Vitamin E δ-Tocotrienol Prolongs Survival in the LSL-Kras\(^{G12D/+}\);LSL-Trp53\(^{R172H/+}\);Pdx-1-Cre (KPC) Transgenic Mouse Model of Pancreatic Cancer

Kazim Husain\(^1\), Barbara A. Centeno\(^2\), Dung-Tsa Chen\(^4\), Sunil R. Hingorani\(^5\), Said M. Sebti\(^3\), and Mokenge P. Malafa\(^1,3\)

Abstract

Previous work has shown that vitamin E δ-tocotrienol (VEDT) prolongs survival and delays progression of pancreatic cancer in the LSL-Kras\(^{G12D/+}\);Pdx-1-Cre mouse model of pancreatic cancer. However, the effect of VEDT alone or in combination with gemcitabine in the more aggressive LSL-Kras\(^{G12D/+}\);LSL-Trp53\(^{R172H/+}\);Pdx-1-Cre (KPC) mouse model is unknown. Here, we studied the effects of VEDT and the combination of VEDT and gemcitabine in the KPC mice. KPC mice were randomized into four groups: (i) vehicle [olive oil, 1.0 mL/kg per os twice a day and PBS 1.0 mL/kg intraperitoneally (i.p.) twice a week], (ii) gemcitabine (100 mg/kg i.p. twice a week), (iii) VEDT (200 mg/kg per os twice a day), and (iv) gemcitabine + VEDT. Mice received treatment until they displayed symptoms of impending death from pancreatic cancer, at which point animals were euthanized. At 16 weeks, survival was 10% in the vehicle group, 30% in the gemcitabine group, 70% in the VEDT group (\(P < 0.01\)), and 90% in the VEDT combined with gemcitabine group (\(P < 0.05\)). VEDT alone and combined with gemcitabine resulted in reversal of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in tumors. Biomarkers of apoptosis (plasma CK18), PARP1 cleavage, and Bax expression were more greatly induced in tumors subjected to combined treatment versus individual treatment. Combined treatment induced cell-cycle inhibitors (p27Kip1 and p21Cip1) and inhibited VEGF, vascularity (CD31), and oncogenic signaling (pAKT, pMEK, and pERK) greater than individual drugs. No significant differences in body weight gain between drug treatment and control mice were observed. These results strongly support further investigation of VEDT alone and in combination with gemcitabine for pancreatic cancer prevention and treatment. Cancer Prev Res; 6(10); 1074–83. ©2013 AACR.

Introduction

Advanced pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma is a lethal disease with approximately 6 months median survival (1). Gemcitabine is the only approved single agent, with a median survival of 5.7 months and 20% 1-year survival rate (2, 3). After many phase III trials exploring gemcitabine-based combinations failed to improve overall survival in patients, 2 recent trials with erlotinib and nab-paclitaxel (Abraxane) showed modest but significant improvements in survival (4, 5). Erlotinib improved median survival to 6.24 months, whereas nab-paclitaxel improved median survival to 8.5 months. At the end of 1 year, 35% of patients who received nab-paclitaxel with gemcitabine were alive, compared with 22% of patients who received only gemcitabine. Because of the moderate activity of the present gemcitabine-based regimen, improved prevention and therapeutic options for pancreatic cancer are a high priority.

There has been intense interest in the role of natural nutritional/dietary factors in the prevention and treatment of pancreatic cancer (6, 7). Several reports have shown that increasing vegetable, fruit, and cereal consumption may impact protection against the development of pancreatic cancer (8, 9). One of the most compelling groups of antitumor bioactive compounds in cereal grains are vitamin E tocotrienols (10). Tocotrienols are unsaturated, naturally occurring vitamin E compounds, which exist as 4 isoforms: \(\alpha\), \(\beta\), \(\delta\), and \(\gamma\)-tocotrienol (11). We have shown that for pancreatic cancer, vitamin E δ-tocotrienol (VEDT) is the most potent anticancer agent among the 4 isomers, both in vitro and in vivo (12). We have also shown that oral administration of 100 mg/kg/d of VEDT to mice resulted in satisfactory bioavailability in mouse pancreas tissue with no significant toxicity (13). In a recent study, we also showed that VEDT, when administered for almost 1 year, prolonged the survival and delayed pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma development in nude mice (14).
intraepithelial neoplasia lesions in the *LSL-KRAS*<sup>G12D</sup>/PDX-1-Cre genetic mouse model of pancreatic cancer (14).

