Tamoxifen Downregulates Ets Oncogene Family Members ETV4 and ETV5 in Benign Breast Tissue: Implications for Durable Risk Reduction
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Abstract

Five years of tamoxifen reduces breast cancer risk by nearly 50% but is associated with significant side effects and toxicities. A better understanding of the direct and indirect effects of tamoxifen in benign breast tissue could elucidate new mechanisms of breast carcinogenesis, suggest novel chemoprevention targets, and provide relevant early response biomarkers for phase II prevention trials. Seventy-three women at increased risk for breast cancer were randomized to tamoxifen (20 mg daily) or placebo for 3 months. Blood and breast tissue samples were collected at baseline and post-treatment. Sixty-nine women completed all study activities (37 tamoxifen and 32 placebo). The selected biomarkers focused on estradiol and IGFs in the blood; DNA methylation and cytology in random periareolar fine-needle aspirates; and tissue morphometry, proliferation, apoptosis, and gene expression (microarray and reverse transcriptase PCR) in the tissue core samples. Tamoxifen downregulated Ets oncogene transcription factor family members ETV4 and ETV5 and reduced breast epithelial cell proliferation independent of CYP2D6 genotypes or effects on estradiol, ESR1, or IGFs. Reduction in proliferation was correlated with downregulation of ETV4 and DNAJC12. Tamoxifen reduced the expression of ETV4- and ETV5-regulated genes implicated in epithelial-stromal interaction and tissue remodeling. Three months of tamoxifen did not affect breast tissue composition, cytologic atypia, preneoplasia, or apoptosis. A plausible mechanism for the chemopreventive effects of tamoxifen is restriction of lobular expansion into stroma through downregulation of ETV4 and ETV5. The human equivalent of murine multipotential progenitor cap cells of terminal end buds may be the primary target. Cancer Prev Res; 4(11): 1852–62. ©2011 AACR.

Introduction

Estrogen receptor-α (ESR1) signaling is a key driver of breast carcinogenesis; consequently, agents which modulate ESR1 activity or deplete its ligand reduce breast cancer incidence. However, estrogen signaling plays a critical role in diverse biological processes, accounting for the frequent adverse events that occur when this pathway is pharmacologically perturbed. Tamoxifen is a selective estrogen receptor modifier (SERM) that has been shown to reduce breast cancer incidence by nearly 50% in increased risk women (1), but it also increases the risk for endometrial cancer and venous thromboembolic events. Chemoprevention research has focused primarily on identifying SERMs with better safety profiles, but understanding critical molecular events occurring downstream of ESR1 may permit the development of paradigm-shifting chemoprevention approaches capable of specifically targeting benign breast tissue to reduce breast cancer incidence.

There is increasing interest in the role of IGF signaling in breast carcinogenesis and progression. Tamoxifen has previously been shown to reduce circulating IGF1 (2, 3), and IGF1 has been proposed as a surrogate endpoint biomarker for phase II chemoprevention trials (4–6). It is unclear, however, whether systemic modulation of IGF1 is simply a bystander effect or a key mediator of tamoxifen effects in benign breast tissue.
There is considerable published information concerning the effects of tamoxifen on breast cancer and breast cancer cell lines but very little for benign breast tissue. Pathways modulated by tamoxifen in benign breast tissue may have relevance for carcinogenesis, may suggest targets for novel prevention approaches, and may provide biomarkers useful as surrogate endpoints in prevention trials.

Materials and Methods

Study design

A phase II multi-institutional, randomized, prospective, double blind, placebo-controlled trial was conducted to identify biomarkers that are modulated by tamoxifen, but not placebo, in women at increased risk for breast cancer (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00096369). This research was conducted in accordance with an assurance filed with and approved by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Institutional Review Board approval was obtained at each site and informed consent was documented in writing for each participant. Women 35 years or older with a 5-year Gail risk of 1.67% or greater or a personal history of lobular carcinoma in situ (LCIS) were eligible. Exclusion criteria included ever use of SERMs, use of systemic steroid hormones (including oral contraceptives or hormone replacement therapy) within 3 months, personal history of invasive or in situ breast cancer, pregnancy or lactation within 6 months, a history of thromboembolic disease that would preclude the use of tamoxifen, the presence of breast implants, or a bleeding diathesis that would preclude needle sampling of the breast. Eligible and consenting women were centrally randomized (Efron-type biased coin randomization) to tamoxifen or placebo. Stratification variables included 5-year Gail risk of less than 5% or 5% or above, history of high-risk preneoplasia including atypical ductal hyperplasia, atypical lobular hyperplasia or LCIS, and menopausal status. Criteria for classifying a woman as postmenopausal included prior bilateral oophorectomy, amenorrhea for more than 12 months with an intact uterus and at least 1 ovary, or amenorrhea and follicle-stimulating hormone level greater than 20 mIU/mL. Women not meeting any of these criteria were classified as premenopausal. Blood and breast tissue samples were collected at baseline and after a median of 84 days of treatment with tamoxifen or placebo. For premenopausal women, the baseline and posttreatment samples were obtained on day 28 of the menstrual cycle ± 2 days. Late luteal phase sampling was specifically chosen to avoid measuring acute estrogenic effects while still capturing events (e.g., apoptosis) in a tissue remodeling phase (7).

