Sensitive and specific cancer biomarkers are essential to early detection and diagnosis as well as for undertaking novel therapeutic trials and prevention strategies. Development of blood-based biomarker assays for malignancies such as pancreatic cancer is critical because most patients with this neoplasm remain asymptomatic until they present with locally advanced or distally metastatic and surgically inoperable disease at the time of diagnosis. With pancreatic cancer being the fourth most common cause of cancer-related deaths in the United States (1) and an average 5-year survival rate of <5%, early detection of this malignancy at a surgically resectable stage offers the best curative option for the patients (2).

The majority of pancreatic cancers arise from the epithelial lining of the exocrine pancreatic ducts as pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) through a multistep progression process involving noninvasive precursor lesions (3). The precursor lesions consist of microscopic pancreatic intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMN) as cystic lesions connected with the main pancreatic duct or one of its branches (5). In both pancreatic intraepithelial and IPMN, the epithelium lining shows varying degrees of histologic atypia ranging from adenoma to carcinoma in situ (4). Interestingly, some of the seminal genetic alterations detected in invasive pancreatic carcinomas, such as mutations in KRAS2, DPC4/SMAD4, and TP53 are observed in both pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (6–8) and IPMN (3, 9), lending support to their roles as bona fide precursor lesions. Despite identification of such common genetic mutation signatures shared between noninvasive and invasive pancreatic lesions, their utility in discriminating between benign and malignant pancreatic disease remain unresolved primarily because minimally invasive diagnostic methods for screening of candidate biomarkers are still not available. It has been reported that even imaging techniques such as computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging sometimes fail to differentiate between benign and malignant lesions with up to 6% of the cases suspected as malignant with these methods later found to be benign at surgery with subsequent postsurgical complications developing among a significant number of these patients (10).
ultrasound–guided fine needle aspiration has, of late, emerged as the preferred procedure for preoperative diagnosis and staging of pancreatic cancer (11–15). However, the invasive nature of the technique makes it unlikely that endoscopic ultrasound–guided fine needle aspiration could be routinely used for early detection or screening of pancreatic carcinomas. Development of plasma or serum-based biomarker assays, therefore, remains the desired method of choice for undertaking pancreatic cancer screening efforts in the future.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are 18 to 24 nucleotide long evolutionarily conserved RNA molecules (16) that regulate the stability and translational efficiency of target mRNAs by complementary base pairing with specific 3' untranslated region sequences. Such physiologic regulation of transcriptome function by miRNAs plays a significant role in the maintenance of cellular homeostasis and development. Extensive involvement of miRNAs in cell growth regulation and cancer has become evident in the last few years (17). Aberrant expression of miRNAs have been widely reported in human cancers with both overexpression and underexpression detected in neoplastic cells compared with their normal counterparts (18). A number of studies have identified multiple aberrantly expressing miRNAs associated with human pancreatic cancers (19–22). Plausible insights into the pathophysiologic mechanisms of PDAC development involving miRNAs and their putative target genes implicated in this malignancy have been suggested along with the observation that expression levels of miRNA-196a and miRNA-217 can discriminate pancreatic cancer lesions from normal pancreas and chronic pancreatitis tissues (21). Preliminary validation of the same findings in pancreatic FNA biopsy samples indicated that miRNA analyses of FNA could provide a novel strategy for improving diagnosis of pancreatic disease (23). Furthermore, global miRNA expression profiling has also been reported to define distinct expression patterns differentiating normal pancreas, chronic pancreatitis, and PDAC (20). More recently miRNA-155 and miRNA-21 were found significantly up-regulated in the majority of noninvasive IPMNs and corresponding pancreatic juice samples, which correlated with histologic features of progression in these neoplasms (24). Varying miRNA expression signatures in normal, benign, and malignant pancreatic tissues with their levels in clinical samples such as pancreatic juice and FNA correlating with disease progression offer a new potentially sensitive method for improved detection and diagnosis of the disease.

Recent demonstration of tumor-associated circulating miRNAs in the plasma of human prostate cancer xenograft mouse models and human prostate cancer patients, capable of distinguishing cancer-bearing individuals from healthy controls, has raised the exciting possibility that assaying miRNA in plasma or serum may serve as a novel approach for blood-based detection of human cancer (25). In the present study, we evaluated if plasma profiles of a set of miRNAs associated with pancreatic carcinoma could discriminate pancreatic cancer patients from normal healthy individuals. Our results provide compelling evidence that the levels of known pancreatic cancer–associated miRNAs are elevated in the plasma of pancreatic carcinoma patients and that combined analyses of these circulating miRNAs can differentiate cancer patients from healthy individuals with an acceptable degree of sensitivity and specificity.