Activating mutations in the Kras oncogene are found in more than 90% of human pancreatic cancers (15). Conditionally targeting an activating point mutation in Kras (G12D) with a Pdx-1 pancreas-specific promoter resulted in pancreatic lesions that display a full spectrum of pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasias in mice (16). These lesions progress to fully invasive and metastatic adenocarcinomas; thus, the *LSL-Kras*<sup>G12D</sup>; Pdx-1-Cre transgenic mouse model mimics both the genetic and histologic changes observed in human pancreatic cancer. When a point mutation (R172H) in the p53 tumor suppressor gene, which is mutated in 75% of human pancreatic tumors, was introduced into the pancreas of mice with a Kras<sup>G12D/+</sup> mutation, these triple transgenic *LSL-Kras*<sup>G12D</sup>; *LSL-Trp53R127H*; Pdx-1-Cre (KPC) mice developed pancreatic cancer more rapidly than the mice with just the Kras mutation (17). The clinical symptoms, including cachexia and abdominal distension, the defined histopathologic progression, and the genomic instability found in human pancreatic cancer are all replicated in the KPC transgenic mice, making it one of the most relevant animal models for preclinical evaluation of new drugs for pancreatic cancer (18).

We hypothesized that VEDT, alone and in combination with gemcitabine, could significantly delay tumor growth and prolong survival in the KPC mice. On the basis of the previous tumor effects of tocotrienols observed by us and others, we hypothesized that VEDT would inhibit tumor angiogenesis, induce apoptosis, and alter oncogenic signaling.

### Materials and Methods

#### Reagents and animals

All chemicals and reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise specified. VEDT was obtained from Davos Life Ltd. Gemcitabine-HCl was purchased from Eli Lilly and Company. Pairs of male and female *LSL-Kras*<sup>G12D</sup>, *LSL-Trp53R127H*, and *Pdx-1-Cre* mice were obtained from the National Cancer Institute Mouse Models of Human Cancers Consortium (Frederick, MD). The animal protocol used in the study was approved by our Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

To study the effects of VEDT and gemcitabine on pancreatic tumor development, the KPC mouse model from Hingorani and colleagues (17) was used. *LSL-Kras*<sup>G12D</sup>, *LSL-Trp53R127H*, and *Pdx-1-Cre* mice were maintained as heterozygous lines. They were crossed and bred in the vivarium of our center. Tail snips were harvested from offspring of *LSL-Kras*<sup>G12D</sup>*, *LSL-Trp53R127H*, and *Pdx-1-Cre* mice and allowed to be digested overnight; gDNA was then extracted and estimated using the DNAeasy Blood & Tissue (250) kit from Qiagen Inc, as per the manufacturer's instructions.

