Deregulation of XPC and CypA by cyclosporin A: an immunosuppression-independent mechanism of skin carcinogenesis
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Abstract

Skin cancer is the most common malignancy in organ transplant recipients, causing serious morbidity and mortality. Preventing and treating skin cancer in these individuals has been extraordinarily challenging. Following organ transplantation, cyclosporin A (CsA) has been used as an effective immunosuppressive to prevent rejection. Therefore immunosuppression has been widely assumed to be the major cause for increased skin carcinogenesis. However, the mechanism of skin carcinogenesis in organ transplant recipients has not been understood to date; specifically, it remains unknown whether these cancers are immunosuppression-dependent or -independent. Here, using both immunocompromised nude mice which are defective in mature T lymphocytes as an in vivo model and human keratinocytes as an in vitro model, we showed that CsA impairs genomic integrity in the response of keratinocytes to UVB. Following UVB radiation, CsA inhibited UVB-induced DNA damage repair by suppressing the transcription of the DNA repair factor xeroderma pigmentosum C (XPC). In addition, CsA compromised the UVB-induced checkpoint function by up-regulating the molecular chaperone protein cyclophilin A (CypA). XPC mRNA levels were lower, whereas CypA mRNA and protein levels were higher in human skin cancers than in normal skin. CsA-induced PI3K/AKT activation was required for both XPC suppression and CypA up-regulation. Blocking UVB damage or inhibiting the PI3K/AKT pathway prevented CsA-sensitized skin tumorigenesis. Our findings identified deregulation of XPC and CypA as key targets of CsA, and UVB damage and PI3K/AKT activation as two principal drivers for CsA-sensitized skin tumorigenesis, further supporting an immunosuppression-independent mechanism of CsA action on skin tumorigenesis.
Introduction

Skin cancer in organ transplant recipients (OTRs) is a rising and devastating disease burden threatening their long-term survival (1-3). In these patients, squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) is the most common skin cancer, occurring 65-250 times as frequently as in the general population, causing serious morbidity and mortality (1, 2). Many OTRs are given immunosuppressive agents, including cyclosporin A (CsA), to suppress the host immune response. The primary mediator of immunosuppression by CsA is cyclophilin A (CypA), a member of a phylogenetically conserved cyclophilin family that potentially regulates protein folding in cells (4). This CsA-CypA complex binds to and inhibits calcineurin (5, 6), a serine/threonine phosphatase dephosphorylating family of transcription factor NFATs, which mediates an immune response (7).

Therefore it has been generally assumed that increased skin cancer risk is caused by immune suppression. However, this assumption is challenged by the following epidemiological findings: (a) in patients with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS), who have severe damage to their immune systems, Kaposi’s sarcoma, cervical cancer, and lymphomas are the most common malignancies, while the risk of skin cancer only shows a marginal increase (1- to 3-fold) (8-13); (b) in contrast, in organ transplant recipients, skin cancer derived from epidermal keratinocytes is the most common malignancy (65-250 fold relative risk for SCC); and (c) skin cancer mostly occurs in sun-exposed areas, depends on the duration of immunosuppressive treatment and UV exposure history after (or even before) transplantation (3), and harbors mutations in tumor suppressor genes including p53 (14-16) and xeroderma pigmentosum C (XPC).
These findings suggest that CsA directly targets epidermal keratinocytes for tumorigenic transformation in an immunosuppression-independent but UV-dependent manner.

CsA may be linked to keratinocyte transformation at several levels. CsA may interfere with DNA damage repair and/or checkpoint function, processes activated by UVB-induced DNA damage to prevent genetic mutations. In response to DNA damage, the cells activate a specific DNA repair mechanism, i.e., global genome nucleotide excision repair (GG-NER), (18-21). In addition, the damaged cells activate the DNA damage response (DDR) signal-transduction pathway to coordinate cell-cycle transitions, DNA replication, DNA repair, and apoptosis to prevent cancer development (22-27).

