Combination of erlotinib and naproxen employing pulsatile or intermittent dosing profoundly inhibits urinary bladder cancers
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Abstract

Daily dosing of either non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or EGFR inhibitors has been shown to prevent bladder cancer development in a N-butyl-(4-hydroxybutyl)nitrosamine (OH-BBN) induced rat model. However, these inhibitors cause gastrointestinal ulceration and acneiform rash, respectively, limiting their continuous use in a clinical prevention setting. We studied chemopreventive efficacy of pulsatile dosing of EGFR inhibitor erlotinib (42 mg/kg BW, once/week) combined with intermittent or continuous low doses of the NSAID naproxen (30 mg/kg BW/day, 3 weeks on/off or 128 ppm daily in diet) in the OH-BBN induced rat bladder cancer model. The interventions were started either at one or four weeks (early intervention) or 3 months (delayed intervention) after the last OH-BBN treatment, by which time the rats had developed microscopic bladder lesions. All combination regimens tested as early vs. late intervention led to the reduction of the average bladder tumor weights (54 to 82%; p<0.01 to p<0.0001), a decrease in tumor multiplicity (65 to 85%; p<0.01 to p<0.0001), and a decrease in the number of rats with large palpable tumors (> 200 mg) (83 to 90%; p<0.01 to p<0.0001). Levels of signal transduction markers, Ki-67, cyclin D1, IL1β, pSTAT3 and pERK, were significantly (p<0.05 to p<0.001) reduced in the treated tumors, demonstrating their potential utility as predictive markers for efficacy. These findings demonstrate that significant chemopreventive efficacy could be achieved with alternative intervention regimens designed to reduce the toxicity of agents, and that starting erlotinib and/or naproxen treatments at the time microscopic tumors were present still conferred the efficacy.
Introduction:

Urinary bladder cancer (BC), the fifth most common cancer in humans, is the most expensive cancer to treat because of high rates of recurrence (1,2). The American Cancer Society’s estimate for new bladder cancer cases in the United States for 2019 is 80,470 (1). More than half of all bladder tumors are first found at the non-muscle invasive stage (i.e., in-situ) in which lesions are found only in the inner layer of the bladder wall (3). The majority of patients diagnosed with muscle-invasive transitional cell carcinoma have a low 5-year survival rate of only 5%, particularly in cases with difficult to treat distant metastasis. Recent human genomic analysis of urinary bladder cancers revealed consistent overexpression of EGFR at the RNA and protein level (4-6). Along with EGFR, inflammation is another major pathway that is altered in bladder cancers. Many studies have reported an inverse association with bladder cancer risk for individuals who reported regular use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) (7,8). However, anti-inflammatory NSAIDs are known to cause GI associated toxicities upon long-term administration whereas EGFR inhibitors such as gefitinib and erlotinib tend to cause skin toxicities, (e.g. acneiform rash), making these drugs difficult to employ in a prevention setting (9,10). Among the available preclinical animal models to evaluate the chemopreventive potential of drugs, treatment of rats with the urinary bladder specific carcinogen, hydroxybutyl(butyl)nitrosamine (OH-BBN), induces highly invasive bladder tumors that appear to be histologically similar to human transitional cell carcinoma (11). Gene expression profiling of these tumors showed overlap both at the pathway and gene levels to invasive human breast cancer (12,13). This model has been extensively utilized and shown to be a valid model to determine preventive activity of several agents, including NSAIDs and EGFR inhibitors (12).

Safety and toxicity profiling of drugs are primary factors for selecting suitable agents for cancer chemoprevention, as these agents will be administered to high-risk, asymptomatic individuals for long periods of time (14). To decrease drug toxicity while retaining chemopreventive efficacy, several approaches are currently being explored (14). It has been postulated that by employing pulsatile and intermittent dosing with an EGFR inhibitor and an NSAID, the toxicities associated with these agents (EGFR inhibitor, rash and diarrhea; NSAID, gastric toxicity) should be greatly reduced. The utility of weekly pulsatile dosing of an EGFR inhibitor is further bolstered by clinical observations that in humans, weekly dosing of erlotinib was associated with a decrease in the incidence of acneiform rash as compared to daily dosing (15,16). In our prior studies, we showed that intermittent dosing (3 weeks on/3weeks off) with naproxen was equally effective as daily dosing in the rat bladder cancer model (17). In addition, we showed that pulsatile dosing
with erlotinib (once per week dosing at 7x the daily dose) was equally effective as daily dosing (18).

