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Abstract

The pioneering Royal Marsden Tamoxifen Prevention Trial recruited 2,471 eligible high-risk women to be randomized to either placebo or tamoxifen (20 mg daily) for 8 years. Breast cancer incidence was evaluated at a median of 18.4 years from the start of the study. There was a 32% reduction in estrogen/progesterone receptor (ER/PR)–positive breast cancers after tamoxifen treatment finished. Translational research, to study “the good, the bad, and the ugly of tamoxifen” in the 1980s, subsequently ensured women’s safety from possible increases in osteoporosis, coronary heart disease, and endometrial cancer. Other tamoxifen chemoprevention trials followed. The result of laboratory research was the unanticipated discovery of raloxifene to prevent osteoporosis and breast cancer at the same time. A new group of medicines, now known as selective ER modulators, was established. Indeed, the ability to prevent or delay multiple diseases with a single cheap medicine has the potential to alleviate pressure on health care systems that are overwhelmed. It is a priority to educate physicians appropriately to apply recommended proven medicines as preventives.

The report by the RMPT (2) states that two publications catalyzed their commitment to consider a chemoprevention trial with the breast cancer treatment medicine tamoxifen (6). The RMPT, under the leadership of Professor Trevor Powles CBE, then Head of the Breast Cancer Program at the Royal Marsden Hospital, London, UK, justified their bold strategy because long-term tamoxifen treatment prevented, almost entirely, ER-positive rat mammary carcinogenesis (7). A decade later, 2 years of adjuvant tamoxifen caused an almost complete prevention of contralateral primary breast cancer (8). The acronym for the trial, referred to as NATO (9), was conceived to entice American academicclinicians to read the clinical trial results, in the Lancet. In reality, NATO stood for “Nolvadex Adjuvant Trial Organization”!

However, in the early 1980s, the main questions about the safe use of tamoxifen in women without cancer were (i) if estrogen is important to maintain bone density and regulate cholesterol metabolism, will an antiestrogenic medicine prevent breast cancer during prolonged therapy, but increase the risk of developing osteoporosis and coronary heart disease (CHD) prematurely? and (ii) are there any surprises yet to be discovered about the toxicology of tamoxifen?

Actually, several unanticipated surprises from the laboratory were in store in the 1980s that were both good and bad. This drove important clinical studies over the next decade from the Wisconsin Comprehensive Cancer Center and the Royal Marsden Hospital (London, United Kingdom).

An unexpected laboratory finding was that estrogen and two previously discarded “antiestrogens” tamoxifen, a failed morning-after pill, and raloxifene, a failed breast cancer drug, each built bone in ovariectomized rats (10). This became the scientific rationale for the Wisconsin Tamoxifen study. A total of 140 postmenopausal node-negative breast cancer patients were randomized to receive tamoxifen (20 mg daily) or placebo for 2 years. Changes in circulating cholesterol and bone density were monitored over time. The results showed that low-density lipoprotein (LDL; bad) cholesterol decreased with tamoxifen.
In contrast, high-density lipoprotein (good) cholesterol was unaffected [11, 12]. Bone density was improved significantly in the lumbar spine compared with placebo [13]. Professor Powles mobilized parallel clinical studies on bones, cardiovascular safety, and lipids [14, 15] and most importantly gynecologic side effects [16]. The way ahead seemed secure; however, new information initially slowed the plan to develop tamoxifen as a breast cancer chemopreventive.

In the laboratory, tamoxifen increased the growth of a human endometrial carcinoma, but prevented the growth of a breast cancer both transplanted into the same immunodeficient mouse [17]. This was despite the fact that there was no suspicious clinical evidence linking tamoxifen with an increased endometrial cancer risk at that time. A rapid public debate on carcinogenesis issues occurred through the good offices of “Letters to the Editor” in the Lancet [18–20]. This resulted in the clinical finding that during 5 years of adjuvant tamoxifen therapy, there was an increased incidence of endometrial cancer but a decrease in contralateral breast cancer [21]. The selective estrogen action of tamoxifen switching on or off estrogen target sites around the body was demonstrated that both would prevent decreases in bone density in ovariectomized rats [10], and long-term therapy demonstrated that both would prevent decreases in bone density in ovariectomized rats [10], and long-term therapy prevented rat mammary carcinogenesis [23]. However, raloxifene was less effective than tamoxifen.

When the SERM concept was first proposed [24], it was stated:

We have obtained valuable clinical information about this group of drugs that can be applied to other disease states. Research does not travel in straight lines and observations in one field of science often become major discoveries in another. Important clues have been garnered about the effects of tamoxifen on bone and lipids so it is possible that derivatives could find targeted applications to retard osteoporosis or atherosclerosis. The ubiquitous applications of novel compounds to prevent diseases associated with the progressive changes after menopause may, as a side effect, significantly retard the development of breast cancer. The target population would be women in general, thereby avoiding the requirement to select a high risk group to prevent breast cancer.