Although immunosuppression has been thought to be the major factor in the increased skin cancer risk in OTRs, the mechanism of skin carcinogenesis in organ transplant recipients has not been understood to date; specifically, it remains unknown whether these cancers are immunosuppression-dependent or -independent. Here, using both immunocompromised nude mice as an in vivo model and human keratinocytes as an in vitro model, we have shown that, following UVB-induced DNA damage, CsA inhibited DNA repair through PI3K/AKT-dependent XPC down-regulation, and DNA damage response through PI3K/AKT-dependent CypA up-regulation. Either blocking UVB radiation or inhibiting the PI3K/AKT pathway prevented CsA-promoted skin tumorigenesis.

Materials and methods

Human normal and tumor samples- All human specimens were studied after approval by the University of Chicago Institutional Review Board. Frozen tissues were obtained under consent (Department of Medicine,
University of Chicago). Protein lysate was used to determine mRNA levels of XPC and CypA by real-time PCR and CypA protein levels by Western blotting.

**Animal Treatments**- All animal procedures have been approved by the University of Chicago Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Nude mice were obtained from Harlan. Mice were exposed to UVB (100 mJ/cm², dose selected to avoid visible sunburn) dorsally or sham-irradiated, three times a week for up to 25 weeks to monitor tumor formation and growth. Mice were treated with vehicle (olive oil) or CsA (20 mg/kg) daily by gavage. Sunscreen was topically applied to the mouse dorsal skin prior to each UVB exposure. The sunscreen was composed of titanium dioxide (7.5%) and zinc oxide (7.5%) with a SPF factor of 60. LY (in 200 µl acetone) or vehicle (acetone alone) was applied topically to the mouse dorsal skin one hour prior to each UVB irradiation. Mouse skin samples were fixed in formalin for histological analysis or immunohistochemical analysis for Ki67-positive cells (Immunohistochemistry Core facility), or snap-frozen for immunoblotting analysis. Mice were housed five animals per cage, and there was no evidence of dorsal wounds caused by fighting or sunburn.

**Statistical analyses**- Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 5 (GraphPad software, San Diego, CA). Data were expressed as the mean of three independent experiments and analyzed by Student’s *t*-test and ANOVA. Log-rank tests were used to evaluate the tumor onset in mice. A *P* value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
The details for UVB treatment, cell culture, siRNA and XPC transfection, cytosolic and nuclear fractionation, Western blotting, immunohistochemical analysis, real-time PCR, luciferase reporter assays, and DNA repair analysis can be found in SI Appendix.

Results

CsA increases UVB tumorigenesis but is not tumorigenic by itself

To determine the role of CsA in skin carcinogenesis, we used immunocompromised nude mice and a UVB skin carcinogenesis model. Nude mice are defective in the T-cell mediated immune system, which is a target of CsA for immunosuppression treatment in OTRs. In addition, nude mice have been widely used for xenograft models in investigating immunosuppression-independent mechanisms of CsA tumorigenesis in our work (28) and others (29-31). Mice were treated with CsA (20 mg/ml) daily by gavage for one week prior to the initial UVB exposure and throughout the experiment, as in our previous studies (28), and irradiated with UVB (100 mJ/cm²) three times a week for 25 weeks. This UVB radiation (100 mJ/cm²) is the maximal exposure that does not cause sunburn in nude mice.

Compared to the UVB-irradiated vehicle group, CsA treatment caused early onset of tumorigenesis (Fig. 1A; \( P < 0.05 \), log-rank test) and increased tumor multiplicity (Fig. 1B; \( P < 0.05 \), Student’s \( t \)-test). However, CsA alone without UVB treatment was not tumorigenic (Fig. 1A). The number of both papillomas (PAP) and squamous cell carcinomas (SCC) per mouse was higher in CsA-treated mice than in their vehicle counterparts (Fig. 1C). While formation of the differentiated non-spindle type of SCC was similar in both groups, the incidence of spindle cell SCC, the most aggressive form, was increased in the CsA-treated group as compared with the vehicle group (Fig. 1D-E).
alone is not tumorigenic, it increases UVB tumorigenesis in different stages, including tumor onset, multiplicity, and aggressiveness, resembling the observations made in human organ transplant recipients (3).

