly inversely associated with CRC than lower doses, particularly for tumors that overexpress the COX-2 protein (81). COX-2’s influence in neoplasia may also be mediated through mechanisms other than inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis. Possible effects of aspirin include acetylated-COX-2–dependent generation of aspirin-triggered lipoxins, which inhibit cell proliferation (82), transcription-mediated expression of COX-2, and attenuation of COX-2/ peroxidase-mediated activation of carcinogens, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (83).

Aspirin may also indirectly influence COX-2 through its effect on platelets. It has been hypothesized that aspirin-mediated inactivation of platelets may restore antitumor reactivity by blocking the release of paracrine lipid and protein mediators that induce COX-2 expression in adjacent-nucleated cells at sites of mucosal injury (84). Ex vivo studies in human volunteers have shown that aspirin inhibits thromboxane-mediated release of the biologically active lipid, sphingosine-1-phosphate (S-1P) from platelets (85). S-1P promotes tumor growth, neovascularization, and inflammation (86). An antineoplastic effect of aspirin mediated through its effect on platelets would also provide a mechanistic basis for findings of clinical trials showing a lower risk of colorectal neoplasia associated with lower, antiplatelet doses of aspirin that would not be expected to substantially inhibit COX-2.

There are also a number of non-COX–related pathways that may underlie aspirin’s antineoplastic effects. These may include direct modulation of oncogene-induced expression of transcription factors, such as NF-κB and induction of spermidine/spermine N1-acetyltransferase resulting in modulation of polyamine synthesis (87, 88). In <i>in vitro</i> models, aspirin has been shown to reduce microsatellite instability in CRC cells deficient for a subset of the human mismatch repair genes (89) and to uncouple oxidative phosphorylation, which is necessary for cell proliferation (90, 91). Aspirin may also increase apoptosis of tumor cells, possibly via complex interactions with tumor promoters and suppressors and DNA repair genes and through modulation of the Wnt/β-catenin (ceramide) pathway (92). An inhibition of angiogenesis through a COX-independent mechanism has been observed <i>in vitro</i> (93).

Despite the large body of data regarding the potential mode of action for aspirin in chemoprevention, understanding of the mechanisms remains incomplete. A significant limitation of much of the data derived from <i>in vitro</i> studies is the high doses examined, which may not be physiologically relevant <i>in vivo</i>. In humans, 300 mg/d of aspirin corresponds to peak plasma levels of unchanged aspirin of less than 50 μmol/L and levels of the primary metabolite, salicylate, of less than 0.5 mmol/L, with the great majority (>90%) of both compounds bound to other proteins. In <i>in vitro</i> studies, the typical aspirin concentrations used in protein-free media are generally much higher, primarily in the low millimolar range. Nonetheless, further investigation into aspirin’s anticancer mechanism continues to be a high research priority. An improved understanding of mechanisms will inform decisions about optimal dose, frequency of administration, and combination therapy with other agents. An overview of the possible modes of action of aspirin in cancer chemoprevention is illustrated in Fig. 3.

The fact that aspirin reduces the risk of sporadic colorectal adenomas within a few years, but requires 7 to 10 years for an effect on invasive cancer suggests that at least some of aspirin’s chemopreventive effect occurs relatively early in the carcinogenesis process. However, it is noteworthy that the CAPP2 trial did not show a reduction in the number of Lynch syndrome gene carriers reporting adenoma development, but that reports of CRC were reduced after a delay, as in the sporadic setting (47). This is compatible with the possibility that, at least in individuals with Lynch syndrome, aspirin may have a long-term impact on the risk of cancer development which is not primarily mediated through adenoma prevention.

**Potential combinations with aspirin for chemoprevention**

There are a number of additional candidates for CRC prevention, such as calcium, other NSAIDs (e.g., celecoxib), statins, difluoromethionithine (DFMO), and fish oil for which there are some data suggesting the potential for enhanced prevention when used in combination with aspirin. In the Calcium Polyp Prevention Study (CPPS) of 930 patients with a recent history of colorectal adenoma, randomization to calcium 1,200 mg/d reduced the risk of a recurrent adenoma at a 4-year colonoscopy by 19% (RR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.67–0.99; ref. 93). A potential synergistic effect of calcium and aspirin on adenoma risk was observed in a combined analysis of data from the CPPS and the Aspirin/Folate Polyp Prevention Study (AFFPS; ref. 94).

Considerable epidemiologic data support an inverse association between use of nonaspirin NSAIDs such as ibuprofen and colorectal cancer (95). Selective COX-2 inhibitors, including celecoxib, have been shown to reduce...
the risk of recurrent colorectal adenomas in 3 randomized, controlled trials (78–80). Despite this showed efficacy, a dose-dependent association with CV events that was uncovered in these studies hampers the routine use of these agents for widespread chemoprevention (96–99). In a preclinical study, administration of combinations of low doses of celecoxib and aspirin reduced colorectal adenomas, apoptosis, and proliferation more effectively than high doses of these agents given individually in the azoxymethane-treated rat model of CRC (100). However, human data supporting synergistic chemopreventive efficacy with a combination of aspirin and celecoxib are lacking. In the placebo-controlled Adenoma Prevention with Celecoxib (APC) trial, 2,035 patients after resection of a colorectal adenoma were stratified according to their use of cardioprotective doses of aspirin ($\geq 162.5$ mg/d) and randomized to 2 doses of celecoxib. There was no significant difference in efficacy or toxicity according to concurrent use of low-dose aspirin, either at the end of treatment or after 5 years of follow-up (98).