#### Genotyping analysis

PCR primer sequences used to detect *Pdx-1-Cre*, *LSL-Kras*<sup>G12D</sup>, and *LSL-Trp53R127H* were as follows: 5'-CTGGA-CTACATCTGAGTGCG-3' and 5'-GGTGATCGGTCATGAA-ATTG-3' (PDX-1-Cre forward and reverse); 5'-AGGTAGCC- CACCATGCGCTTGAGTGCGAAGAGCTTGCA-3' and 5'-CCTTTACA-AAGCCGACAGCTGTGACAG-3' (LSL-KRAS<sup>G12D</sup> forward and reverse); and 5'-AGCCTACACCTGCGTGAAGTAA-GCTGCA-3' and 5'-CTGGAGACATACGACACAC-3' (LSL-Trp53<sup>R127H</sup> forward and reverse) (all from IDT Technologies). The PCR reaction of 25 µL was composed of buffer, 2.0 mmol/L MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mmol/L dNTP mix, 0.025 U/µL DNA Taq polymerase, 0.5 µmol/L primers, and 50 ng DNA. The reaction was carried out in the PTC-200 thermal cycler programmed as preheat at 94°C for 3 minutes and then 35 cycles of 30 seconds at 94°C, 30 seconds at 60°C, and 30 seconds at 72°C, followed by 72°C for 3 minutes. PCR products were mixed with 5 µL of loading dye and separated on a 2% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide. The electrophoresis was run for 1 hour at 100 volts using Tris base, acetic acid, and EDTA buffer. A 650-bp product (PDX), a 550-bp product (KRAS), and 270-bp product (p53) were identified using DNA ladder, and the bands were imaged using Alphalmage analysis.

#### Drug treatments

Offspring of *LSL-KRAS*<sup>G12D</sup>, *LSL-Trp53*<sup>R127H</sup>, and PDX-1-Cre mice (triple gene positive), as shown in Fig. 1A, were randomized into 4 groups: (i) vehicle (ethanol-extracted olive oil, 1.0 mL/kg twice a day by oral gavage; n = 10), (ii) gemcitabine [100 mg/kg intraperitoneally (i.p.) twice a week; n = 10], (iii) VEDT (200 mg/kg twice a day by oral gavage; n = 10), and (iv) gemcitabine + VEDT (n = 10). The treatment was started at the age of 4 weeks and continued for 12 weeks, as shown in Fig. 1B. The body weights of the mice were recorded twice weekly, mortality was noted, and survival curves were plotted (see Fig. 1C). When the mice displayed symptoms of impending death such as cachexia, abdominal distension, rapid weight loss, or labored breathing, animals were euthanized. Blood was collected in heparinized tubes, and the entire tumor tissues were harvested and weighed. Half of the tissues were fixed in buffered formalin for histologic analysis, with the remainder snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at −80°C for protein extraction and Western blot analysis.

#### Histologic evaluation

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues were sectioned (4 µm) and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Immunohistochemistry was conducted using the Ventana Discovery XT automated system (Ventana Medical Systems) per manufacturer's protocol with proprietary reagents. Briefly, slides were deparaffinized on the automated system with EZ Prep solution. Sections were heated for antigen retrieval. For immunohistochemistry, tissue sections were incubated with anti-caspase-3, Ki-67, and CD31 at 1:4,000 dilutions for 60 minutes. Detection was conducted using the Ventana OmniMap Kit.

#### Assessment of immunohistochemical expression

All stained tissues were examined by one independent observer (B.A. Centeno). Caspase-3 and Ki-67-stained...
tissues were assessed for expression in neoplastic and non-neoplastic areas. Percent expression was recorded for each area and then averaged for each mouse. For CD31, sections were examined at low power to identify cancers and associated hot spots. The vessels per C2/C400 field were counted manually. Single cells and groups expressing CD31 were counted as vessels in addition to groups with lumens. Sections not showing a cancer were assessed for hot spots at low power.

**Apoptosis marker CK18 ELISA**

Heparinized blood from mice was centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 5 minutes, and plasma was carefully isolated and stored at −80°C until analysis. The apoptosis marker cytokeratin-18 (CK18) was assayed using the M30-Apoptosense ELISA Kit (PEVIVA).

**Western blot analysis**

Proteins were extracted from pancreatic tumor tissues using radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) lysis buffer containing protease inhibitors (Thermo Scientific). Extracted proteins (40 μg) were resolved on 12.5% SDS-PAGE running gel and a 5% stacking gel. Proteins were then electrotransferred onto nitrocellulose membranes. After blocking in 5% nonfat powdered milk for 1 hour, membranes were washed and then treated with antibodies to PARP-1, E-cadherin, vimentin, pAKT, pMEK, pERK, VEGF, Bax, p21Cip1, p27kip-1, and β-actin (1:1,000) overnight at 4°C (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; Cell Signaling). After blots were washed, they were incubated with horseradish peroxidase–conjugated secondary antibody IgG (1:5,000) for 1 hour at room temperature. The washed blot was then treated with SuperSignal West Pico chemiluminescent substrate (Pierce) for positive antibody reaction. Membranes were exposed to X-ray film (KODAK) for visualization and densitometric quantization of protein bands using AlphaEaseFC software (Alpha Innotech).