**Sunscreen effectively prevents CsA promoted UVB-induced tumorigenesis**

To determine the role of UV in CsA-sensitized skin tumorigenesis, we applied sunscreen topically to the mouse dorsal skin prior to each UVB irradiation. Sunscreen completely prevented the skin tumorigenesis induced by UVB and CsA (Fig. 2A; \( P < 0.05 \), log-rank test). As expected, sunscreen also completely blocked UVB-induced skin tumorigenesis (Fig. 2B; \( P < 0.05 \), log-rank test). These data further demonstrate that UVB radiation is the trigger for CsA-sensitized skin carcinogenesis.

**CsA compromises UVB-induced DNA damage repair through reducing XPC availability**

To determine the mechanism of CsA’s cocarcinogenic action, we analyzed the impact of CsA on repairing UVB-induced DNA damage products, cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers (CPD) and pyrimidine(6-4)pyrimidone dimers (6-4PP) (32, 33). In HaCaT keratinocytes, CsA significantly inhibited CPD repair (Fig. 3A-B), while it had no effect on 6-4PP repair (Fig. 3C). A similar effect of CsA on CPD and 6-4PP repair was detected in mouse skin and NHEK cells post-UVB irradiation (Fig. 3D-F). To determine the molecular target of CsA, we measured the protein levels of key factors in global genome nucleotide excision repair (GG-NER), including xeroderma pigmentosum C (XPC) and DNA damage-binding proteins 1 and 2 (DDB1 and DDB2) (18-21). CsA down-regulated the XPC levels in both HaCaT and NHEK cells while it had no effect on either DDB1 or
DDB2 (Fig. 3G-H). Adding XPC to CsA-treated HaCaT cells restored the DNA repair capacity (Fig. 3I-J).

These findings indicate that CsA impairs UVB-induced DNA damage repair through suppressing XPC.

**PI3K/AKT activation mediates CsA-induced XPC down-regulation**

To determine how CsA reduces XPC protein levels, we ascertained whether it occurs at the mRNA and/or transcriptional level. CsA significantly reduced the mRNA levels of XPC and the transcriptional activity of the XPC promoter (Fig. 4A-B). As compared with normal human skin, XPC mRNA levels were significantly down-regulated in human SCCs and basal cell carcinomas (BCCs) (Fig. 4C; P < 0.05, Student's t-test). To determine how CsA decreases XPC transcription, we assessed the effect of CsA on the nuclear accumulation of E2F4/p130, the repressor complex for XPC transcription (34). CsA increased the nuclear localization of both p130 and E2F4 (Fig. 4D). Removing the active E2F binding site in the XPC promoter diminished the inhibitory effect of CsA on XPC transcription (Fig. 4E). Inhibiting PI3K/AKT activation by LY294002 (LY, 10 µM) restored XPC protein levels (Fig. 4F), reduced the nuclear E2F4 level (Fig. 4G), and increased XPC transcription (Fig. 4H). These data indicate that CsA suppresses XPC transcription through PI3K/AKT-dependent activation of the E2F4/p130 nuclear localization.