Blood was collected by venipuncture into Vacutainer CPT tubes (Becton Dickinson) which were immediately centrifuged to separate plasma and lymphocytes. Aliquots were immediately frozen at −80°C. Bilateral RP-FNA was conducted as previously described (8, 9). Direct smears were made for cytologic assessment and material from each breast was pooled in PreserveCyt (Cytyc Health Corporation) for subsequent DNA extraction. Fourteen-gauge core needle samples were obtained from the palpably dense tissue in the upper outer quadrant of 1 breast for each patient. Two cores were immediately snap frozen in liquid nitrogen for later RNA extraction, and the others were fixed in formalin for histologic assessment and immunohistochemistry (IHC). Posttreatment core biopsies were conducted in the same breast sampled at baseline.

Treatment

Subjects were provided with a 1 month supply of identically labeled tamoxifen or placebo. The tamoxifen dose was 20 mg orally each day. Compliance was measured by pill counts every 4 weeks.

Biomarker selection

The biomarker panel included markers known to be modulated by tamoxifen (e.g., IGF1) recently proposed markers of breast cancer risk (e.g., RP-FNA cytology (ref. 10), number of acini per lobule (refs. 11, 12), and DNA methylation (refs. 8, 9)) and gene expression markers with the potential to generate new hypotheses concerning the effects of tamoxifen on benign breast tissue.

Plasma markers

To avoid repeated freezing and thawing, all of the assays for a given sample were usually run on the same day. Baseline and posttreatment samples for a given individual were always run on the same plate. Plasma markers included estradiol (RIA, DSL-39100; Diagnostic Systems Laboratories Inc.), albumin (QuantiChrom BCG Albumin Assay Kit, BioAssay Systems), sex hormone–binding globulin (SHBG; ELISA, DSL-10-7400), prolactin (ELISA, DSL-10-4500), IGF1 (ELISA, DSL-10-5600), IGF2 (ELISA, DSL-10-2600), IGFBP1 (ELISA, DSL-10-7800), and IGFBP3 (ELISA, DSL-10-6600). Free estradiol was...
calculated from total estradiol, albumin, and SHBG as previously described (13).

**Tissue core markers**

Histologic assessment of hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained slides was conducted by a single breast pathologist (V. Sarode), specifically evaluating the characteristics of the epithelium: normal, nonproliferative fibrocystic change, or proliferative fibrocystic change. Specific histologic patterns were recorded including cystic changes, apocrine metaplasia, adenosis, sclerosing adenosis, papilloma/papillomatosis, epithelial hyperplasia (mild, moderate, or florid), atypical ductal hyperplasia, atypical lobular hyperplasia, columnar cell change, or LCIS.

Markers measured by IHC by the CLIA/CAP certified laboratory, OncoDiagnostic Laboratory Inc. included the following: proliferation (MIB-1 Dako), epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR; ZYMED 31G7), BCL2 (Dako 124), and estrogen receptor-α (ESR1, Dako 1D5). The pattern of ETV4 (SC-113; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and ETV5 (SC-22807; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) protein expression was assessed in 4 core samples from 2 premenopausal participants. Proliferation was quantified by manual cell counting as described in the Data Analysis section. Estrogen receptor and EGFR were assessed using a Dako autostainer and the percentage of positive cells quantified using an Automated Cellular Imaging System. BCL2 was scored manually as 0, 1+, 2+, or 3+. Apoptosis was measured by terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay in the laboratory of W. Xia at University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center.