Materials and Methods

The study population consisting of patients with pathologically confirmed primary PDAC and controls were recruited at The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center. Controls were healthy spouses, friends, or nonblood relatives of patients with various non gastrointestinal and nonsmoking-related cancers. Controls were frequency-matched to cases by age at enrollment (± 5 y), sex, and race. All study subjects were U.S. residents and gave written informed consent for the interviews, and the collection of blood sample in accordance with the protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of M.D. Anderson Cancer Center. Total of 49 cancer samples and 36 control samples collected between 2002 and 2008 were analyzed in this study. The patient population characteristics with respect to age, race, sex, stage of disease, and survival durations are described in Table 1.

Collection of heparin-treated blood plasma and RNA isolation

Blood was collected from patients and controls in Sodium Heparin tubes (BD Vacutainer) and processed within 2 h of collection by centrifugation at 1,300 × g for 10 min. Plasma was transferred to a fresh tube and stored at −80°C.

Total RNA containing small RNA was isolated from 1.5 mL of heparin plasma using Trizol LS reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s protocol with the following modifications. The plasma was mixed with Trizol LS reagent (1:3 ratio), and after phase separation by centrifugation, the upper aqueous phase was carefully transferred to a fresh tube. The aqueous phase was then extracted twice with phenol/chloroform and added with 1.5 vol of ethanol before being applied directly to mirVana miRNA column (Ambion, Inc.) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The bound RNA was cleaned with the buffers provided by the manufacturer to remove impurities and eluted in a final volume of 100 μL. To remove heparin–associated contaminants, 300 μL of 7.5 mol/L LiCl was added to the RNA solution, incubated overnight at −20°C, and then centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 30 min at 4°C. The pellet was washed twice by centrifugation with 70% ethanol. The RNA pellet was dried

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>No. of case (n = 49)</th>
<th>No. of death (n = 14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-70</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localized</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locally advanced</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Characteristics of patient population
for 10 min at room temperature and dissolved in 30 μL of diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated water for miRNA assay. The concentration of all RNA samples were quantified using Nano Drop 1000 (Nanodrop).

**Plasma RNA pretreatment**

Twenty nanograms of RNA were pretreated with 1 unit of DNase (Invitrogen) and 2 units of Heparinase I (Sigma) for 1 h at 25°C in 1 mL of 10× DNase I Reaction Buffer [200 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 8.4), 20 mmol/L MgCl₂, 500 mmol/L KCl] and 0.38 μL of RNase inhibitor to remove any contaminating DNA and Heparin. After the enzyme digestions, 0.5 μL of 25 mmol/L EDTA solution was added to the total reaction volume of 10 μL and incubated at 65°C for 10 min.

**miRNA real-time PCR**

Taqman MicroRNA Assays were used to do expression profiling of the plasma miRNAs of interest. All reagents, primers, and probes were obtained from Applied Biosystems (Applied Biosystems). Ten nanograms of DNase and Heparinase-treated plasma RNA for each sample was used for the individual assays in 15 μL reactions containing

reverse transcription (RT) mixture and Taqman primer mix. The mix was incubated at 16°C for 30 min, 42°C for 60 min, and 85°C for 5 min. miRNA expression levels were quantified using the ABI Prism 7900 HT Sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems).

For the purpose, 15 μL RT reaction was diluted with 30 μL of water and 11.25 μL of the diluted RT product was mixed with 12.5 μL of 2× Taqman PCR mixture, 1.25 μL Taqman primer, and probe mixture in a final volume of 25 μL. Real-time PCR was done in triplicate, including no-template controls. Relative expression of the mature miRNAs was calculated using the comparative CT (2^{\Delta\Delta CT}) method (26) with miRNA-16 as the endogenous control to normalize the data (27). The cycle threshold (CT) is defined as the number of cycles required for the FAM signal to cross the threshold in real-time PCR. \( \Delta CT \) was calculated by subtracting the CT values of miR-16 from the CT values of the miRNA of interest. \( \Delta \Delta CT \) was then calculated by subtracting mean \( \Delta CT \) of the control samples from \( \Delta CT \) of tested samples. Fold change of miRNA was calculated by the equation \( 2^{-\Delta \Delta CT} \).