**Statistical analysis**

Data are expressed as means ± SEM, analyzed statistically using one-way ANOVA followed by Duncan multiple range tests using SAS statistical software for comparison between different treatment groups. Significance was set at P < 0.05.
Results

Effects of VEDT alone and in combination with gemcitabine on survival and body weight in KPC mice
To assess whether VEDT alone and in combination with gemcitabine affected survival of our mice, we analyzed the effects of the drugs using Kaplan–Meier survival curves. We found that the overall survival of vehicle-treated mice from the onset of treatment was 2.18 months (Fig. 1D), which is in agreement with earlier reports of survival/death of KPC mice (17, 19). Only 10% of the vehicle-treated mice were alive at 4 months of age. VEDT treatment significantly increased the percentage of mice that were alive at 4 months to 70% ($P < 0.01$); 30% of gemcitabine-treated mice were alive at 4 months of age. However, VEDT treatment combined with gemcitabine resulted in 90% ($P < 0.05$) of mice being alive at 4 months of age. It is of great interest that at 5 months of age, 40% of VEDT-treated mice and 20% of VEDT combined with gemcitabine-treated mice were alive without symptoms of impending death, whereas none of the vehicle or gemcitabine-treated mice were alive (Fig. 1D). There was no significant difference in food intake and body weight gain between drug treatment groups and the control vehicle treatment group during the study period (Fig. 1C). Our data indicated no obvious toxicity or side effects of the drugs alone and in combination after chronic dosing for 12 weeks in mice with pancreatic cancer, indicating that symptoms of impending death were entirely related to tumor burden. This finding was confirmed at autopsy in all of the mice.

Effects of VEDT alone and in combination with gemcitabine on tumor weight, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, and proliferation index in KPC mice
Mice treated with gemcitabine and VEDT alone and in combination had significantly reduced tumor weights of 32% ($P < 0.05$), 51% ($P < 0.001$), and 69% ($P < 0.001$), respectively, versus vehicle (Fig. 2A). The combination resulted in a significant reduction of tumor weight compared to either drug alone ($P < 0.02$). Interestingly, VEDT treatment resulted in a greater decrease in tumor weight than gemcitabine. Gemcitabine alone, VEDT alone, and combined gemcitabine and VEDT significantly decreased Ki67 staining (a marker of proliferation index) in tumors at 27% ($P < 0.05$), 39% ($P < 0.001$), and 61% ($P < 0.001$), respectively, compared with vehicle (Fig. 2B and C). Interestingly, the combination of the 2 drugs resulted in a greater inhibition of proliferation than either drug alone. Because recent studies revealed that tocotrienols inhibit cancer cell invasion through reversal of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), we evaluated the effects of VEDT on EMT in the mouse tumors (20, 21). E-cadherin, a marker of epithelial phenotype, slightly increased after gemcitabine treatment, whereas with VEDT alone and in combination with gemcitabine, it was profoundly increased (Fig. 2D and E). In contrast, vimentin, a marker of mesenchymal phenotype, decreased after treatment with gemcitabine or VEDT alone; however, when the 2 drugs were combined, expression was almost completely abolished (Fig. 2D). These findings clearly support the reversal of EMT by VEDT in the pancreatic tumors.

