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Abstract

Dipyridamole (DPM) is widely used to prevent strokes and vascular thrombosis. Combination therapy of DPM and antimetabolites has shown synergistic anticancer activity. This study investigated the chemopreventive effects of DPM in the mouse mammary tumor virus promoter-driven polyoma middle T oncprotein metastatic breast cancer model. We also investigated the effects of DPM on gene and miRNA expression. Chemopreventive activity was assessed by comparing the time to onset of palpable lesions, primary tumor growth kinetics, and the number of lung metastases in transgenic mice treated with DPM or vehicle. Gene expression and miRNA expression profiles of mammary tumor tissues were then analyzed using the Affymetrix GeneChip or miRNA 2.0 arrays. Real-time quantitative PCR was used to confirm changes in gene expression. Treatment with DPM beginning at the age of 4 weeks delayed the onset of palpable lesions, delayed tumor progression, and suppressed lung metastasis. Microarray gene expression analysis identified 253 genes differentially expressed between DPM-treated and control mammary tumors. miRNA expression analysis revealed that 53 miRNAs were altered by DPM treatment. The results indicate that DPM has chemoprevention activity against breast cancer tumorigenesis and metastasis in mice. The array analyses provide insights into potential mechanisms of DPM’s chemopreventive effects, involving upregulation of several genes and miRNAs known to suppress cancer growth and/or metastasis and downregulation of genes known to promote cancer. Some of these genes have not been previously studied in breast cancer and may serve as novel molecular targets for breast cancer chemoprevention. Cancer Prev Res; 6(5): 437–47. ©2013 AACR.

Introduction

Cancer is the result of a multistep process of tumorigenesis in which there is a gradual transition from normal cells to increasing grades of dysplasia that culminate in an invasive and metastatic phenotype (1). Arresting one or several of these steps may impede or delay the development of carcinomas and provide opportunities for the development of clinical interventions aimed both at preventing cancer initiation and at treating premalignant lesions (1, 2). Cancer chemoprevention was first defined by Sporn (3). It is an innovative area of cancer research that focuses on the development of pharmacological, biological, and nutritional interventions to prevent, reverse, or delay carcinogenesis, and it involves the primary prevention of initiation and the secondary prevention of delay, or reversal, of promotion and progression (3). There are at least 2 major mechanisms for cancer chemoprevention (4). One is anti-mutagenesis, which includes the inhibition of the uptake of carcinogens, the formation/activation of carcinogens, the deactivation/detoxification of carcinogens, and the other is antiproliferation/antiproliferation.

Current chemoprevention drugs and potential chemopreventive agents under investigation are diverse in chemical structure and physiologic effects. The selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM) drug tamoxifen, for example, reduces the risk of breast cancer in some women who are at risk of developing the disease or a recurrence. But adverse side effects, such as an increased risk of endometrial cancer and thromboembolic events, limit its use (5, 6). At present, there are very few options available for chemoprevention of cancer.

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy in women worldwide and is one of the most lethal carcinomas. It is the second leading cause of cancer-related death in women in the United States (7). Advances in early detection and improved treatment for breast cancer have led to a steady decrease in the overall breast cancer mortality rate; however, breast cancer remains a significant cause of morbidity and mortality (8, 9). The SERMs tamoxifen and raloxifene reduce breast cancer incidence in high-risk women by approximately 50% (10, 11). However, they do not decrease...
the incidence of estrogen receptor (ER)–negative breast cancer, which accounts for 30% to 40% of all breast cancers. Furthermore as alluded to above, SERMs may cause severe side effects in patients. It is therefore imperative to develop safe and effective chemopreventive agents for both ER-positive and ER-negative breast cancers.

Dipyridamole (DPM) is a clinically tested nucleoside transport inhibitor and antithrombotic agent that is widely used to prevent strokes and vascular thrombosis because of its antiplatelet and vasodilatory activities as a nucleoside transporter and a nonselective phosphodiesterase inhibitor (12). Studies suggest that DPM possesses beneficial properties within the endothelium of the vasculature in addition to its antiplatelet effects, including direct and indirect effects such as inhibition of proliferation, antioxidant, and anti-inflammatory properties, and downstream effects on cell signaling (13). DPM was previously reported to increase the cytotoxicity of antimetabolites like pemetrexed on human cancer cells (14, 15). Our in vitro study of nucleoside transport inhibitors as chemopreventive agents has found that DPM shows chemopreventive activity in the J66 cell tumor promotion model (our unpublished results). Other studies have shown that DPM has preventive activity against prostate cancer (16) and pancreatic cancer (17) metastasis. Hence, we envisaged that DPM might be a novel primary and metastasis chemopreventive agent for breast cancer that may exhibit a better pharmacological profile for long-term prevention than the SERMs, such as tamoxifen. In support of this hypothesis, DPM has recently been shown to block invasion of highly aggressive triple-negative breast cancer cell lines implanted in mice and to reduce cell proliferation and invasion in MCF10A cells that were chronically treated with 3 different chemical carcinogens (18, 19).

The mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV) promoter-driven expression of the polyoma middle T oncprotein (MMTV-PyMT) produces spontaneous, luminal-like breast cancer. It is widely used to model ER-negative late stage carcinomas, which are highly metastatic to the lung (20, 21). Therefore, we investigated the breast cancer chemopreventive potential of DPM in this highly aggressive model of breast cancer, which initiates from normal mammary epithelium.

Materials and Methods

Animals

MMTV-PyMT+ male mice (FVB/Nj strain) were derived from colonies managed by T.N.S. Transgenic females were obtained by breeding FVB/Nj females with PyMT+ transgenic males. All mice were housed in the Center for Adult Cancer Research animal facility, and were provided free access to food and water. The experimental protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). All 10 mammary glands of transgenic females typically develop mammary tumors by the age of 14 to 16 weeks (21), therefore, whole body conditioning (BC) scoring was used in lieu of tumor burden to establish the criteria for experimental endpoints (22). At Week 11 of treatment, vehicle-treated mice developed a BC score of 2.0 or lower, therefore, the last drug treatment occurred at Week 11, and all mice were euthanized and tissues harvested 2 to 3 days after the final injection.

Formulation of DPM for the chemoprevention study

DPM was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals (Supplementary Fig. S1A) and reconstituted in the formulation listed below. All solvents were obtained from BASF. Each mouse received DPM intraperitoneal (i.p.) at a dose of 10 mg/kg body weight in a volume of 200 μL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient</th>
<th>Total% (w/w)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Pyrolidone</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propylene glycol</td>
<td>12–15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cremophor ELP</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>q.s. to 100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preclinical chemoprevention experimental design

PyMT+ female mice were randomly divided into a DPM treatment group (n = 10 mice, total of 100 glands) and a vehicle (control) group (n = 7 mice, total of 70 glands). When mice were 4 weeks old, when hyperplasia lesions begin to develop in the FVB strain (21), DPM (10 mg/kg) or vehicle was injected i.p. into the peritoneum of each mouse on a 5 days on/2 days off dosing schedule for a total of 11 weeks (week one = injection one), until mice were 15 weeks of age. Each of the 10 mammary tumors per mouse was monitored until the study end point. Additional details are provided in the Supplementary materials.

RNA extraction for microarray or real-time PCR studies

Total RNA was isolated from solid (nonnecrotic) portions of breast tumors using the miRNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) and treated with Turbo DNase I (Ambion). RNA integrity was assayed by the Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies) at the UTHSC Molecular Resource Center (MRC). Samples with a RNA integrity number of >8.0 or >6.0 were compared by microarray analyses, or real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR), respectively.

Analysis of gene expression by microarrays

Microarray analysis was done by hybridization to Affymetrix GeneChip Mouse Gene 1.0 ST array per manufacturer’s instructions (Affymetrix) at the MRC (100 ng of total RNA input). Expression across 3 biological replicate RNA preparations from tumors of the DPM or vehicle treatment groups were compared. CEL files generated by the Command Console (Affymetrix GeneChip Operating Software) were uploaded into the Expression Console for normalization and probe set summarization using the RMA (Robust Multichip Analysis) algorithm (23). The resulting data of probe set intensities were exported to a .txt Excel file to compare mean expression profiles of DPM-treated samples to the vehicle control. Genes that changed by at least 1.5-fold between treatment groups (and with a P-value of ≤0.05)
among biological replicates) were selected for qPCR validation, as described in the Supplementary materials.