In the present study, the combination of pulsatile dose of erlotinib (once weekly) plus intermittent dose of naproxen (3 weeks on/3 weeks off) was administered before or after microscopic bladder tumors were formed in order to model strategies to both prevent disease from progression at early stages and to prevent recurrence in bladder cancer survivors.

**Materials and Methods:**

**Animal Model:** All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with, and with the approval of Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). The hydroxybutyl(butyl) nitrosamine (OH-BBN) model for urinary bladder cancer has been used extensively during the last 30 years for the evaluation of compounds for chemopreventive activity (11,17,19-21). Female Fischer-344 rats were received from Envigo (Indianapolis, IN) at 28 days of age and placed on Teklad (4%) (Envigo, Indianapolis, IN) mash diet for the duration of the study.

**Experimental design:** Beginning at eight weeks of age, the rats dosed with the carcinogen received, by gavage, the first of 16 doses of OH-BBN over an eight-week period (2x/week) (Figure 1A). The carcinogen was diluted with ethanol-water (1:4, v/v) so that each dose (150 mg) was contained in a volume of 0.5 ml. The rats were palpated for bladder masses 2x/week and observed daily for bloody urine. Any rat that became moribund was sacrificed. Each study was terminated approximately 10-11 months after the initial dosing with OH-BBN. All rats were sacrificed by CO$_2$ asphyxiation. At necropsy, the urinary bladder of all rats was removed and weighed. All grossly observed lesions in the urinary bladder of the rats were processed for histological classification. In addition, many of the urinary bladder tumors were also fixed for IHC.

**Chemoprevention Efficacy:** Three different long-term efficacy studies were performed to determine the chemopreventive efficacy of different intermittent dosing regimens of erlotinib and naproxen against bladder cancer.

**Protocol 1:** Agents were given on an intermittent schedule that began one week after the final OH-BBN treatment (before microscopic bladder tumors were observed) (Figure 1A). The OH-BBN treated groups were: Group 1, erlotinib, 42 mg/kg BW (1x/week); Group 2, naproxen, 30 mg/kg BW/day (3 weeks on/3 weeks off); Group 3, erlotinib + naproxen (dosing as indicated in


Groups 1 and 2), and Group 4, none (Table 1). Additional groups (Groups 5-8) did not receive the carcinogen, but only the chemopreventive agents as indicated for Groups 1-4 (Table 1). Both erlotinib and naproxen were administered by gavage (0.5 ml/gavage); the vehicle for erlotinib was corn oil and the vehicle for naproxen was saline. The rats were palpated for urinary bladder tumors 2x/week beginning one month after the final OH-BBN treatment and were sacrificed 10 months after the initial OH-BBN treatment.

**Protocol 2:** The agents were given on an intermittent schedule that began three months after the final OH-BBN treatment (at a time when microscopic urinary bladder tumors were present). The OH-BBN treated groups were similar to that in protocol 1 (Table 1). All urinary bladder tumors were collected from rats for histological classification at the termination of the study (11 months after the initial OH-BBN treatment).

**Protocol 3:** The agents were given on an intermittent schedule that began four weeks (one month) after the final OH-BBN treatment. The OH-BBN treated groups (N=21) were: Group 1, erlotinib (42 mg/kg BW), 1x/week; Group 2, naproxen (128 mg/kg diet), daily; Group 3, erlotinib + naproxen as indicated for Groups 1 and 2; and Group 4, none (Table 2). Additional groups did not receive the carcinogen, but only the chemopreventive agents (Groups 5-8) (Table 2). Erlotinib was administered by gavage (0.5 mg/gavage) and naproxen was mixed into powdered (Teklad, 4% fat) diet using a Patterson-Kelly blender with intensifier bar. Diets were prepared every two weeks and stored in a cold room until fed to the rats (new food added 3x/week). Control rats received only the powdered diet. The rats were palpated for urinary bladder tumors 2x/week (beginning two months after the final OH-BBN treatment). The study was terminated 10 months after the initial OH-BBN treatment.