Breast tissue morphometry was quantified by computer-assisted tissue component analysis (NIH Image; Scion Corp). The fractional area corresponding to epithelial structures, fibrous stroma, and adipose was measured for one entire H&E-stained section for each sample. This included cuts through multiple cores. On average, 37.1 mm² were assessed (range = 5.8–74.5, SD = 13.9). The number of acini in each lobule was manually counted and recorded, permitting calculation of the mean acini/lobule ratio and total acini.

**Fine-needle aspiration markers**

Each Papanicolaou-stained smear was classified by a breast cytopathologist (R. Ashfaq) as acellular, normal epithelium, hyperplasia, or atypia. Each sample was also assigned a Masood score [14] on the basis of cell arrangement, cellular pleomorphism, paucity of myoepithelial cells, anisonucleosis, prominence of nucleioli, and chromatin clumping. Epithelial cells were manually counted for each slide to provide a direct measure of sample cellularity.

Tumor suppressor gene methylation was measured by quantitative multiplex methylation-specific PCR (15). Markers evaluated included cyclin D2, adrenomatous polyposis coli (APC), HIN-1, CST6, RASSF1A, and RAR-β2. The primers, probes, and performance characteristics of this assay have been described previously (16).

**CYP2D6 genotypes**

CYP2D6 genotyping was conducted in the laboratory of D. Flockhart on DNA extracted from peripheral blood mononuclear cells isolated from whole blood of the tamoxifen subjects using methods that have previously been described (17). The observed alleles included *1, *2, *4, *5, *9, *11, *12, and *41. Patients with one or more *4 or *5 alleles were classified as poor metabolizers.

**Gene expression**

RNA was extracted from 113 snap-frozen breast tissue core samples (QIAzol and the RNasea Micro Kit; Qiagen), amplified once, (TargetAmp) Biotech Amplification Kit; Epicentre Biotechnologies) and then hybridized to the Illumina whole genome 48k chip (Human-6 v2). An RNA integrity number (RIN) was measured for each sample using an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer. The RINs ranged from 6.6 to 9.1 with an average of 7.9. Core biopsy samples with few or no epithelial structures were excluded; consequently, the microarray analysis described in the Results section is based on 70 arrays (35 two-time point pairs). Reasons for excluding arrays included less than 5 lobules in both paired core samples (n = 19), less than 5 lobules in one of the paired samples (n = 5), no paired sample (n = 11), duplicate sample (n = 2), chip did not pass quality criterion of mean correlation of 0.94 or above compared with all other chips (5) and correlation of less than 0.94 in the paired sample (1). The expression data are available at NCBI GEO GSE293338.

RNA was also extracted from microdissected lobules from 5 tamoxifen-treated subjects (2 premenopausal and 3 postmenopausal), amplified twice, and then hybridized to Illumina whole genome 48k chips (NCBI GEO GSE29338). Data from these doubly amplified samples did not meet the quality criteria established for the whole tissue cores and were judged unreliable for whole genome assessment of tamoxifen-modulated gene expression. Instead, these data were used to confirm the epithelial cell relevance of observations from the whole tissue cores. Mean log2 ratios (posttreatment/baseline) up or down by 1.5-fold or more were considered significant in the microdissected lobules.

Reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) was used to confirm microarray observations for selected genes. cDNA was prepared from RNA extracted from snap-frozen core samples using the SuperScript VILO cDNA Synthesis Kit (Invitrogen). RT-PCR reactions were carried out in SYBR premix Ex Taq II (TaKaRa Bio, Inc.) using 5 ng of starting template on a Chromo4 thermocycler (Bio-Rad). The RT-PCR primer sequences are provided in Supplementary Table S3. Normalized relative quantities (NRQ) were calculated according to the method of Hellemans and colleagues (18) using PCR efficiencies calculated from standard curves as 10(−1/slope). After extensive testing for stability in benign breast tissue, 3 reference genes were chosen: HPRT1, ACTB, and RPL13A. Two calibrators were included on every plate: cDNA prepared from a universal human reference RNA (Stratagene and Agilent Technologies) and cDNA prepared...
from a standard RNA solution prepared locally from 4 pooled benign breast tissue samples.

**Data analysis**

For each plasma marker, the mean log₂ ratio (posttreatment/baseline) for tamoxifen subjects was compared with placebo subjects using 2-tailed Student's t tests. For display purposes (Fig. 1), the difference (Δ) between posttreatment and baseline values was calculated for each sample pair and then the direction and degree of modulation expressed as (mean Δ tamoxifen – mean Δ placebo)/mean baseline all samples.