**miRNA array analysis**

The miRNA 2.0 array was hybridized using 500 ng of total RNA per standard Affymetrix protocols. The same RNA preparations used in the mRNA microarray analysis were used in miRNA array analysis. Data extraction was done using Affymetrix Command Console software. Raw data were analyzed by the following workflow: background detection, RNA global background correlation, quantile normalization, median polish and log2-transformed with miRNA QC tool software. qPCR validation was done as described in the Supplementary materials.

**Western blot analysis**

Whole cell extract (WCE) was prepared from frozen tumor ground to powder in liquid nitrogen and lysed with RIPA buffer containing protease inhibitors. WCE (30 μg/lane) was resolved using SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and transferred onto nylon membrane (Bio-Rad). Blots were incubated for 1 hour at room temperature with previously validated antibodies to KLK10 (Bioss, bs-2531R, 1:50) or β-actin (Santa Cruz, 1:1000), and complexes detected with HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary, or with an anti-LECT1 (Bioss Antibodies, bs-7561) HRP-conjugated antibody (1:500) and developed by enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham).

**miRNA target and ingenuity pathway analyses**

Gene targets were predicted for qPCR-validated, upregulated miRNAs (mmu-miR-140, mmu-miR-140-3p, mmu-miR-455, mmu-miR-351, mmu-miR-214, and mmu-miR-1949), and the downregulated miRNA (mmu-miR-148a). The miRNA target prediction databases TargetScan and miRDB were queried. Potential target gene interactions were analyzed via networks generated in the ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA) tool v9.0 (Ingenuity Systems). The qPCR-validated, biologically active miRNAs and their predicted target genes were uploaded to the IPA software. Canonical pathways were identified from the standard IPA library. The probability that an association between genes in the dataset of interest and the canonical pathways in IPA was determined using built-in algorithms.

**Statistical analysis**

Prism 5.0 (GraphPad) was used for all statistical analyses and graphing. Differences in body weight over time were analyzed by 2-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Palpation data were analyzed using Kaplan–Meier analysis, with glands that did not progress to the stage of interest censored (0 in Prism) and compared for significance using the log-rank test. Unless otherwise noted, all other data were analyzed using a 2-way, unpaired Student t test. All results were expressed as the mean ± SEM and a P ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Effects of DPM treatment on body weight gain**

Daily administration of DPM i.p. at a 10 mg/kg dose on a 5-day on and 2-day off schedule was well tolerated by all mice. No clinical signs of toxicity were present in either the group as no mice required removal from the study before Week 11 of treatment. DPM administration did not result in a significant difference over time in mean body weight between vehicle and DPM-treated mice (2-way ANOVA; Fig. 1A). Differences in body weight were not individually significant by an unpaired t test at Weeks 8 to 11. The increased body weight observed in the vehicle cohort is presumed to be because of the presence of more mammary tumors per mouse and the overall larger tumor sizes observed in the vehicle-treated group (Figs. 1E and 2A).

**Effects of DPM treatment on stage-specific progression**

At 4 weeks of age, when hyperplasias are expected to be present in the FVB strain (21), the first sign of tumor initiation was the detection of grainy areas in glands during manual palpation. This was followed by progression of fine grains into larger granules that were not yet measurable with calipers, and then into pea-sized tumors (small early carcinomas <5 mm diameter), followed by transition into larger, measurable tumors (late stage carcinomas) with metastatic potential. These descriptors (grainy, granules, pea, or tumor) were used to score the palpation results for all glands per mouse each week. Kaplan–Meier curves were generated and differences between the curves analyzed by the log-rank test, which revealed that all comparisons for each stage of progression were significant (Figs. 1B–E).

Palpable grainy lesions developed at a median of 3 weeks of treatment in both groups and 100% of glands per group were classified as grainy in the vehicle and DPM groups by weeks 6 and 7, respectively (Fig. 1B). The median time to 50% of glands classified as granule lesions was 5 weeks for the vehicle group and 7 weeks for the DPM group (Fig. 1C), and 100% of glands transitioned to granules by Week 8 (vehicle) and Week 11 (DPM). The frequency of pea-sized tumors in the DPM-treated group was also significantly different compared to the vehicle group (Fig. 1D). By Week 11, all 70 glands of the vehicle group were classified as pea-sized tumors, whereas 15 glands remained at the granule stage in the DPM group. Finally, treatment with DPM significantly delayed the onset of measurable tumors by approximately 3 weeks as compared to vehicle (Fig. 1E). It should also be noted that 62 of 70 glands (89%) had formed measurable tumors by Week 11 in the vehicle group, whereas about one half of the glands in the DPM group were still classified as nonmeasurable pea-sized lesions (52%).