**Histology of bladder tumors:** The gross and histological examination of bladders is critical in studies using OH-BBN as the carcinogen. Multiple tumors often occur, and they are not always observed by gross examination. Briefly, our procedure for histological processing of the rat urinary bladder was as follows: At necropsy, the empty urinary bladder was tied off, weighed, and inflated with 10% formalin. After fixation, the bladder was held next to a high intensity light and grossly observed lesions were noted and removed. The approximate location and size of each lesion was recorded on a diagram of the bladder attached to the necropsy sheet for each animal. Each bladder lesion was separately embedded in a block with its identifying number. Two sections (5-micons) from two different levels were cut from each lesion and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. At diagnosis, the slides from each individual animal were read as a set.
by the pathologist blinded to the identity of treatment groups. Endpoints were cancer incidence, multiplicity, and weight.

**Immunohistochemistry:** After embedding in paraffin blocks, sections (4 microns thick) were placed on positive microscopic slides. The tissues were de-paraffinized with xylene and placed in ethanol. Antigen retrieval used sodium citrate (pH 6.0) and boiling for 20 minutes. Slides were then cooled to room temperature and placed in a humidity chamber. The tissues were covered with peroxidase block for 15 minutes, and then washed with TRIS buffer. The tissues were then incubated with primary antibody for phospho-STAT3, Ki67, pP38 MAPK, cyclin D1, pERK, or IL1β (Abcam, Cambridge, MA) for one hour at room temperature. Processing and staining of tissues were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (DAKO Envision+ kits, Caprin Teria, CA). Tissues were then washed and dehydrated in ethanol and xylene. The images were captured and counted using the Aperio Scan Scope imaging system (Aperio Imaging, Vista, CA). For counting the cells, each area containing cancer cells was randomly circled and analyzed (stained cells divided by total cells counted) by the program within the scan scope. A total of 1000-5000 cells were usually counted.

**Statistical Analyses:** The following statistical analyses were performed: bladder cancers, Log-rank for incidence and Poisson for multiplicity; bladder weights, Wilcoxon rank sums; biomarkers, bladder cancers greater than 200 mg, student’s t-test.

**Results:**

**General health of animals:**

The experimental design and protocols for evaluating chemopreventive efficacy are summarized in Figure 1A and Tables 1 and 2. Development of bladder cancer in rats treated with OH-BBN is shown in Figure 1B and 1C. Differences between the tumor growth upon drug treatment is shown in Figure 1D. All the rats treated with experimental drugs or vehicle had similar body weight gains. As shown in Supplementary Figure 1A-C, there was no significant difference in body weight between the rats treated with and without experimental drugs. No gross observable toxicity was seen in the drug treatment groups. Further histological evaluation of stomach, colon, spleen, and kidney did not show significant toxicities in the drug treatment groups compared to untreated animals indicating that the doses applied in the current study seem to be safe and devoid of toxicities (Supplementary Table 1). The treatment with either agent alone or in combination resulted in the increased incidence and multiplicity of premalignant lesions.
Chemopreventive efficacy of erlotinib and/or naproxen administered one week after the final carcinogen treatment (Protocol 1). Figure 2A shows the effect of erlotinib and/or naproxen on the survival of rats receiving OH-BBN. Table 3 shows the incidence, multiplicity and weights of urinary bladder tumors in the various groups of rats during the study. The mean urinary bladder cancer weights were Group 1 (erlotinib), 272 mg; Group 2 (naproxen), 213 mg; Group 3 (erlotinib + naproxen), 136 mg; and Group 4 (controls), 295 mg (Table 3). As we have done in previous efficacy studies of different agents in this model (17), we determined the number of rats with large urinary bladder tumors (i.e., 200 mg or greater) in each of the groups. As shown in Table 3 and Figure 2B, we observed a 35% (erlotinib), 39% (naproxen), 9% (erlotinib+naproxen), and 58% (controls) incidence of rats with large bladder tumors (≥200 mg). Individually, erlotinib and naproxen showed 8% (p<0.05) and 28% (p<0.05) decreases in the total tumor weights and reduced the number of rats with large bladder tumors by 40% and 33%, respectively (Table 3). Importantly, a significant decrease in the total tumor weights (54%; p<0.01) and number of rats with large bladder tumors (84%; p<0.01) was observed in the combination treatment groups compared to controls (Table 3). Thus, the treatment regimens used to reduce toxicity were effective in decreasing the size of the urinary bladder tumors.