Raloxifene was evaluated in a major registration trial called Multiple Outcomes of Raloxifene Evaluation (MORE). This tested the hypothesis [24] that a SERM could be used to treat and prevent osteoporosis, but reduce breast cancer incidence at the same time. This was found to be correct [25]. Nevertheless, a subsequent trial Raloxifene Use for The Heart (RUTH) to determine whether women with preexisting CHD could receive benefit from raloxifene proved unsuccessful [26]. There was, however, the anticipated decrease in the incidence of breast cancer, so some of the science was working. Raloxifene was then compared with tamoxifen in the impressive Study of Tamoxifen and Raloxifene (STAR) [27, 28]. Tamoxifen and raloxifene were equivalent at reducing invasive breast cancer. In contrast, tamoxifen was superior at reducing indolent lesions of epithelial origin (IDLE). Tamoxifen could be taken for 5 years and remains effective at reducing the incidence of invasive breast cancer, even after stopping treatment, with the “carryover effect.” Raloxifene is less effective at creating a “carryover effect” and must be taken continuously. This was predicted in the laboratory [17]. The safety profile of raloxifene was better for gynecologic issues and thromboembolic events.

It can be argued that raloxifene and anastrozole (in the United Kingdom) are available as chemopreventive agents in postmenopausal women at high risk, so issues of endometrial cancer and tamoxifen can be ignored. Probably not, as women need choices. It is now wise to reconsider the small but worrying association between tamoxifen and endometrial cancer, in light of modern understanding.

In the 1980s, no gynecologic examinations occurred for breast cancer patients prior to taking tamoxifen, so no preexisting endometrial cancer was discovered. Now, we have a better understanding of endometrial cancer events. They are rare. Almost immediately, package inserts were updated to ensure uterine safety with tamoxifen and, for the first time, gynecologists were required to be involved in breast cancer patient care. This discovery and the rapid resolution of safety issues was timely, as recruitment to the major chemoprevention trials was to start in the 1990s.

The laboratory discoveries with raloxifene and tamoxifen demonstrated that both would prevent decreases in bone density in ovariectomized rats [10], and long-term therapy prevented rat mammary carcinogenesis [23]. However, raloxifene was less effective than tamoxifen.

The question for health care systems globally is “can we address the major killers of women after they pass through menopause.” Accumulative health concerns for women are a national public health initiative? Osteoporosis and CHD remain the major killers of women after they pass through menopause. Women are continuing to increase their longevity and exceed men. Accumulative health concerns for women are a national priority. The principal risk factor for breast cancer is age, and it is estimated that the incidence of IDLE and invasive breast cancer will increase by 50% between 2011 and 2050 [33]. The question for health care systems globally is “can we address these three diseases (CHD, osteoporosis, and breast cancer) in one inexpensive medicine?”

Today, there is every reason to believe that the original goal [24] of choosing to address the big diseases of osteoporosis and CHD, but preventing breast cancer as a beneficial side effect, is possible. Unfortunately, despite the fact that tamoxifen and raloxifene reduce LDL cholesterol, there is no objective benefit documented in randomized prevention trials of CHD.
A clue to the broad public health utilization of SERMs has recently been noted with lasofoxifene evaluated in clinical trials (34). The agent is not FDA approved. Lasofoxifene is the chemically engineered derivative of a failed postcoital contraceptive (1960s), and also a failed breast cancer drug (1970s). The genealogy would not seem promising! Today, lasofoxifene is a miracle of medicinal chemistry having a high affinity for the ER, like raloxifene, but unlike raloxifene, which is used at a daily dose of 60 mg, lasofoxifene is used at a daily dosage of 0.5 mg. A clinical trial recruiting 8,556 postmenopausal women was evaluated after 5 years of lasofoxifene treatment to prevent or treat osteoporotic fractures (34). This was successful, but lasofoxifene reduced the incidence of breast cancer, did not increase the incidence of endometrial cancers, and decreased CHD and strokes. There is an increase in thromboembolic episodes of the same order as raloxifene. Lasofoxifene is the secrets of SERMs continue to surprise us. But how, in the 21st century, do we move from treating disease to preventing diseases with multipurpose pills?

The lessons learned in the 1970s from the successful introduction of new cytotoxic chemotherapy treatment techniques, in the new discipline of medical oncology, are instructive. In 2016, the German Society of Gynecology and Obstetrics announced that Prof. Hans-Joerg Senn, MD, PhD, was recognized as one of the medical pioneer, whose vocation in the 20th century created the standard of cancer care for women’s health in the 21st century. Professor Senn traveled from his native Switzerland to the United States in the early 1960s to learn the new methods of breast cancer treatment with cytotoxic chemotherapy. He then returned to Switzerland to bring the latest treatments for breast cancer to the Swiss medical profession. His conviction that improved cancer survivorship can only occur if physicians are educated how to apply the latest proven advances in medical science. This philosophy became the genesis of the biannual St. Gallen Breast Cancer Meeting. This year is the 30th anniversary of an outstanding educational success story that has improved breast cancer care globally.

I proposed that now is the time to embark upon a focused, but required effort, to educate physicians about the public health potential of medicines proven to prevent multiple diseases. This is, at present, breast cancer and osteoporosis with approved SERMs. For the future, clinical research is showing that the list of diseases that can be prevented will increase. It is the responsibility of professional medical bodies to require the medical education of their members to prevent disease. In particular, the Royal Society of Medicine in the United Kingdom and the American College of Physicians in the United States are ideally placed to take the lead. Our knowledge base is established, and medicines are approved and available. There is an increasing need to alleviate the crippling cost of fatal chronic illness. Health care systems are overwhelmed. This new strategy would require only a small investment in educational budgets for optimal gain by women. Professor Senn proved that education provides power for the physician to extend lives following a diagnosis of breast cancer. Now is the time to put proven principals into practice to prevent not only breast cancer but also other fatal diseases.
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