**Effects of DPM treatment on tumor growth and lung metastasis**

DPM suppressed mammary tumor growth compared to the vehicle controls (Fig. 2A–C). The mean tumor volumes at Weeks 7 to 11 of treatment are presented in Fig. 2A. No
tumors were observed in DPM-treated mice by Week 7, and only one tumor was observed by Week 8. Although there was a trend for DPM-treated tumors to be smaller at Weeks 9 and 10, differences did not approach statistical significance by an unpaired t test. Tumor volume was significantly reduced in the DPM group at Week 11 of treatment; the average tumor volume was 406.3 ± 39.60 mm³ in the vehicle group (n = 62 tumors) versus 286.8 ± 35.03 mm³ in the DPM group (n = 52 tumors; Fig. 2A and B). A comparison of the fraction of glands with measurable tumors over time revealed that the difference in measurable tumors was significantly different each week by Fisher exact test (Fig. 2C).

All glands/tumors were harvested from anesthetized mice 2 to 3 days following the last injection at Week 11. More necrotic tissue and intratumoral fluid were observed during tumor resection in the vehicle group than in the DPM treatment group. Example images of all tumors harvested from either a vehicle- or DPM-treated mouse are included in Fig. 3A. Because measurement of individual glands/tumors by calipers is somewhat hampered in intact transgenic mice as tumors enlarge, and because tumors were resected from mice 2 to 3 days following the last palpation of intact mice, ex vivo caliper measurements of individual tumors was also conducted at end point. There was a dramatic increase in the mean tumor volume as calculated based on ex vivo measurements for the vehicle group when compared to the mean volume calculated 2 to 3 days earlier at Week 11 (Fig. 3B; increase from ~400 to ~952 mm³). The mean tumor volume increased in the DPM group as well, from 268 to 476.5 mm³. These increases reflect both the additional growth of the tumor over a few days, as well as our ability to measure dissected tumors more carefully. DPM also significantly reduced mean tumor burden (tumor weight as a percentage of total body weight) from 42.4% in the vehicle group to 33.2% in the DPM group (Fig. 3C), and decreased the mean tumor wet weight by almost half (16.21 g vs. 9.52 g; Fig. 3D).

Comparison of histopathology of end-stage tumors by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of tumor sections did not reveal any gross changes in tumor grade, nuclear morphology, or the ratio of tumor cells-to-stroma in response to DPM treatment (Supplementary Fig. S1B and S1C). To assay for changes in cell proliferation or apoptosis, tumor sections were immunostained for both Ki67 and activated caspase-3, respectively. The percentage of tumor

Figure 1. DPM suppresses mammary tumor progression in PyMT mice without toxicity. A, mean body weight of MMTV-PyMT treated with vehicle (○) or DPM (●) on a 5 days on/2 days off schedule for 11 weeks. The effects of DPM treatment on the transition of normal epithelium to grainy (B), granule (C), pea-sized (D), or measurable tumors (E) as analyzed by Kaplan-Meier curves plotting the percentage of glands with the indicated phenotype as a function of time. Differences in curves between groups were analyzed by the log-rank test, and the median time to the palpable phenotype of interest, the hazard ratio, and the number of glands included in each curve are also indicated.
cells positive for Ki67 or caspase 3 was not significantly different in end-stage DPM-treated tumors compared to controls (Supplementary Fig. S2A and S2B). We cannot rule out the possibility that DPM-regulated changes in proliferation or apoptosis occurred during progression over the course of treatment. Tumor sections were also stained for ERα to determine if DPM prevented the loss of ERα in late-stage carcinomas (21). Virtually no ERα staining was observed in either vehicle- or DPM-treated tumors, although ERα was expressed in all ductal epithelial cells of nulliparous 6-week-old FVB/Nj mice (Supplementary Fig. S3A and S3B vs. S3C). Therefore, it is not likely that the mechanism of DPM chemoprevention in the PyMT model is hormone dependent. Representative H&E-stained images of one lobe of the lung harvested from mice at experiment end point indicating metastases is presented in Fig. 3E. DPM treatment significantly reduced the mean number of pulmonary metastases by 59% (Fig. 3F).