Supplementary Table 2 shows the effects of the agents on various lesions (hyperplasia, and papilloma) of the urinary bladder following histological evaluations. As indicated, the compounds did not greatly alter the incidences of hyperplasia and papilloma (although increases were observed). It appears that the agents prevented the conversion of benign lesions into carcinomas. Further, tumor multiplicity in untreated controls was 2.79 whereas erlotinib, naproxen, and erlotinib+naproxen showed tumor multiplicities of 1.48, 1.2, and 0.96 respectively. The incidence and multiplicity of transitional cell carcinomas were decreased by 42% and 66% (p<0.01) by the combination of agents (Table 3). Overall, all four criteria (incidence, multiplicity, weight, and large cancers) used to indicate efficacy of agents were greatly reduced by the combination of erlotinib and naproxen when administered early during the carcinogenic process (Table 3). Of note, the combination of the two agents was more effective than either agent alone in reducing the total tumor weights (Table 3). The urinary bladder weights of the rats not receiving OH-BBN were approximately 90 mg, with no differences between groups.
Because of the large decrease in the size of the urinary bladder cancers, we performed an IHC study to measure the cell proliferation rate in the treated and untreated tumors. As shown in Figures 2C and Supplementary Figure 2A, the rate of cell proliferation was significantly reduced (p<0.05) in the urinary bladder cancers of the treated rats. The combination of agents significantly reduced the expression of inflammatory marker IL1β as shown in Figure 2D and Supplementary Figure 2F. The effect of the combination of agents on pSTAT3 expression is shown in Figure 2E and Supplementary Figure 2B. As indicated, STAT3 activation was significantly decreased (p<0.001) (Figure 2E and Supplementary Figure 2B). The combination, however, did not significantly alter p38 activation (Figure 2F and Supplementary Figure 2C) suggesting a lack of effect of this treatment combination on the MAP kinase pathway. Further, we observed a significant decrease (p<0.05) in cyclin D1 and pERK in the treatment groups compared to the untreated control group (Figure 2G-H and Supplementary Figures 2D-E).

Delayed treatment with erlotinib and/or naproxen three months after the final carcinogen treatment (Protocol 2). Body weights of the various groups were similar and there were no signs of toxicity during the study although rats receiving erlotinib + naproxen did show varying degrees of body weight loss (3-6%) after starting each “3 weeks naproxen on” treatment (Supplementary Figure 1B). The urinary bladder weights of the rats not receiving OH-BBN were 100-110 mg with no differences between groups. There were no other signs of toxicity.