Proliferation rates were calculated separately for every lobule in each core biopsy section by manually counting all MIB-1-positive cells and the total number of cells in the lobule. This permitted a statistical comparison between mean baseline and posttreatment proliferation rates for each individual as shown in the volcano plot in Figure 5. In addition, a summary proliferative index was calculated for each section as the total number of MIB-1-positive cells in all lobules divided by the total number of epithelial cells. The median number of epithelial cells counted per subject was 1,958 (range = 50–11,020).

Gene expression analysis was conducted on 70 breast tissue core biopsies (representing 35 baseline and posttreatment pairs with 5 or more lobules in both the baseline and posttreatment core sample) using GeneSpring 11.0.1 as follows. Flags were set to present for entities with detection values of $P \leq 0.2$ and absent for entities with detection values of $P > 0.4$. Raw signals with values less than 1 were reset to 1. Quantile normalization was used and baseline transformation conducted on the basis of the median expression level for all entities. Of the 48,701 entities included on the Illumina array, flags were present or marginal for 75% of the samples for 27,219 entities and these were retained. The initial analysis combined data from both pre- and postmenopausal women. Genes showing significant modulation in the tamoxifen subjects, based on Benjamin–Hochberg false discovery rate–corrected values of $P < 0.05$ (paired Student’s t test), were retained (232 genes). To identify genes significantly modulated in the tamoxifen, but not the placebo subjects, mean posttreatment/baseline log₂ ratios and SDs were calculated for the tamoxifen ($\mu_T$ and $SD_T$) and the placebo ($\mu_P$ and $SD_P$) subjects for each gene according to the class prediction method of Slonim and colleagues (19). Fifty genes were identified with ($\mu_T - \mu_P)/(SD_T + SD_P) \geq 0.5$. In a second analysis, premenopausal subjects (10 tamoxifen pairs and 8 placebo pairs) were analyzed separately from postmenopausal subjects (11 tamoxifen pairs and 8 placebo pairs). With the reduced samples sizes in this subgroup analysis, no significant Benjamin–Hochberg corrected $P$ values were obtained. Criteria for classifying a gene as modulated by tamoxifen but not placebo were relaxed to include (i) mean fold change in tamoxifen subjects more than 1.5 with $P < 0.001$ and (ii) ($\mu_T - \mu_P)/(SD_T + SD_P) \geq 0.5$.

NRQ calculated from the RT-PCR data were compared between posttreatment and baseline samples using paired Student’s t tests. RT-PCR was run on the same 19 tamoxifen pairs and 16 placebo pairs that had been assessed by microarray.

**Results**

To identify biomarkers modulated by tamoxifen, but not placebo, 73 women were randomized to 20 mg of tamoxifen each day ($N = 40$) or placebo ($N = 33$). Blood and breast tissue samples were collected at baseline and after 3 months of treatment. Four of these women (5.5%) withdrew from the study prior to the second sampling. One placebo subject was found to have a mammographically occult infiltrating lobular carcinoma on screening MRI obtained as part of her regular clinical management. Three tamoxifen subjects withdrew because of symptoms they attributed to the study medication. A total of 69 women completed all study-related activities including 37 in the tamoxifen group and 32 in the placebo group. Stratification was judged successful on the basis of the similarity of the treatment groups for age, menopausal status, and breast cancer risk (Table 1). Medication compliance, based on pill counts, was greater than 90% for all but 2 placebo subjects. Additional evidence for compliance is provided by the observation that 63% of the tamoxifen subjects reported hot flashes as compared with 33% of the placebo subjects ($P = 0.024$).
Blood markers
Tamoxifen significantly increased total estradiol in both pre- and postmenopausal women, but this effect was most pronounced for premenopausal women (Fig. 1). Increases in total estradiol translated into increased free estradiol for premenopausal women, but the large increases in SHBG seen in postmenopausal women largely offset the modest increase in total estradiol. In general, tamoxifen reduced IGF1 and increased IGF2 and IGFBP1 but only marginally affected IGFBP-3 (7% increase, \( P = 0.126 \)).