Gene expression profiling

To gain insight into the potential mechanisms of DPM’s chemoprevention activity, we conducted gene expression profiling by whole-genome microarray analysis. End-stage breast tumors of DPM- or vehicle-treated mice were used with the Affymetrix GeneChip Mouse Gene 1.0 ST array representing approximately 28,310 mouse transcripts and 21,041 protein-coding genes. Genes that were at least 1.5-fold downregulated or upregulated among all biological replicates were selected as significantly differentially expressed genes. Significant changes in 253 transcripts were observed between DPM- and vehicle-treated tumors, of which 153 genes were upregulated and 100 genes were downregulated. Genes that were differentially expressed with >2.0-fold change between vehicle- and DPM-treated tumors are shown in Supplementary Tables S1A and S1B, respectively. The GEO accession number for microarray data deposition is GSE43833.

Functional annotation of differentially expressed genes was done using the DAVID Bioinformatics Database. Gene sets were grouped based on their biological functions (Supplementary Tables S2A and S2B). Genes that control proliferation and migration, 2 key hallmarks of cancer, and that were altered by DPM treatment are shown in Supplementary Table S3.

Validation of differentially expressed genes

The expression of the top upregulated or downregulated genes identified by array (Supplementary Table S1) was confirmed by qPCR using individual gene-specific primers after normalization for input based on Gapdh levels; results are summarized in Supplementary Table S4. The upregulation of Emb, Six1, Hps2, Vcan, Lox14, Wfjc5, Cpm, Klh10, Mme, Amtn, Mup5, and Lect1, and the downregulation of Gjb2, Fbp1, Cox8b, Thre, and Pld4 were validated by qPCR (Supplementary Table S4). In contrast, the increased expression of Smr2 and the decreased expression of Slc47a1, Bc018465, Mup1, Mup2 (transcript variant 2), U46068, Mup2 (transcript variant 1), Vmn2t96, Mup7, and EG665955 was not confirmed by qPCR, suggesting these genes were false discoveries (Supplementary Table S4). To rule out the possibility that DPM treatment reduced the
expression of the PyMT transgene, the relative levels of the PyMT cDNA expressed by vehicle- and DPM-treated tumors were compared by qPCR. No significant changes in transgene expression were observed, as the mean crossing point (Cp) values varied less than 10% for either primer/probe set (Supplementary Fig. S4).

We next assessed the levels of Klk10 and Lect1 proteins in individual end-stage tumors (n = 3 DPM and n = 4 vehicle). Western blot analysis confirmed that both Klk10 and Lect1 are upregulated by DPM treatment (Fig. 4). In fact, the expression of Klk10 is dramatically upregulated by DPM treatment because Klk10 protein was not detectable in any of the vehicle-treated tumors. Lect1 expression was more abundant in each of the DPM-treated tumors as compared to tumors in the vehicle treatment group.

miRNA expression profiling and qPCR validation of differentially expressed miRNAs

Because several miRNAs are dysregulated during tumor progression and the metastatic switch, we next compared miRNA expression levels between DPM- and vehicle-treated tumors. The miRNA 2.0 Array represents 1,411 mouse microRNA probesets. Only the miRNAs that increased
or decreased by more than 1.5-fold were considered as significantly upregulated or downregulated. Supplementary Table S5 summarizes the miRNAs identified as enriched or suppressed in tumors following DPM treatment, which include 53 significantly differentially expressed (>1.5-fold) miRNAs. Of these, 23 miRNAs were upregulated by DPM, whereas 25 miRNAs were downregulated. In addition, 2 V11-mmu (miRNA sequence from Sanger miRNA database v11) and 2 hp-mmu (Pre-mir probe) were upregulated and one V11-mmu was downregulated. Differential expression of those miRNAs with high signals from the microarray was also validated by qPCR. mmu-miR-140-star, mmu-miR-1937b, mmu-miR-1937a, mmu-miR-455, mmu-miR-214, and mmu-miR-351 were confirmed to be significantly upregulated by DPM treatment. V11_mmu-miR-685_st, mmu-miR-690_st, mmu-miR-762_st, mmu-miR-1949_st, and the 4 downregulated miRNAs identified by array analysis either did not produce significant differences or may have been false positives.