Supplementary Table 3 shows the effects of the agents on various lesions (hyperplasia and papilloma) of the urinary bladder following histological evaluations. As was observed in Protocol 1, the compounds either alone or in combination caused varying increases in the number of benign lesions (hyperplasia and papilloma), while decreasing the incidence of invasive bladder cancer, suggesting that the treatment regimens likely arrested the progression of premalignant lesions to carcinoma (Supplementary Table 3, Table 4). All four criteria (incidence, multiplicity, weight, and large cancers) were significantly reduced by the combination of erlotinib and naproxen (Table 4). As indicted, the control group (Group 4) had an incidence of urinary bladder tumors of 88% (Table 4). The groups receiving the agents had palpable urinary bladder tumor incidences of 84% (erlotinib), 84% (naproxen), and 52% (erlotinib+naproxen) (Table 4). The tumor multiplicity in untreated controls was 2.71 whereas erlotinib, naproxen, and erlotinib+naproxen showed tumor multiplicities of 1.88, 2.68 and 0.96, respectively. The combination treatment group showed 65% (p<0.01) inhibition of tumor multiplicity compared to the control group. The mean bladder tumor weights were 490 mg (erlotinib), 234 mg (naproxen), and 100 mg (erlotinib+naproxen).
138 mg (erlotinib + naproxen), and 732 mg for Controls (Table 4). Individually, only the naproxen treated group demonstrated a statistically significant decrease (68%; p<0.01) in the total tumor weight. A significant 81% (p<0.01) reduction in the tumor weights was observed with the combination treatment as well. We also determined the number of rats with large urinary bladder tumors (i.e., 200 mg or greater) in each of the groups (Table 4 and Figure 3A) and observed statistically significant inhibitions of large tumor growth of 90% (p<0.01) and 46% (p<0.05) by the combination and naproxen treatment groups, respectively. The combination treatment showed significantly higher inhibition of large bladder tumors compared to individual treatment groups (p<0.01) (Table 4). Thus, initiation of drug treatment late during the carcinogenic process, when microscopic tumors are known to be present, demonstrated preventive efficacy on urinary bladder cancer progression.

**Chemopreventive efficacy of erlotinib and/or naproxen administered four weeks (one month) after the final carcinogen treatment (Protocol 3).** In this protocol, erlotinib was administered by oral gavage and naproxen was administered through diet. The dietary naproxen dose (128 ppm) chosen was roughly one-third of the gavage dose. As shown in Supplementary Figure 1C, body weights of the rats treated with OH-BBN and naproxen and/or erlotinib were similar to those of the controls. There were no signs of toxicity of the agents during the study.

Table 5 shows the incidence of palpable urinary bladder tumors in the various groups. The control group exhibited a 100% incidence of bladder tumor. The tumor incidence in erlotinib, naproxen, and erlotinib+naproxen treated rats was 86%, 95% and 50%, respectively. Many of the rats had small lesions in the urinary bladder at necropsy. Supplementary Table 4 shows the effects of the agents on various lesions (hyperplasia and papilloma) of the urinary bladder following histological evaluation. As observed with Protocols 1 and 2 the compounds caused small increases in the incidences and multiplicities of hyperplasia and papillomas, which reached statistical significance for some of the treatment groups (Supplementary Table 4). Again, it appears that the agents may be preventing the progression of benign or small invasive lesions into large palpable lesions.

The weights of the urinary bladders in each of the OH-BBN treated groups are presented in Table 5. The mean weights were 475 mg (erlotinib), 186 mg (naproxen), 146 mg (erlotinib + naproxen), and 832 mg (controls). The number of rats with large urinary bladder tumors in each of the groups were 57% (erlotinib), 29% (naproxen), 15% (erlotinib+naproxen), and 90% of the
Controls (Table 5 and Figure 3B). Individually, erlotinib and naproxen showed 43% (p<0.05) and 78% (p<0.0001) decreases in the total tumor weights and reduced rats with large bladder tumors by 37% (p<0.05) and 68% (p<0.0001), respectively (Table 5). The combination of agents reduced the total and large tumor weights by 82% and 83% (p<0.0001), respectively. Further, tumor multiplicity in untreated controls was 5.38 whereas erlotinib, naproxen, and erlotinib+naproxen treated rats showed tumor multiplicity of 2.38, 2.67, and 0.8 respectively. The combination treatment group showed 85% (p<0.0001) inhibition of tumor multiplicity compared to the control group.