Gene expression modulated by tamoxifen but not placebo
The initial microarray analysis, which included samples from both pre- and postmenopausal women, identified 50 genes significantly modulated by tamoxifen but not placebo (Supplementary Table S1). Unsupervised hierarchical Pearson-centered clustering using a centroid linkage rule based on log2 ratios (posttreatment/baseline) for these 50 genes clearly identified a tamoxifen cluster largely distinct from the placebo-treated subjects (Fig. 2A). Twenty-one of these genes were significantly modulated in microdissected lobules from tamoxifen-treated subjects (marked with * in Supplementary Table S1), and in each case, the direction of modulation was identical to that observed in the whole breast cores. Known estrogen response genes, such as ESR1, GREB1, and SERPINA3, were significantly downregulated; but, notably, the Ets oncogene family transcription factors ETV4 and ETV5 were among the most significantly downregulated genes.

In the second analysis, premenopausal subjects were analyzed separately from postmenopausal subjects. This identified 26 genes significantly modulated by tamoxifen but not placebo in premenopausal women (Supplementary Table S2), but no genes met the criteria described in the Materials and Methods section for postmenopausal women (ESR1 was downregulated 1.94-fold, \( P = 0.005 \)). Hierarchical cluster analysis based on the 26 genes identified in premenopausal women clearly identified a highly related tamoxifen cluster largely distinct from the premenopausal placebo-treated subjects (Fig. 2B). Fifteen of these genes were significantly modulated in microdissected lobules from tamoxifen-treated subjects (marked with * in Supplementary Table S2), and in each case, the direction of modulation was identical to that observed in the whole breast cores. Known estrogen response genes, such as ESR1 and SERPINA3, were significantly downregulated; but, notably the Ets oncogene family transcription factor ETV4 was also significantly downregulated.

Among the 69 unique genes modulated by tamoxifen, but not placebo, in the combined and premenopausal analyses, 18 are known estrogen response genes (designated by bold text in Supplementary Tables S1 and 2). Tamoxifen downregulated the expression of each of these genes except CD200R1, TEK, and RAB35, which were upregulated. Pathway analysis based on these 69 genes

### Table 1. Characteristics of the study sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Tamoxifen</th>
<th>( P )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number dropped</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (median and range)</td>
<td>50.2 (41.6–67.5)</td>
<td>50.2 (37.0–86.1)</td>
<td>0.938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian, N (%)</td>
<td>27 (84.4)</td>
<td>32 (86.5)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American, N (%)</td>
<td>2 (6.2)</td>
<td>2 (5.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian, N (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (2.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic, N (%)</td>
<td>3 (9.4)</td>
<td>2 (5.4)</td>
<td>0.657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menopausal status, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premenopausal</td>
<td>15 (46.9)</td>
<td>14 (37.8)</td>
<td>0.607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenopausal</td>
<td>17 (53.1)</td>
<td>23 (62.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCIS, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>28 (87.5)</td>
<td>34 (91.9)</td>
<td>0.696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4 (12.5)</td>
<td>3 (8.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;90% compliant</td>
<td>30 (93.8)</td>
<td>37 (100)</td>
<td>0.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-year Gail risk, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1.7</td>
<td>1 (3.1)</td>
<td>2 (5.4)</td>
<td>0.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7–3.3</td>
<td>17 (53.1)</td>
<td>24 (64.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4–4.9</td>
<td>12 (37.5)</td>
<td>9 (24.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5.0</td>
<td>2 (6.3)</td>
<td>2 (5.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m², mean</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
identified ESR1 and the RAB-interacting protein TBC1D9 as major interaction nodes and identified estrogen receptor signaling and ceramide metabolism as key processes (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Gene expression array data were confirmed by RT-PCR for selected genes thought to be particularly relevant to the main effects of tamoxifen in benign breast tissue (Fig. 3). In general, there was excellent correlation between
microarray and RT-PCR expression values (Supplementary Table S4). As with the array data, significant modulation was generally only observed for premenopausal subjects. Among premenopausal subjects, tamoxifen, but not placebo, significantly downregulated the Ets oncogene transcription factors ETV4 and ETV5, as well as a gene thought to function as an ESR1 chaperone, DNAJC12. Of note, modulation of ETV4, ETV5, and DNAJC12 was entirely independent of tamoxifen-induced changes in plasma estradiol or IGFs but increasing plasma IGFBP3 was correlated with reduced expression of ETV4 (Spearman r = −0.616, P = 0.005). Baseline ETV4 expression was highly correlated with ETV5 expression (Spearman r = 0.707, P < 0.0001) and treatment-associated changes in ETV4 expression were highly correlated with changes in ETV5 expression (Spearman r = 0.672, P = 0.002). The microarray data from microdissected lobules show that ETV4 and ETV5 are expressed by mammary epithelium and this expression is downregulated by tamoxifen. Additional evidence for epithelial cell expression is provided by the observations that baseline expression of both ETV4 and ETV5 is directly correlated with the fractional epithelial area of the core samples (Spearman r = 0.501 and 0.465, P = 0.002 and 0.005, respectively), and ETV4 and ETV5 protein expression, assessed by IHC in benign breast tissue core samples, is largely limited to epithelial structures (Fig. 4).