### Canonical pathways affected by DPM-regulated miRNAs

To investigate the biological relevance of DPM-regulated miRNAs, we conducted IPA of differentially expressed genes that are putative targets of these miRNAs. The putative targets of the DPM-downregulated mmu-miR-148a that were also upregulated by DPM according to the miRNA expression array were used for IPA analysis. The −log(P value) of the significantly enriched pathways (P < 0.05) are presented in the bar graph.
program. The first query was to identify associations between those genes upregulated in tumors that are also predicted targets of the downregulated mmu-miR-148a, which revealed 22 canonical pathways as significantly enriched (Fig. 5). In contrast, the downregulated genes that are also putative targets of miRNAs upregulated by DPM were significantly associated with 42 canonical pathways (Fig. 6).

Discussion

We have shown that DPM has significant in vivo chemopreventive effects in the MMTV-PyMT model of metastatic breast cancer. A distinct advantage of this model of spontaneous breast cancer is that it can be seamlessly used to study both primary tumor development and lung metastasis. Almost 100% of PyMT mice backcrossed to the FVB/Nj strain develop metastases in the lungs by 4 months of age. In addition, PyMT tumors are initiated as ER-positive lesions, but eventually progress to estrogen-independent adenocarcinomas (21), therefore the model can be used to study both ER-positive and ER-negative tumorigenesis. Our studies are unique in that they describe for the first time the primary chemopreventive potential of DPM on mammary carcinogenesis in vivo in animals with a normal immune system. Lesions that developed in the DPM-treated cohort remained at the earlier stages of PyMT-induced mammary

Figure 6. Ingenuity canonical pathways affected by DPM-upregulated miRNAs. The putative targets of the DPM-upregulated miRNAs that were also downregulated by DPM according to the mRNA expression array were used for IPA analysis. The −log(P value) of the significant enriched pathways (P < 0.05) are presented in the bar graph.
cancer initiation and progression longer than lesions in the control group. Importantly, DPM treatment led to a significant reduction in the total mammary tumor burden and lung metastases. Together, these results indicate that DPM treatment may be useful in the chemoprevention of either ER-positive or ER-negative metastatic breast cancer. It is remarkable that the dose of DPM used in this mouse study (10 mg/kg/day), a relatively low dose compared to the maximum tolerated dose in patients (23.1 mg/kg/72 h by IV; ref. 24), clearly exerted significant tumorigenesis and metastasis prevention activity in PyMT mice, one of the most aggressive preclinical models of breast cancer used in the research community. These results suggest that DPM is likely to have potent chemoprevention activity in additional models of breast cancer.

Microarray gene expression profiling has improved our understanding of breast cancer biology and allowed the development of multigene "signatures" to predict outcome and response to systemic therapies (25). Through comprehensive array profiling and analysis of gene and miRNA expression levels, we have identified putative candidate genes and pathways that mediate DPM’s chemoprevention activity. Genes differentially expressed in response to DPM treatment included those involved in cell growth, maintenance, proliferation, and metastasis, as well as apoptosis and autophagy.

The most highly related functions of genes altered by DPM treatment included cell signaling and cell adhesion (Table 2A). The latter has a major influence in metastasis, stimulating local invasion and intravasation to facilitate cell dissemination to, and colonization of distant organs (26). Metalloendopeptidase (Mme) was upregulated by DPM treatment. High expression of the Mme gene occurs in some cancers such as renal cell and hepatocellular carcinomas. In addition, membrane metalloendopeptidase inhibits prostate cancer cell migration (27), whereas transfection of the Mme gene into B16-BL6 melanoma cells suppresses tumor growth (28). In contrast, Claudin-2 (Cldn2), which promotes breast cancer cell adhesion to the extracellular matrix (ECM) and metastasis to the liver (29), was downregulated by DPM. Our results also implicate regulation of the mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), which can either be protective or damaging to cells depending on the context and strength of activation (30). For example, upregulated Map3k3 has been reported to cause a reversion of Ras-induced cellular transformation, suggesting possible tumor suppressor functions (31), whereas Map3k1 has been associated with breast cancer susceptibility (32).