In the parallel-designed Prevention of Colorectal Sporadic Adenomatous Polyps (PreSAP) trial, which randomized 1,561 patients following adenoma removal to celecoxib 400 mg/d, there was no difference in the efficacy or toxicity of celecoxib among aspirin users ($\leq 162.5$ mg/d) compared with nonaspirin users at either 3 or 5 years (80, 99). Similar results were observed in a placebo-controlled randomized study of the COX-2 selective agent, rofecoxib, in the Adenomatous Polyp Prevention on Vioxx (APPROVe) trial (78).

It is important to note that in the APC, PreSAP, and APPROVe trials, aspirin users were defined according to their self-selected use of aspirin at the time of their baseline examination. Thus, it is reasonable to assume that most subjects developed their initial qualifying adenoma in the setting of low-dose aspirin use, suggesting that they may already have been relatively “resistant” to the antineoplastic benefit of aspirin. Therefore, the possibility remains for enhanced benefit with the combination of aspirin and celecoxib among patients who have never been exposed to these agents. Moreover, further studies are needed to determine the need for prolonged use of combination treatment. In the APC, PreSAP, and APPROVe trials, patients who were withdrawn from COX-2 inhibitor treatment had a higher rate of recurrent adenoma compared with patients withdrawn from placebo, findings suggesting a "rebound" of neoplasia at an accelerated rate after release of COX-2 inhibition (78, 98, 99). This rate of "rebound" was particularly pronounced among patients who initially developed their adenoma despite using aspirin and had a UGT1A6 variant genotype associated with impaired aspirin metabolism (101).

Statins have also been considered in combination with aspirin for disease prevention, as is currently recommended for many patients with CV disease. In many case–control studies, statins have been associated with a reduction in the risk of CRC, but most cohort studies and secondary analyses of randomized clinical trials have not shown a benefit (102, 103). Preclinical studies have shown that statins combined with aspirin are more effective in inhibiting...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Aspirin dose</th>
<th>Design/phase</th>
<th>Start date</th>
<th>End date</th>
<th>Primary outcome</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design/</td>
<td>phase</td>
<td>Start date</td>
<td>End date</td>
<td>Primary outcome</td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomarker Trial of Aspirin and Arginine Restriction in Colorectal Cancer</td>
<td>Resected CRC</td>
<td>Aspirin/arginine restriction</td>
<td>325 mg/d</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>September 2008</td>
<td>December 2012</td>
<td>Change in markers (putrescine) of polyamine reduction</td>
<td>3 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectral Markers in Aspirin Chemoprevention of Colonic Neoplasia</td>
<td>History of CRC/adenomas</td>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>325 mg/d</td>
<td>Phase II, RCT</td>
<td>March 2007</td>
<td>August 2008</td>
<td>Change in spectral slope and fractal dimension in rectal biopsies</td>
<td>3 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randomized Trial of Aspirin and Difluoromethylornithine (DFMO) in Patients at High Risk of Colorectal Cancer</td>
<td>History of CRC/adenomas</td>
<td>Aspirin and difluoromethylornithine</td>
<td>325 mg/d</td>
<td>Phase II, RCT</td>
<td>Phase II, October 2009</td>
<td>Phase II, November 2010</td>
<td>Adenoma recurrence 1 y</td>
<td>1 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Systematic Evaluation of Aspirin and Fish Oil polyp prevention (SeAFOod)</td>
<td>High-risk colorectal adenoma patients</td>
<td>Aspirin and eicosapentaenoic acid-free fatty acid</td>
<td>300 mg/d</td>
<td>Phase III, RCT</td>
<td>September 2011</td>
<td>October 2014</td>
<td>Number of colorectal 1–3 y adenomas</td>
<td>1–3 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan Colorectal Aspirin Polyps Prevention (J-CAPP)</td>
<td>Resected CRC</td>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>100 mg/d</td>
<td>Phase III, RCT</td>
<td>January 2007</td>
<td>December 2012</td>
<td>Newly developed tumors</td>
<td>2–3 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspirin for Dukes C and High Risk Dukes B Colon Cancer (ASCOLT)</td>
<td>Dukes B, C colon or rectal cancer</td>
<td>Aspirin</td>
<td>200 mg/d</td>
<td>Phase III, RCT</td>
<td>December 2008</td>
<td>December 2019</td>
<td>Disease-free survival</td>
<td>5 y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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experimental colorectal carcinogenesis than either agent alone (100). In a German case–control study of 540 patients with CRC and 614 controls, there was a marked reduction in CRC when both aspirin and statins were used in combination for at least 5 years (OR, 0.38; 95% CI, 0.15–0.97; ref. 104). However, there was no apparent interaction between aspirin and statins in 2 other case–control studies (105, 106) and in a prospective cohort study (107). The recently launched National Surgical Adjuvant Bowel Project P-5 phase III study in patients with resected stage I or II colon cancer will specifically examine rosvustatin, with and without concurrent aspirin use, in relation to risk of colorectal adenomas and cancer.