Breast epithelial proliferation
The median proliferative index for breast epithelial cells at baseline was 1.5% for premenopausal women and 0.6% for postmenopausal women. On average, 3 months of tamoxifen was associated with a 51% reduction in proliferation (P = 0.05) and placebo a 50% increase in proliferation (P = 0.15).

The volcano plot in Figure 5 shows the MIB-1 log2 ratio (posttreatment/baseline) versus the −log10 of the P value for each individual. It is clear from this figure that tamoxifen, but not placebo, exerts a significant antiproliferative effect in some, but not all women, regardless of menopausal status. Of note, changes in ETV4 and DNAJC12 expression during treatment were directly correlated with changes in proliferation (i.e., reduced gene expression was associated with associated proliferation: ETV4 RT-PCR: r = 0.493, P = 0.03; DNAJC12 RT-PCR: r = 0.642, P = 0.003).

CYP2D6 genotypes
Certain CYP2D6 genotypes, notably the *4 and *5 alleles, have been associated with reduced conversion of tamoxifen to the active metabolite endoxifen. One (2.7%) of the 37 tamoxifen subjects was homozygous *5 and 18 (49%) were heterozygous for *4 or *5. The homozygous *5 subject was a postmenopausal woman with a proliferative index of 0 at baseline and posttreatment. The mean difference between posttreatment and baseline MIB-1 among tamoxifen-treated women was similar for *4 or *5 heterozygotes as compared with the homozygous wild-type subjects (P = 0.47). Figure 5 shows that the CYP2D6 *4 or *5 heterozygotes are evenly distributed among subjects manifesting and not manifesting a significant antiproliferative response to tamoxifen. The effects of homozygous poor metabolizer genotypes are not known.

Apoptosis
Baseline mean apoptosis rate, as measured by TUNEL in breast tissue cores, was 4.9% for premenopausal subjects and 4.5% for postmenopausal subjects. ETV5 expression was modestly inversely correlated with apoptosis in baseline samples (Spearman r = −0.382, 95% CI: −0.640 to −0.045, P = 0.024). However, tamoxifen did not modulate apoptosis as measured by TUNEL at 3 months.
Tamoxifen did not modulate breast tissue EGFR expression as measured by IHC or plasma prolactin. The proportion of BCL2 3+ cases increased from 40.0% to 85.7% for premenopausal tamoxifen subjects (Fisher's exact test, \( P = 0.029 \)) and from 31.3% to 60.6% for premenopausal placebo subjects (\( P = 0.212 \)) but BCL2 was not modulated in postmenopausal subjects.

**Discussion**

Tamoxifen downregulates mRNA expression of Ets oncogene family members, ETV4 and ETV5, independent of changes in plasma estradiol, IGF1, IGF2, or IGFBP1, suggesting a direct transcriptional effect. ETV4 is a known estrogen response gene (22). ETV4 and ETV5 belong to the PEA3 subfamily of Ets oncogene family transcription factors. In rodent models, these transcription factors are essential for maintaining stem cell niches (23–25) and regulating branching morphogenesis of epithelial structures (26,27). During pubertal murine mammary gland development and subsequent lobular expansion in early pregnancy, ETV4 and ETV5 maintain the self-renewal capacity of the multipotent progenitor cap cells that guide extension of tubules through the stroma and also regulate alveolar differentiation at the terminal end buds (27–29). The precise role of ETV4 and ETV5 in the human mammary gland is not known.