Among the genes validated by qPCR (Supplementary Table S4), the gene with the highest induction by DPM was Kallikrein-10 (Klk10); upregulation of this gene was also confirmed by Western blotting. Klk10 is of particular interest because it is associated with suppression of tumorigenesis in breast and prostate cancers (33). Moreover, stable expression of Klk10 in the Klk10-negative MDA-MB-231 triple negative breast cancer cells suppresses oncogenicity, supporting the tumor suppressor function (34). Notably, White and colleagues found that downregulation of miRNAs let-7f, miR-224, and miR-516a is associated with Klk10 protein upregulation and cell proliferation in ovarian cancer (35). In agreement, our miRNA array results showed that let-7f was downregulated by 20% by DPM treatment, whereas expression of miR-224 and miR-516a were not detectable. The upregulation of the Lect1 gene, which encodes chondromodulin-1, and was previously found to suppress tumor growth and angiogenesis in vivo (36, 37), was also confirmed by qPCR and Western blotting.

Similarly, a subset of genes was identified as downregulated by DPM treatment, which was validated by qPCR analysis. For example, Thrsp, which encodes for the thyroid hormone-inducible hepatic protein, was significantly downregulated by DPM. Thrsp activates genes required for fatty acid synthesis and is found expressed in lipogenic breast cancers. Notably, low levels of Thrsp are associated with prolonged disease-free survival in invasive breast cancers (38). Another example is the Hop1 gene, which encodes the far upstream element-binding protein 1, a member of the FBP family that modulates c-myc expression. Inhibition or loss of FBP function arrests cellular proliferation and abrogates c-myc expression (39). MCI-7 breast cancer cells have elevated FBP1 and FBP2 compared to the normal breast cell line MCF10-A, which may increase glycolysis to drive cancer cell growth in hypoxia (40). Another interesting downregulated gene is Pdk4, which encodes for pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase, and is upregulated in a variety of cancers, allowing tumor cells to thrive in a hypoxic environment (41). The downregulatory effects of DPM on these genes may synergize to contribute to the breast cancer chemopreventive effects. Interestingly, some of these differentially expressed genes have hitherto not been reported to be involved in cancer growth or metastasis, or at least not in breast cancer, and therefore constitute novel candidate genes of high priority for further studies pertinent to breast cancer tumorigenesis and progression.

miRNAs play central roles in physiological and pathological processes by either inducing mRNA degradation or regulating the translational efficiency of mRNA (42). Our results show that miR-140-star, miR-1937b, miR-140, miR-1937a, miR-455, miR-214, and miR-351 were significantly upregulated by DPM treatment. In addition, our observations that DPM upregulates miR-31 and that lung metastasis is significantly reduced in DPM-treated mice are consistent with prior studies that have shown that miR-31 that represses multiple steps of metastasis via downregulation of a group of prometastatic genes in breast cancer (43), including colonization, the final and rate-limiting step of metastasis (44). miR-140-star, miR-455, miR-1274a, and miR-31, were highly enriched with DPM treatment. It has also been recently reported that levels of miR-140, a putative tumor suppressor, were decreased in all breast tumor samples studies (45). miR-1274a, was recently found by Zhou and colleagues to be upregulated after sorafenib treatment of hepatocellular cancer cells, revealing a possible new miRNA-based mechanism of sorafenib antitumor activity (46).

Finally, we observed that miRNA-10b was downregulated by DPM to levels ~40% of control. miRNA-10b is highly...
expressed in metastatic breast cancer cells and enhances migration and invasion, with its silencing markedly suppresses formation of lung metastases (43, 47). Thus, miR10b downregulation may also contribute to the chemopreventive activity of DMP. We propose that these miRNAs are among the most interesting upregulated candidates for subsequent investigation into the mechanism of action of DPM.

Because the same miRNA may target diverse genes in different pathways, and each gene may be targeted by several miRNAs (48), one miRNA change could have a big impact on the regulation of target genes that impact cancer phenotypes. Our miRNA target prediction analysis using IPA showed that some of the downregulated pathways included those involved in cell cycle, NGF signaling, tissue factor signaling, mTOR signaling, and p38 MAPK signaling. In the future, it will be of interest to determine if these same sets of miRNAs could be biomarkers that are associated with chemoprevention efficacy.

Because cancer is still a leading cause of death worldwide, second only to heart disease, therefore, the use of preventive medicine approaches is becoming increasingly important. Identification of novel targets and development of effective cancer preventive agents will be necessary to decrease cancer mortality. Study is important in that respect, being the first to show in vivo primary chemopreventive effects of DPM. We have also shown that DPM treatment significantly alters the expression pattern of several genes and miRNAs that may be potential novel targets for breast cancer chemoprevention. Together, our results show that DPM is a candidate or a lead compound for future translational development of new chemoprevention therapies.
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