**CsA impairs DNA damage response by inhibiting Chk1/2 activation through PI3K/AKT-mediated CypA up-regulation**

In addition to repairing DNA damage, a proper DNA damage response is also vital for maintaining genomic integrity following UVB damage. To determine the role of CsA on the DNA damage response, we analyzed
the role of CsA in UVB-induced activation of checkpoint kinase-1 (Chk1) (35, 36) and checkpoint kinase-2 (Chk2) (37-40), two pathways critical for DNA damage response and checkpoint function. UVB alone activated both Chk1 and Chk2 (Fig. 5A), while CsA inhibited UVB-induced activation of Chk1 and Chk2 (Fig. 5A). CsA increased BrdU incorporation in both un-irradiated cells and UVB-irradiated cells (Fig. 5B), indicating that CsA promotes cell proliferation and impairs checkpoint function. In UVB-irradiated mouse skin, CsA increased the incidence of Ki67-positive cells (Fig. 5C), suppressed PTEN expression (Fig. 5D, s1), and increased AKT activation (Fig. 5D), consistent with our recent in vitro findings (28). In addition, in mouse skin, HaCaT and NHEK cells, CsA also increased the levels of cyclophilin A (CypA) (Fig. 5D, s2A-C). As compared with normal human skin, CypA mRNA and protein levels were increased in human SCCs and BCCs (Fig. 5E, s2D), suggesting that CypA is an oncogene in human skin cancer. In CsA-treated cells, knockdown of CypA using siRNA increased the activation of Chk1 and Chk2 (Fig. 5F) and reduced BrdU incorporation in both un-irradiated and UVB-irradiated cells (Fig. 5G), whereas it had no effect on XPC down-regulation, AKT activation (Fig. s3A), or UVB-induced DNA damage repair (Fig. s3B). CsA had no effect on ATF3, which has been shown to be induced by calcineurin inhibition (31) (Fig. s4A). Knockdown of Calcineurin B (CnB1) up-regulated ATF3, while it did not affect XPC and CypA levels (Fig. s4B), UVB-induced DNA damage repair (Fig. s4C), or the activation of Chk1 and Chk2 (Fig. s4D). However, inhibiting the PI3K/AKT pathway by LY (10 µM) suppressed CsA-induced CypA up-regulation (Fig. 5H), indicating that CypA is a downstream factor for PI3K/AKT. However, overexpression of AKT by infecting HaCaT cells with constitutively active AKT (A+) had no effect on CypA expression (Fig. s2E), indicating that PI3K/AKT activation is required but not sufficient for CsA-induced CypA up-regulation. Other molecular pathways altered by CsA may play an important role. These data indicate that the negative impact of CsA on UVB-induced checkpoint function is mediated through PI3K/AKT-dependent CypA up-regulation.
CsA-induced PI3K/AKT activation sensitizes mice to UVB-induced skin tumorigenesis

To determine the specific role of epidermal PI3K/AKT activation in CsA-sensitized skin tumorigenesis in mice, we topically applied the widely used PI3K inhibitor LY (10 µmol) one hour prior to each UVB exposure. LY delayed tumor onset in CsA- and UVB-treated nude mice (Fig. 6A; $P < 0.05$, log-rank test). In addition, LY also reduced the number of tumors (Fig. 6B; $P < 0.05$, Student's $t$-test) and tumor volume (Fig. 6C; $P < 0.05$, Student's $t$-test) per mouse. The incomplete preventive effect by LY might be due to its limited penetration into the mouse skin, especially when the epidermis became hyperplastic and hyperkeratotic following chronic UVB irradiation. In the skin from CsA-treated mice, LY enhanced CPD repair (Fig. 6D), while it did not affect 6-4PP repair, which was more efficient than CPD repair (Fig. 6E). In non-tumor skin from UVB-irradiated mice, LY decreased the incidence of Ki67-positive cells (Fig. 6F). These data demonstrate that PI3K/AKT activation drives the cocarcinogenesis of CsA by compromising DNA repair and cell growth control.

DISCUSSION

In CsA-treated transplant recipients, immunosuppression has been widely accepted as the major cause of increased skin carcinogenesis. However, consistent with epidemiological data supporting the critical role of UV radiation, we have used controlled laboratory in vitro and in vivo models to show that CsA impairs the DNA repair and DNA damage response in the response of keratinocytes to UVB. As a consequence, in immunocompromised nude mice, CsA increased skin tumorigenesis in which UVB damage and PI3K/AKT activation are required. At the molecular level, CsA down-regulates the DNA repair protein XPC and up-
regulates the CsA-binding partner CypA through activating the PI3K/AKT pathway. Blocking UVB damage or inhibiting the PI3K/AKT pathway prevents CsA-sensitized skin tumorigenesis. Our findings further support a cell-autonomous action of CsA on skin tumorigenesis.

Following UVB damage, CsA inhibited UVB-induced DNA damage repair through suppressing XPC transcription. Consistent with recent studies using human keratinocytes and fibroblasts (41-44), we found that CsA reduced DNA repair, especially CPD repair, in human keratinocytes and mouse skin. In renal OTRs, UV-type p53 mutations were found to be prevalent, further supporting the negative role of CsA on DNA repair of UVB-induced damage (16). Although CsA may affect many molecular targets (45), we found that PI3K/AKT-dependent E2F4/p130 nuclear accumulation is critical for CsA-induced XPC down-regulation. This is consistent with the effect of inhibiting PTEN by siRNA knockdown or by increasing its acetylation through SIRT1 inhibition on nucleotide excision repair (46, 47).