Effect of VEDT alone and in combination with gemcitabine on VEGF expression and tumor angiogenesis in KPC mice
The antiangiogenic properties of tocotrienols have been shown in several studies (22–25). Specifically, VEGF has been implicated as a major target of tocotrienol antitumor activity. As the effect of VEDT on VEGF expression and angiogenesis in pancreatic cancer is unknown, we examined the effect of VEDT on VEGF expression and angiogenesis in our mouse tumors. Mice treated with gemcitabine alone, VEDT alone, and the combination showed significantly reduced VEGF expression: 21% ($P < 0.05$), 55% ($P < 0.001$), and 78% ($P < 0.001$), respectively, compared with vehicle (Fig. 3B). The tumor antiangiogenic effects of VEDT are far greater than gemcitabine. On the other hand, when the two drugs are combined, there is a greater inhibition of VEGF expression than with either drug alone. The tumor blood vessel counts (CD31 immunoreactivity) were significantly decreased with gemcitabine alone (29%), VEDT alone (57%, $P < 0.001$), and gemcitabine + VEDT combined (79%, $P < 0.001$) compared with vehicle (Fig. 3D). The combination of the two drugs resulted in greater inhibition of CD31 immunostaining than either drug alone.

Effect of VEDT alone and in combination with gemcitabine on induction of apoptosis in pancreatic tumor tissues of KPC mice
Earlier studies have shown in vitro using pancreatic cancer cell lines and in vivo using a xenograft mouse model and a conditional Kras$^{G12D}$ mouse model that VEDT induces apoptosis and inhibits cell survival (12, 26–28). Therefore, we evaluated the effect VEDT on apoptosis in the KPC mouse tumors. As shown in Fig. 4A, gemcitabine, VEDT, and the combination of the 2 drugs significantly elicited apoptotic cell death in the circulating tumor cells (CK18) in the blood: 45% ($P < 0.01$), 71% ($P < 0.001$), and 84% ($P < 0.001$), respectively, compared with vehicle, suggesting that loss of viable cells is due to the induction of the cell death pathway. We confirmed this by more intense and significant immunostaining of cleaved caspase-3 in gemcitabine ($P < 0.05$), VEDT ($P < 0.001$), and gemcitabine + VEDT ($P < 0.001$) groups, respectively, compared with the vehicle group (Fig. 4B). Further Western immunoblotting in the pancreatic tumor tissues of mice showed enhanced protein expression of the proapoptotic protein Bax in gemcitabine, VEDT, and gemcitabine + VEDT-treated groups compared to control (Fig. 4C). The combination of the 2 drugs resulted in a more than additive effect on Bax expression when compared with sum of Bax expression induced by either drug alone. PARP1, a 116-kDa nuclear PARP, is one of the drug alone. PARP1 cleavage in pancreatic cancer
tissues of mice treated with gemcitabine, VEDT, and gemcitabine + VEDT compared with control. VEDT cleaved PARP1 greater than gemcitabine, but the combination had more than additive effects on apoptosis compared with sum of apoptosis induced by either drug alone.

Effect of VEDT alone and in combination with gemcitabine on inhibition of cell cycle and survival pathways in pancreatic tumor tissues of KPC mice

Earlier studies have shown in vitro using pancreatic cancer cell lines and in vivo using a xenograft mouse model and conditional Kras<sup>G12D</sup> mouse model that VEDT inhibits cell survival, including oncogenic Kras signaling (12, 14, 26–28). Here, we investigated the effect of VEDT on Kras signaling and cell-cycle proteins. As shown in Fig. 5A, gemcitabine, VEDT, and gemcitabine + VEDT significantly inhibited cell-cycle pathway components, including oncogenic Kras signaling (12, 14, 26–28). However, the induction of both cell-cycle inhibitors was greater with the combination than with either drug alone.
combination than with either drug alone. Interestingly, gemcitabine was unable to inhibit pAKT in the pancreatic tumors, although its expression was slightly increased (Fig. 5B). On the other hand, VEDT inhibited pAKT expression, but the combination of the 2 drugs was more effective in inhibition of the pAKT signaling pathway.