Known transcriptional targets of ETV4 and ETV5 include proteases (20,30,31), genes involved in epithelial-stromal interaction, such as PTHLH (32) and UPAR (31), and IGFBPs (31). Our gene expression data are consistent with ETV4/5-mediated effects, as tamoxifen significantly modulated the expression of genes related to epithelial-stromal interactions, including PTHLH and UPAR. Positive log2 ratio values correspond to treatment-related reductions in proliferation and positive values to increased proliferation. Black symbols are tamoxifen subjects and white symbols placebo subjects. Circles are premenopausal subjects and squares postmenopausal subjects. * Tamoxifen subjects with a CYP2D6 *4 or *5 poor metabolizer allele. The inset shows mean baseline and posttreatment proliferative indices for the 9 postmenopausal subjects with a proliferative index of 0 at one or both time points. These subjects cannot be rendered on the volcano plot which requires log2 transformations. Both baseline and posttreatment proliferative indices were 0 for 3 of the tamoxifen subjects.

**Estrogen receptor**

Although ESR1 measured by IHC was reduced by a median of 27% with tamoxifen and increased by a median of 11% with placebo, this was not statistically significant (\( P = 0.058 \)). However, tamoxifen was associated with a median reduction in ESR1 gene expression of 49% as measured by microarray (\( P < 0.0001 \)) but only an 8% reduction in placebo subjects (\( P = 0.447 \)). This result was confirmed by RT-PCR which showed a median reduction of 49% for tamoxifen-treated subjects (\( P = 0.005 \)) and a 4% decrease for placebo-treated subjects (\( P = 0.98 \)). In breast cancer, ETV4 (20) and ETV5 (21) expression has been inversely correlated with ESR1 expression. We observed an inverse correlation between baseline ETV5 expression in benign breast tissue and ESR1 protein expression measured by IHC (Spearman \( r = -0.398, 95\% \text{ CI} = -0.652 \) to \(-0.065, P = 0.018 \)).

**Breast tissue histology and cytology**

Lobular architecture, specifically the number of acini per lobule, has previously been linked to breast cancer risk (11,12). The mean acini per lobule ratio, assessed at baseline, was directly correlated with ETV5 expression measured by RT-PCR (Spearman \( r = 0.481, 95\% \text{ CI} = 0.166–0.707, P = 0.003 \)) and inversely correlated with RAB35 (\( P = 0.025 \)), PIM1 (\( P = 0.004 \)), and TEK (\( P = 0.001 \)). Cytologic atypia in RP-FNA has also previously been linked to breast cancer risk (10). Baseline ETV5 expression measured by RT-PCR was directly correlated with RP-FNA Masood scores (Spearman \( r = 0.388, 95\% \text{ CI} = 0.351–0.655, P = 0.03 \)). However, 3 months of tamoxifen did not convincingly modulate RP-FNA cellularity, RP-FNA cytologic classification (Masood score or categorical classifications), core biopsy histologic classification, breast tissue composition (epithelial, fibrous stroma, or adipose area), number of acini per lobule, or total acini.

**Tumor suppressor gene methylation**

Methylation of APC and RASSF1A in benign RP-FNA samples has previously been associated with breast cancer risk (9). ETV4 gene expression, measured at baseline by RT-PCR in breast tissue core samples, correlated directly with the sum of APC and RASSF1A methylation measured at baseline in RP-FNA samples (Spearman \( r = 0.442, 95\% \text{ CI} = 0.117–0.681, P = 0.008 \)). Tamoxifen did not modulate methylation of CCND2, HIN1, CST6, or RAR-\( \beta \)2, but the mean difference for the sum of APC and RASSF1A methylation fractions between posttreatment and baseline samples was +7.1% for placebo subjects and −3.5% for tamoxifen subjects (\( P = 0.021 \)).

**Other markers**

Tamoxifen did not modulate breast tissue EGFR expression as measured by IHC or plasma prolactin. The proportion of BCL2 3+ cases increased from 40.0% to 85.7% for premenopausal tamoxifen subjects (Fisher’s exact test, \( P = 0.029 \)) and from 31.3% to 60.6% for premenopausal placebo subjects (\( P = 0.212 \)) but BCL2 was not modulated in postmenopausal subjects.
interaction and tissue remodeling (PLAT, SERPINA3, SERPINAS5, SERPING1, DBC1, EXT1I, and PTHLH). In addition, Ets oncogene family transcription factors have been shown to interact with chromatin remodeling complexes (33,34), suggesting a possible mechanism for the decrease in tumor suppressor gene methylation observed with tamoxifen.