In addition to reducing DNA repair capacity, CsA also disrupted the UVB-induced DNA damage response. In keratinocytes, CsA not only inhibited UVB-induced activation of Chk1 and Chk2, but also bypassed UVB-induced proliferation control. At the molecular level, we found that CsA induced CypA up-regulation through a PI3K/AKT-dependent mechanism. The up-regulation of CypA was required for CsA-mediated inhibition of checkpoint function but not DNA repair. Interestingly, CypA is found to be over-expressed in many types of cancer cells and contributes to cell proliferation and survival (48, 49). We found that CypA expression is increased in human cutaneous SCCs and BCCs, the two most common skin malignancies derived from keratinocytes and mainly caused by UV damage, suggesting the oncogenic role of CypA in skin...
tumorigenesis. It is possible that through increasing CypA levels in keratinocytes, CsA predisposes skin to UVB-induced skin tumorigenesis by inhibiting the proper DNA damage response.

In summary, we have demonstrated that UVB damage and PI3K/AKT activation are the principle drivers for CsA-sensitized skin tumorigenesis and that deregulation of XPC and CypA compromises UVB-induced DNA damage repair and checkpoint function. Our findings not only identified immunosuppression-independent molecular and cellular mechanisms by which CsA compromises genomic integrity, but also revealed UV damage and PI3K/AKT activation as essential triggers that can be used to improve skin cancer prevention and treatment in CsA-treated patients.
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Figure legends

**Fig. 1. CsA increases UVB tumorigenesis but is not itself tumorigenic.** A, percent (%) of tumor-free nude mice in CsA-treated (CsA, n=10), vehicle-treated UVB-irradiated (UVB, n = 10), and CsA-treated UVB-irradiated (CsA+UVB) groups (n = 10). *, *P* < 0.05, significant difference between indicated groups. B, number (#) of tumors per mouse in UVB and CsA+UVB groups. *, *P* < 0.05, significant difference between UVB and CsA+UVB groups. C, number (#) of papillomas and SCC per mouse in UVB and CsA+UVB groups. D, number (#) of non-spindle cell SCC per mouse in UVB and CsA+UVB groups. E, number (#) of spindle cell SCC per mouse in UVB and CsA+UVB groups.

**Fig. 2. Sunscreen effectively prevents CsA-UVB tumorigenesis.** A, percent (%) of tumor-free nude mice treated with CsA+UVB with or without sunscreen application (n = 10). B, representative mouse pictures from CsA+UVB and CsA+UVB+Sunscreen groups. C, percent (%) of tumor-free mice treated with UVB with or without sunscreen (n = 10). *, *P* < 0.05, significant difference between indicated groups.

**Fig. 3. CsA impairs UVB-induced DNA damage repair through down-regulating XPC.** A, slot blot analysis of CPD levels in vehicle (Veh)- or CsA-treated HaCaT cells at 0, 6, and 24 h post-UVB (20 mJ/cm²). B, quantification of A as percentage of CPD repair. C, slot blot analysis of 6-4PP levels in Veh- or CsA-treated HaCaT cells at 0, 6, and 24 h post-UVB (20 mJ/cm²). D and E, slot-blot analysis of the CPD (D) and 6-4PP (E) levels in mouse skin treated with sham-irradiation or UVB irradiation (100 mJ/cm²) at 0 or 24 h. F, slot blot analysis of CPD levels in Veh- or CsA-treated NHEK cells at 0, 6, and 24 h post-UVB (20 mJ/cm²). G, immunoblot analysis of XPC, DDB1, DDB2 and GAPDH in HaCaT cells treated with or without CsA (250 ng/ml). H, immunoblot analysis of XPC and GAPDH in NHEK cells treated with or without CsA (250 ng/ml). I, immunoblot analysis of XPC and GAPDH in vehicle or CsA- HaCaT cells transfected with empty vector (-) or XPC plasmid. J, slot blot analysis of CPD levels of Veh-treated, CsA-treated, and CsA-treated/XPC-transfected HaCaT cells. *, *P* < 0.05, significant difference between vehicle and CsA-treated cells.