Discussion

The goal of this study was to evaluate the preclinical efficacy of VEDT, a naturally occurring dietary product, alone and in combination with gemcitabine, a commonly used chemotherapeutic agent against pancreatic cancer, in a highly relevant and aggressive model of pancreatic cancer (KPC mouse model). We recently reported in our chemoprevention study that VEDT prevented pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia lesions and increased survival in the conditional Kras mouse model of pancreatic cancer (14). In the present study, we found that VEDT augmented gemcitabine inhibition of tumor growth (tumor weight and proliferation index) and increased survival in the KPC mouse model.

The mechanisms for the chemopreventive effects of VEDT include a protective role against oxidative stress-induced DNA damage (11), enhancement of the immune response (30), and elimination of cancer stem cells (31). One intriguing finding that has been shown consistently by us and others is the selective killing effect of VEDT against cancer cells (12, 14, 32, 33). We have also shown selective induction of apoptosis by VEDT of pancreatic transformed and malignant epithelial cells but not normal immortalized human pancreatic ductal epithelial cells (12, 32). Other anticancer effects of tocotrienol include the inhibition of cell migration and invasion and the inhibition of angiogenesis (21, 24, 34). Our present data also confirm that VEDT decreased VEGF expression in the tumors and inhibited angiogenesis in KPC mice. We found that gemcitabine was unable to inhibit pancreatic tumor angiogenesis significantly in this metastatic model of pancreatic cancer; however, VEDT significantly inhibited tumor angiogenesis. Earlier studies have also reported a mild antiangiogenic response of gemcitabine in orthotopic pancreatic tumors (35, 36). A tocotrienol-rich fraction, including \( \delta \)-tocotrienols, has been shown to suppress mouse mammary tumor cell and colorectal adenocarcinoma cell angiogenesis (34, 37). Augmentation of gemcitabine antitumor activity by VEDT is associated with inhibition of angiogenic factor VEGF protein expression and endothelial factor

Figure 3. VEDT, alone and in combination with gemcitabine (Gem), inhibits tumor angiogenesis in KPC mice. A, Western blotting of VEGF in tumors of KPC mice treated with drugs and vehicle (V) over 12 weeks (n = 5). Gemcitabine and VEDT alone and in combination decreased VEGF expression. B, semiquantitative analysis shows significant reduction of VEGF expression of 21% \( *p < 0.05 \), 55% \( *p < 0.001 \), and 78% \( *p < 0.001 \) with gemcitabine, VEDT alone, and gemcitabine + VEDT, respectively, compared with vehicle. C, effect of vehicle (a), gemcitabine (b), VEDT (c), and gemcitabine + VEDT (d) on CD31 immunostaining in tumors of KPC mice. D, semiquantitative analysis shows that gemcitabine, VEDT, and gemcitabine + VEDT significantly decreased CD31 immunostaining by 29% \( *p < 0.02 \), 57% \( *p < 0.001 \), and 97% \( *p < 0.001 \), respectively, compared to vehicle. Results are means and SE (n = 5). All statistical analyses were conducted using ANOVA with Duncan test.
CD31 immunostaining in the tumor blood vessels. However, to our knowledge, this is the first report showing in vivo that the combination of gemcitabine and VEDT significantly inhibited pancreatic tumor angiogenesis in this aggressive genetic mouse model of pancreatic cancer. Recent studies revealed that VEDT inhibited cancer cell invasion through reversal of EMT (20, 21, 24). We also found that VEDT influenced EMT in the KPC mice.

VEDT antitumor action involves multiple signaling pathways. The NF-κB transcription factor functions as a crucial regulator of cell survival and chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer (11, 38). We have shown in vitro as well as in vivo studies that VEDT targets the transcription factor NF-κB signaling, a pro-survival pathway (12, 14). Inhibition of constitutively active NF-κB resulted in the depletion of NF-κB-regulated gene products such as cell survival anti-apoptotic proteins (BCI-XL, XIAP, and cFLIP) favoring the induction of caspases (-8, -9 and -3) leading to both extrinsic and intrinsic pathways of apoptosis. However, gemcitabine antitumor activity was related to inhibition of DNA synthesis and induction of apoptosis through the intrinsic pathway (39). Gemcitabine chemoresistance is directly related to NF-κB and NF-κB–regulated gene products such as antiapoptotic proteins BCI-XL and cFLIP (38). Inhibition of constitutively active NF-κB resulted in the depletion of NF-κB–regulated gene products for angiogenesis (VEGF) and for metastasis (ICAM-1 and VCAM-1; refs. 11, 38). We have also shown in vitro that VEDT induced p27-dependent G1 cell-cycle arrest via an E2F-1–dependent mechanism in pancreatic cancer cells (32). Our present data also confirm that VEDT induced expression of CDK inhibitors (p27 and p21) in the tumors of KPC mice.