**Statistical analysis**

Student’s t test was used to evaluate expression differences of miRNAs between cases and controls. Fisher’s exact test and Pearson’s \( \chi^2 \) test were used to determine if there was significant association between the relative plasma levels of the four miRNAs. All tests of statistical significance were two sided. \( P \) values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were constructed and the area under the curve (AUC) was calculated to evaluate the specificity and sensitivity of predicting cases and controls by each individual miRNA and by the combination of the four miRNAs. Because this study population was small, the cases and controls were not split into training and test sets. We, however, used a leave-one-out scheme to cross-validate the ROC analysis. In the leave-one-out analysis, a subset of all but one observation is used to build a model, and then the model is used to predict the left-out record observation. When this process is repeated for each observation, a prediction is obtained for every record in the data set using a model that was blind to the predicted observation. These predictions generate a table of statistics that is used for cross-validating the ROC analysis.

All statistical analyses were done using the Stata 8.0 software (Stata Corporation).

**Results**

We have developed a modified RNA isolation protocol for real-time reverse transcription-PCR assay of plasma-derived miRNAs from blood collected in heparin tubes. This protocol yielded 100 to 500 ng of total RNA from ~1.5 mL plasma samples. The isolated RNA samples could quantify relative miRNA levels in a reproducible manner as evident from the results of at least two repeated experiments of every sample run in either duplicate or triplicate in each instance. The results of these independent experiments did not show significant differences (t test; \( P = 0.41 \)). Furthermore, Pearson’s correlation coefficient revealed significant positive correlation between the relative miRNA levels quantified in independent experiments (\( r = 0.705; P = 0.0002 \)). The modifications introduced in the published methods to eliminate heparin and other contaminants including DNA were critical for successful real-time reverse transcription-PCR reactions for plasma miRNAs. To obtain reproducible real-time results, some samples had to be processed through multiple cycles of purification steps, which affected the final yield of RNA isolated in each case. Because miR-16 has been reported to be one of the most stably expressed miRNAs across 40 normal human tissue types (28) that is detectable at modest levels in normal human plasma (25) and given that expression of miR-16 has also been used as the calibrator for assaying relative miRNA expression in human tissues, we decided to evaluate its utility as the endogenous control in this study. It was observed that the CT values for miR-16 did not vary significantly (\( P > 0.05 \)) in the different reaction batches for control and cancer plasma samples, thus validating miR-16 as a reliable endogenous control. Due to this observation, we routinely ran the real-time reverse transcription-PCR reactions for miR-16 in triplicate first to check the quality of each plasma RNA sample and reproducibility of their assay performance before analyzing them for additional miRNAs of interest. In this study, besides assaying for miR-16 as the endogenous normalization control, we selected a panel of four miRNAs implicated in pancreatic cancer, miR-21, miR-210, miR-155, and miR-196a, to interrogate their plasma levels in 49 pancreatic cancer patients and 36 normal healthy individuals. However, due to varying yield of the isolated RNA in each case, a total of 28 cancer and 19 control samples could be finally analyzed for all the four miRNAs.

The relative levels of miR-21, miR-210, miR-155, and miR-196a normalized to the level of the miR-16 endogenous control were elevated overall in the plasma of pancreatic adenocarcinoma patients (Fig. 1A-D). The mean fold changes (\( 2^{-\Delta\Delta CT} \)) in relative levels and \( P \) values reflected segregation between normal healthy controls and PDAC samples. Differences in the mean fold change for each miRNA was, however, also a function of the relative abundance of the respective miRNAs in plasma. Although the distribution of miR-21, miR-210, and miR-155 levels were spread over a broader range in the healthy controls, those of miR-196a were significantly narrower with its abundance being distinctly less in all the control samples. For miR-21, 31 of the 49 cancer samples revealed about 2- to 20-fold elevation in plasma levels, whereas among the controls, 19 of the 36 displayed only 2- to 4-fold increase. In case of miR-210, 28 of the 44 cancer cases had plasma levels elevated by about 2- to 28 fold but the increase was limited to 2- to 8-fold in 21 of the 34 control samples. Two- to 40-fold increase of miR-155 was detected in 23 of the 39 cancer cases as opposed to 2- to 18-fold increase in 16 of the 29 controls. With the relative abundance of miR-196a being low in most of the control plasma, the cancer samples revealed elevation in plasma levels ranging from about 5- to 140-fold in 15 of the 31 cases compared with only about 5- to 10-fold elevation seen in 10 of the 24 control samples. With the rest of the
samples showing either no change or negative fold change values, the overall mean fold increase for each of the four miRNAs in the plasma of cancer samples compared with the controls were significant with the \( P \) values of 0.007 for miR21, 0.003 for miR-210, 0.042 for miR-155, and 0.009 for miR-196a (Table 2). Examination of individual mean fold increases in the cancer samples indicated that a relatively limited number of outliers contributed greatly to the overall highly significant differences observed between the cancer and the control samples. However, no significant differences in the plasma levels of the four miRNAs, both individually and in combination, \( (P > 0.20) \) were observed for the cancer samples at different stages of the disease. These observations suggested that the overall mean fold increase in plasma miRNA levels were detected even in patients with localized disease and was, therefore, not a marker of patients with only late stage metastatic cancer.