Another promising agent for use in combination with aspirin for chemoprevention is DFMO. Aspirin and DFMO are hypothesized to synergistically reduce levels of colonic mucosal polyamines (e.g., putrescine, spermidine, and spermine), which may be procarcinogenic (108). DFMO inhibits polyamine synthesis (109), whereas aspirin increases polyamine acetylation and export (88). In a previous small clinical trial of patients with a history of colorectal adenoma, treatment with DFMO (500 mg/d) and the NSAID, sulindac (150 mg/d), for 36 months was associated with an impressive 70% reduction in the risk of adenoma recurrence (110). A total of 38.9% of patients were also using concurrent aspirin (≤81 mg/d or ≤325 mg twice weekly) at the time of enrollment. Although this concurrent aspirin use did not affect the total number of adenomas in either group, it remains possible that this study population was preferentially resistant to aspirin, because patients likely developed their baseline adenoma in the setting of low-dose aspirin use. Further analysis of aspirin and DFMO in a well-designed, randomized trial is needed, particularly because this combination may have a lower risk of CV toxicity than the DFMO and sulindac regimen (51).

Because omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) and aspirin may both interrupt prostaglandin synthesis through complementary pathways (111), combined use of aspirin and PUFAs including eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid has been proposed. Evidence showing a lower risk of colorectal neoplasia with PUFAs has been recently reviewed (112). In a clinical trial of 55 FAP patients, EPA, in the free fatty acid form, has been shown to reduce polyp number and size in the retained rectum after 6 months of treatment (113). The magnitude of benefit was similar to that observed previously with celecoxib (42). The recently launched Systematic Evaluation of Aspirin and Fish Oil polyp prevention trial (SeAFOod) trial is designed specifically to examine daily use of aspirin (300 mg/d) and EPA (2 g/d) in a placebo-controlled 2-by-2 factorial design among sporadic colorectal adenoma patients following clearance colonoscopy of 5 or more small polyps or 3 or more polyps with at least 1 at or more cm.

Summary and future directions
CRC accounts for a significant proportion of cancer deaths worldwide, which highlights an urgent need for...
preventive strategies. Despite uncertainty about the precise mechanisms that underlie aspirin’s anticancer benefit (114), the evidence supporting the effectiveness of aspirin for the prevention of CRC is substantial. Long-term follow-up of patients enrolled in CV disease prevention trials has recently shown that allocation to daily aspirin for at least 5 years reduced the 20-year risk of CRC by 32% and 20-year mortality by 43% (16). A separate pooled analysis of individual patient data from approximately 20,000 patients from 8 trials showed that scheduled daily aspirin treatment (75–1,200 mg) for a duration of 5 or more years reduced the risk of CRC mortality by 21% after a 10-year follow-up and by 40% after a 20-year follow-up (21). The CAPR2 randomized trial found almost a 60% reduction in new cancers among 1,000 Lynch syndrome gene carriers, an effect that became apparent around 5 years from randomization in those who took 600 mg aspirin daily for a minimum of 2 years (47). Data from 4 randomized trials that enrolled nearly 3,000 patients clearly indicates that aspirin (75–325 mg/d for 3 years) reduced the risk of any recurrent colorectal adenoma by 17% and advanced adenoma by 28% (37).

Some authorities encourage routine use of aspirin among individuals for whom the risk of myocardial infarction or ischemic stroke outweigh the risk of gastrointestinal hemorrhage (115). However, these same bodies do not advise the routine use of aspirin for prevention of CRC primarily due to concerns about toxicity and continued uncertainty about the optimal dose, duration, and frequency of use, and age of initiation that may maximize the benefits of aspirin while minimizing the risk (12, 67). These recommendations were developed, however, prior to the recent data from long-term follow-up of randomized trials of daily aspirin (16, 21). The potential benefit of aspirin in both prevention of cancer at multiple sites and vascular disease may tip the scale in favor of aspirin for broader chronic disease prevention. It is likely that the benefits of such disease prevention in terms of morbidity and mortality will outweigh concerns about GI bleeding, which is rarely life threatening, and cerebral bleeding, which is extremely rare. Thus, consideration should be made to discontinue the practice of issuing separate recommendations for the routine use of aspirin for the prevention of vascular disease or cancer in favor of broader recommendations encouraging use of aspirin for prevention of multiple chronic diseases, in certain populations for whom the benefits outweigh the risks. Additional data regarding the balance of risk and benefits will likely be available from ongoing studies of aspirin and colorectal neoplasia as summarized in Table 3. Continued research, however, does not preclude immediate consideration of aspirin use for the prevention of CRC in the context of an individualized assessment of risks and benefits.
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