**Fig. 4. CsA suppresses XPC transcription through AKT-dependent nuclear localization of E2F4/p130.** A, a real-time PCR analysis of the XPC mRNA levels in vehicle and CsA-treated HaCaT cells. B, XPC promoter luciferase reporter assay of vehicle and CsA-treated HaCaT cells. *, *P* < 0.05, significant difference between comparison groups. C, real-time PCR analysis of XPC mRNA levels in normal human skin (Nor), BCC and SCC specimens. *, *P* < 0.05, significant difference between normal human skin and human SCC. D, immunoblot analysis of p130, E2F4, Lamin B and GAPDH in cytosolic and nuclear fractions from vehicle and CsA-treated HaCaT cells. E, promoter reporter assay of wild-type (WT) and E2F-inactive mutant (Mut) XPC promoter in vehicle and CsA-treated HaCaT cells. F, immunoblot analysis of p-AKT, AKT, XPC and GAPDH in vehicle-treated, CsA-treated, and CsA-treated/LY-treated HaCaT cells. G, immunoblot analysis of p130, E2F4, GAPDH and Lamin B in nuclear fractions from cells as treated in F. H, XPC promoter luciferase reporter assay of vehicle-treated, CsA-treated, and CsA-treated/LY-treated HaCaT cells. *, *P* < 0.05, significant difference between comparison groups.

**Fig. 5. CsA disrupts UVB-induced checkpoint activation through AKT-dependent CypA up-regulation.** A, immunoblot analysis of p-Chk1 (serine 345), Chk1, p-Chk2 (Threonine 68), Chk2, and β-actin in vehicle- and CsA-treated HaCaT cells at 1.5 and 6 h post-UVB (20 mJ/cm²). B, BrdU incorporation ELISA assay of...
vehicle- and CsA-treated HaCaT cells post-sham or -UVB irradiation. *, $P < 0.05$, significant difference between vehicle and CsA treatment. C, immunohistochemical analysis of Ki67-positive cells in vehicle and CsA-treated non-tumor mouse skin irradiated with UVB three times a week for 25 weeks. Scale Bar: 50 μm.

D, immunoblot analysis of PTEN, p-AKT, AKT, CypA, ATF3 and GAPDH in vehicle and CsA-treated mouse skin as in C. E, immunoblot analysis of CypA protein levels in normal human skin and SCC specimens. F, immunoblot analysis of CypA, p-Chk1, Chk1, p-Chk2, Chk2, GAPDH in CsA-treated HaCaT cells transfected with negative control (NC) siRNA or siRNA targeting CypA (siCypA) at 1.5 or 6 h post-UVB (20 mJ/cm²). G, BrdU incorporation ELISA assay of vehicle-treated, CsA-treated, CsA-treated/siCypA-transfected HaCaT cells post-sham or -UVB irradiation. *, $P < 0.05$, significant difference in CsA-treated HaCaT cells between NC and siCypA treatment. H, immunoblot analysis of p-AKT, AKT, CypA and GAPDH in vehicle-treated, CsA-treated, and CsA/LY-treated HaCaT cells.

**Fig. 6.** Inhibiting the AKT pathway prevents UVB/CsA-induced skin tumorigenesis. A, percent of tumor-free mice in CsA-treated and CsA/LY-treated mice at different times post-UVB irradiation three times a week for 25 weeks. *, $P < 0.05$, significant difference between indicated groups. B, tumor number (#) per mouse in CsA-treated and CsA/LY-treated mice at different times post-UVB irradiation three times a week for 25 weeks. *, $P < 0.05$, significant difference between CsA+UVB and CsA+UVB+LY groups. C, tumor volume (mm³) per mouse in CsA-treated and CsA/LY-treated mice at different times post-UVB irradiation three times a week for 25 weeks. *, $P < 0.05$, significant difference between CsA+UVB and CsA+UVB+LY groups. D and E, slot blot analysis of CPD (D) and 6-4PP (E) levels in vehicle-treated, CsA-treated, and CsA-LY-treated mouse skin at 0 and 24 h post-UVB (100 mJ/cm²) or sham-irradiation. F, immunohistochemical analysis of Ki67-positive cells in non-tumor skin and tumor samples from vehicle-treated, CsA-treated, and CsA/LY-treated mice. Scale Bar: 50 μm.
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