Tamoxifen has been shown to reduce the incidence of estrogen receptor–positive, but not estrogen receptor–negative, breast cancer (1). ETV4 and ETV5 are most highly expressed in murine mammary tissue at menarche and early in pregnancy, periods of intense sex hormone–mediated tissue remodeling. Timing of these events is a critical component of the Gail model which conducts best for the prediction of estrogen receptor–positive breast cancer (35).

A main effect of tamoxifen may be constraint of lobular development and maintenance through downregulation of ETV4 and ETV5. Persistence of large, acini-rich lobules has previously been linked to increased breast cancer risk (11,12), but the hormone receptor status of the associated breast cancers in these studies is not known. A synthesis of the animal data (29) and the data from the current study suggest that the etiology of estrogen receptor–positive breast cancer may be related to ETV4/5-mediated effects on lineage-specific differentiation of the multipotential progenitor cap cells of the terminal end buds.

Tamoxifen also significantly modulated the expression of genes belonging to, or interacting with, Ras superfamily G proteins involved in intracellular vesicle trafficking (RAB3B, RAB38, RAB35, and SYTL2). These genes are not known to be regulated by ETV4 or ETV5. Of note, tamoxifen significantly upregulated TEK, a tyrosine kinase associated with angiogenesis (36) and poor prognosis breast cancer (37,38), and also marginally upregulated PIM1, a serine/threonine protein kinase recognized as a proto-oncogene (39,40). Tamoxifen also reduced the expression of several known estrogen response genes including GREB1, which is thought to mediate estrogen-induced proliferation (41–43).

Our approach to gene expression analysis imposes certain limitations in interpretation. Analysis of the gene expression microarray data was directed at identifying the most consistent general effects of tamoxifen in benign breast tissue and would have excluded genes with large expression changes in just a few individuals. Hierarchical clustering, as shown in Figure 2, was used to confirm that the variability in up- or downregulation of the selected genes was greater between treatment groups than within groups. In addition, the stringent statistical criteria used for gene selection would have excluded many important genes with more modest modulation. For example, downregulation of the calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase (CAMK) CAMK2G has previously been reported in tamoxifen-treated premenopausal women (44). Our data did not confirm this observation but did show modulation of a variety of other CAMK-related genes, none of which met our statistical criteria for inclusion in the final lists.

Tamoxifen-mediated reductions in ETV4/5 gene expression would be expected to interfere with lobular development and maintenance. However, 3 months of tamoxifen did not alter the morphologic features of benign breast tissue or impact the rate of cytologic atypia. Notably, in one study, 12 months, but not 6 months, of tamoxifen significantly reduced RP-FNA Masood cytology scores for 17 treated women as compared with 16 untreated controls (45), suggesting that longer treatment periods are required to translate early molecular events into morphologic changes observable under the microscope.

Tamoxifen has been associated with reduced proliferation in benign breast epithelial cells in some studies (46–48) but not others (49). The 3 positive studies are from the same institution and proliferation was only measured at a single posttreatment time point in premenopausal women undergoing fibroadenoma excision. Of note, mean proliferation in the control groups was highly variable for these 3 studies (50.3%, 9.5%, and 2.04%). Proliferation is difficult to quantify by MIB-1 IHC in benign breast tissue because of the enormous variability within the same section (e.g., in our most variable case, proliferative indices ranged from 0.006 to 0.75 for one section with 26 lobules). To account for this, we calculated a proliferative index for every available lobule in each core biopsy section and then compared baseline and posttreatment averages for each subject as shown in the volcano plot in Figure 5. This convincingly shows an antiproliferative effect for tamoxifen in benign breast tissue for some women but not others. Downregulation of ETV4 and DNAJC12 expression during treatment were directly correlated with reductions in proliferation.

In summary, tamoxifen significantly downregulated the expression of Ets oncogene family members ETV4 and ETV5 which are known to play a central role in stem/progenitor cell renewal and differentiation during initial mammary gland development and subsequent remodeling. The reduced proliferation and changes in gene expression we observed are consistent with ETV4/5-mediated effects. Tissue remodeling following ETV4/5 downregulation is also a likely mechanism for the reduction in mammographic density observed with tamoxifen (4,50). Further investigations into the role of ETV4 and ETV5 in breast carcinogenesis, specifically the role in maintaining stem/progenitor cell populations, are warranted as targeting this pathway may provide an approach for reducing breast cancer risk while avoiding the toxicity associated with systemic modulation of estrogen response pathways.
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