Interestingly, with a 5-fold or more increase as the cutoff for individual samples, the data revealed a significant association between the elevated plasma levels of miR-155 and miR-210 in cancer patients \( (P = 0.004) \). For miR-155, 11 of the 39 (28\%) and for miR-210, 14 of the 44 (32\%) cancer samples showed increase in plasma levels spanning this range. Of these, eight cancer samples revealed identical increases in relative plasma levels for miR-155 (73\%) and miR-210 (57\%).

To determine if the relative fold changes in the four plasma miRNAs could significantly differentiate between pancreatic

| Table 2. Mean fold change of plasma miRNA levels in PDAC and control samples |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| miRNA       | PDAC mean fold change ± SEM | Control mean fold change ± SEM | \( P \) |
| miR-21       | 2.42 ± 0.76         | -0.13 ± 0.54     | 0.007   |
| miR-210      | 4.22 ± 1.19         | -1.56 ± 1.33     | 0.003   |
| miR-155      | 3.74 ± 1.81         | -1.31 ± 1.63     | 0.042   |
| miR-196a     | 16.05 ± 6.11        | -1.56 ± 1.63     | 0.009   |

Fig. 1. The relative fold change of four miRNAs in the plasma of pancreatic adenocarcinoma patients and normal healthy controls. The horizontal line of pluses (+) represent the mean fold change for each miRNA. A, miR-21; B, miR-210; C, miR-155; D, miR-196a.
cancer patients and healthy controls, ROC curves were constructed (Fig. 2A-D). The AUC for miR-21 was 0.63 [95% confidence interval (CI), 0.51-0.75]; for miR-210 was 0.62 (95% CI, 0.49-0.74); for miR-155 was 0.60 (95% CI, 0.46-0.74); and for miR-196a was 0.66 (95% CI, 0.51-0.80). As mentioned above, due to varying yields of the isolated RNAs in each case, a total of 28 cancer and 19 control samples could be finally analyzed for all the four miRNAs. We separately compared the AUC for each miRNA and the combination of the four miRNAs in this sample set also. Results revealed that there was a highly significant difference in the AUC values obtained for the four individual miRNAs and the panel of four in combination \((P = 0.0008)\) in this set of 28 cancer and 19 control samples. The AUC for miR-21 was 0.62 (95% CI, 0.45-0.77); for miR-210 was 0.65 (95% CI, 0.49-0.80); for miR-155 was 0.67 (95% CI, 0.51-0.82); and for miR-196a was 0.69 (95% CI, 0.53-0.84). Remarkably, the AUC for the combination of these four miRNAs was 0.82 (95% CI, 0.70-0.94). Thus, the AUC increased from 0.62 to 0.69 range for each individual miRNA to 0.82 for the four miRNAs combined (Fig. 2E). The ROC curves also helped determine the sensitivities and specificities for the plasma miRNAs at various cutoff values. Using the optimal cut-point, the sensitivity and specificity were 46% and 89% for miR-21, 42% and 73% for miR-210, 53% and 78% for Mir155, and 43% and 84% for Mir196a, respectively. On the other hand, the sensitivity and specificity for the four miRNAs combined were 64% and 89%. Finally, the composite panel of the four miRNAs in plasma revealed a sensitivity of 46% given a specificity of 100% and a specificity of 37% given a sensitivity of 100% in this study.

Because the sample size in the study was small, we applied leave-one-out scheme to further validate our ROC results. The estimate of the AUC, as obtained by leave-one-out cross-validation, was 0.78 (95% CI, 0.64-0.91) for the four miRNAs combined, which still showed a good discriminating power. Using the optimal cut-point, the sensitivity and specificity for the four miRNAs combined were 64% and 89% after cross-validation, which is same as that obtained before cross-validation. Similarly, the composite panel of the four miRNAs in plasma revealed a sensitivity of 46% given a specificity of 100% and a specificity of 32% given a sensitivity of 100% after cross-validation. The results, therefore, document that the combined analysis of this four miRNAs in a panel had a reasonable power to differentiate pancreatic cancer patients from healthy controls.