Kras mutations are prevalent in human pancreatic cancer, and mutant Kras has been shown to be required for the

Figure 4. VEDT, alone and in combination with gemcitabine (Gem), induces apoptosis in plasma and tumors of KPC mice. A, gemcitabine, VEDT, and combination of the 2 drugs significantly induced apoptotic tumor cells (CK18) in the plasma by 45% (P < 0.01), 71% (P < 0.001), and 84% (P < 0.001), respectively, compared to vehicle. Results are mean and SE (n = 5). B, cleaved caspase-3 immunostaining in pancreatic tumors after drug treatment (top). Semiquantitative analysis (histogram at bottom) shows that gemcitabine (P < 0.05), VEDT (P < 0.001), and gemcitabine + VEDT (P < 0.001) treatment significantly increased immunostaining of cleaved caspase-3 versus vehicle. Results are means and SE (n = 5). C, Western blotting of PARP1 cleavage and pro-apoptotic protein Bax expression in tumor tissues of drug-treated KPC mice. Gemcitabine, VEDT, and gemcitabine + VEDT increased apoptosis marker PARP1 cleavage and Bax expression compared to vehicle (n = 5). All statistical analyses were conducted using ANOVA with Duncan test.
initiation and maintenance of pancreatic cancer and are associated with poor prognosis and shorter patient survival time. In addition, tumors harboring Kras are resistant to chemotherapy and antisignaling agents (15). The activated Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK signaling pathway regulates the cell-cycle inhibitor p27Kip1 in pancreatic cancer cells (40). VEDT has been shown to inhibit pAKT, pERK, and NF-kB, which are well-known downstream effectors of oncogenic Kras (12, 14, 32). Furthermore, consistent with inhibition of these effectors, downstream targets of pERK (i.e., p27) and those of NF-kB (i.e., Bax) were significantly induced by VEDT treatment, whereas levels of the prosurvival protein Bcl-xL were decreased (14, 32). Therefore, the augmentation of gemcitabine antitumor activity by VEDT is likely associated with multiple signaling pathways leading to inhibition of tumor growth, cell-cycle progress, and angiogenesis and induction of apoptosis in KPC mice.

In summary, this is the first report evaluating the effect of oral feeding of VEDT alone and combined with gemcitabine (Gem) on the aggressive/metastatic pancreatic tumors in the KPC mouse model. Our results show that the oral intake of VEDT leads to increased survival of KPC mice and potentiates the antitumor activity of gemcitabine. VEDT inhibited tumor proliferation, reversed EMT, reduced angiogenesis, disrupted oncogenic Kras signaling, and induced apoptosis in KPC mouse pancreatic tumors. Our data support the clinical investigation of VEDT alone and in combination with gemcitabine for the prevention and treatment of human pancreatic cancer.
pancreatic cancer. Because the toxicity of gemcitabine makes it unsuitable for chemoprevention in healthy subjects, the combination of VEDT and gemcitabine will be most useful in the prevention of metastasis. Patients who have had curative surgery for pancreatic cancer have a more than 70% risk of relapse with a median survival of 22 months. Currently, gemcitabine is U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved as a single agent to be used as adjuvant therapy for these patients with pancreatic cancer to improve their outcomes. On the basis of our results, the combination of VEDT and gemcitabine should be investigated with the goal of increasing the disease-free interval, thereby prolonging the survival of these patients.
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