Discussion

Major ongoing research efforts in the field of cancer detection and diagnosis concentrate on the development of biomarker assays that could be done on blood samples or other body fluids involving noninvasive or minimally invasive
techniques. Assays must be capable of differentiating patients with precursor and advanced malignant lesions at different stages of malignancy from normal healthy individuals. Blood plasma and serum are obviously preferred choices for developing such detection, diagnostic, and prognostic markers. Several studies have attempted to use proteomic profiling of plasma and serum samples to identify peptide biomarkers reflective of physiologic or pathologic state of malignancy in human cancer patients as well as in genetically engineered mouse models of cancer (29). However, with the challenge of tumor-associated proteins possibly constituting only a minor fraction within the vast abundance dynamic range of plasma proteins, proteomic-based strategies of cancer biomarker identification have had limited success to date (30).

Recent reports that miRNAs are present in blood plasma and serum in a remarkably stable form together with the findings that circulating miRNAs can distinguish patients with prostate (25) cancer from healthy individuals have suggested that miRNAs may serve as reliable blood-based biomarkers for cancer detection. Our present findings that plasma miRNA analyses can help differentiate pancreatic adenocarcinoma patients from healthy controls now provide compelling evidence in support of miRNA profiling in blood plasma being a viable novel approach for developing a minimally invasive biomarker assay for pancreatic cancer. Developing a specific and sensitive blood-based miRNA biomarker assay is particularly relevant to pancreatic cancer because current limitations in diagnostic methods and lack of early stage disease symptoms are considered the major cause of high mortality rate among these patients.

Several studies have shown that unique miRNA expression profiles are present in a number of human cancer tissues such as those of breast, lung, esophagus, prostate, and pancreas, and differential expression of miRNAs correlates with important histopathologic features such as tumor stage, proliferation capacity, and vascular invasion (31).

In pancreatic cancer, varying expression profiles of a number of miRNAs distinguishing malignant lesions from normal pancreatic tissue and chronic pancreatitis has been published (20, 21, 32). For the present study, we selected a panel of four miRNAs, miR-21, miR-210, miR-155, and miR-196a, reported to be overexpressed in PDACs, which have been characterized for their functional regulatory interactions with genes associated with critical cancer-associated phenotypes. This biased approach for the analysis of only a few selected miRNAs was undertaken solely as a proof of principle pilot study to evaluate their utility in developing a blood plasma–based biomarker assay for pancreatic cancer. Among the four miRNAs analyzed, up-regulation of miR-21 in cancer cells has been associated with apoptosis inhibition and acquisition of invasive properties (33, 34), likely mediated by its down-regulating effects on the expression of two target tumor suppressor genes PTEN (35) and PDCD4 (36). Increased expression of miR-210, on the other hand, has recently been shown to be regulated in a hypoxia inducible factor-1α–dependent manner with possible downstream effects on DNA repair genes affecting genomic instability (37). A functional role for miR-155 in pancreatic cancer has been implied based on the observations that it represses the function of the proapoptotic protein TP53INP1, which enhances tumorigenicity of pancreatic cancer cells in vivo (38). Interestingly, miR-155 has been also identified as a biomarker of early pancreatic neoplasia consequent to the finding that it is overexpressed in ϰ80% of precursor IPMN lesions (24). Finally, overexpression of miR-196a paralleling disease progression was reported to be a predictor of survival for pancreatic cancer patients (20, 21).

Our results herein document that the combined analyses of these four miRNAs in plasma can discriminate pancreatic adenocarcinoma patients from normal healthy individuals with fairly good sensitivity and specificity. Although the findings show the feasibility of this approach in designing blood-based miRNA biomarker panels, it is important to point out that the small sample size consisting of two extremes of adenocarcinomas and healthy controls, included in the study, allows it to have only limited clinical implications toward developing into pancreatic cancer screening and early detection modality at this time. The results, nonetheless, justify continued development of this strategy toward a more refined modality for efficacy in disease assessment according to the developmental pathways proposed by the Translational Research Working Group of the National Cancer Institute (39). For the plasma miRNA panel to be developed as biomarkers for early detection of cancer, it is imperative that adequate sample size of training and test sets spanning different grades and stages of the disease be evaluated through the progressive phases of clinical assay development according to the recommended phased biomarker development concept for early detection of cancer (40). We are in the process of initiating such studies in collaboration with the members of Early Detection Research Network of the National Cancer Institute.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest
No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

References


MicroRNAs in Plasma of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma Patients as Novel Blood-Based Biomarkers of Disease

Jin Wang, Jinyun Chen, Ping Chang, et al.

Cancer Prev Res Published OnlineFirst September 1, 2009.

Updated version Access the most recent version of this article at:
doi:10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-09-0094

E-mail alerts Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, contact the AACR Publications Department at permissions@aacr.org.