Discussion:

We have previously shown that single agent regimens with erlotinib or naproxen, when given continuously, were highly effective in the prevention of OH-BBN-induced urinary bladder cancers in rats (11,22). However, because long-term continuous exposure to EGFR inhibitors leads to skin toxicities and diarrhea while continuous exposure to NSAIDs can cause gastrointestinal bleeding and strokes, more optimal cancer chemoprevention regimens have been actively explored through preclinical studies. Some have shown considerable efficacy with reduced toxicity when alternative dosing regimens were utilized in preclinical animal models (14). Better tolerated chemoprevention regimens can be obtained by reducing doses and frequency of administration. Low dose combinations may also achieve substantial efficacy with minimal toxicity by targeting complementary pathways. The combination treatment examined in the current study was more efficacious than either agent alone, as has been observed in the recent clinical trial in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis (23,24). Previously, we have shown that intermittent dosing of naproxen provided similar chemopreventive efficacy as standard daily treatment regimens. In that study, daily, 1 week on/1 week off, or 3 weeks on/3 weeks off administration of naproxen resulted in palpable bladder tumors in 27%, 22%, and 19% of the treated rats, respectively, compared to a 96% incidence of palpable tumors in vehicle-treated rats (P < 0.01) (17). Thus, these studies suggested that the chemopreventive efficacy of naproxen can be maintained with dosing regimens that reduces the GI toxicity of NSAIDs. Further we showed that a large weekly dose of erlotinib (42 or 21 mg/kg bw administered by gavage) was effective in inhibiting mammary cancer incidence and multiplicity, and was comparable to daily 6 mg/kg bw/day dosing of erlotinib (18).
In the present study, doses of erlotinib and naproxen were chosen based on earlier preclinical in vivo studies that are clinically relevant. Particularly, the 42 mg/kg BW pulsatile erlotinib dose in rats is equivalent to a dose of ~75 mg per day in human patients, which is half of the clinical dose (18). Naproxen was administered either by oral gavage (protocols 1 & 2) at 30 mg/kg (three weeks on/off) or continuously in the diet (protocol 3). The dose of naproxen in the diet of 128 ppm is equivalent to a dose of 160 mg in a human weighing 80 Kg (25). This dose is significantly lower than naproxen dosing in humans, in which the over-the-counter adult dose for fever/pain relief is 220 mg orally every 8-12 hours, resulting in a maximum dose of 660 mg in a 24 hr period (25). The naproxen dose (30 mg/kg) employed in protocols 1 and 2 is also lower than the human equivalent dose of 40 mg/kg (17) and was administered intermittently (3 weeks on / 3 weeks off) in order to reduce the toxicity profile. It should also be noted that naproxen has a better safety profile than other NSAIDs with regards to cardiovascular toxicity (26). We evaluated the efficacy of agents individually and in combination in early (one week or one month post last carcinogen treatment) and delayed interventions (three months post final carcinogen treatment) (Figure 1A and Tables 1 & 2). No signs of toxicity were observed in rats treated individually or with combinations of the agents (Supplementary Figure 1). Early intervention by combination treatments (protocols 1 and 3) significantly reduced tumor weights up to 82% and was accompanied by an increase in tumor latency and a decrease in tumor multiplicity (66-85%; p<0.01 to 0.0001) (Tables 3 and 5). In addition, the number of rats with large (>200 mg) tumors (83%-84%; p<0.01 to 0.0001) was also significantly reduced (Tables 3 and 5). Delayed initiation of the combination treatment at the stage when microscopic TCC were visible was highly effective in reducing tumor burden with no observable toxicity and with a reduction in tumor incidence (41%, p<0.01), multiplicity (65%, p<0.01), and tumor weights (81%, p<0.01) (Table 4), similar to what was seen with early intervention Protocol 1 (Table 3).

In order to understand the downstream effects of EGFR and inflammation inhibition in this model, we examined the levels of proliferation, phosphorylated ERK, pSTAT3, and pP38 expression in tumors of rats treated with the combination of erlotinib and naproxen. A significant reduction in Ki67 (p<0.04), IL1β, cyclin D1, pERK, and pSTAT3 (p<0.006) expression was observed compared to control tumors (Figure 2C-H, Supplementary Figure 2). The results suggest a trend towards the inhibition of tumor cell proliferation with the combination treatment, although there was little effect on pP38 expression (Figure 2F). This biomarker data correlate with results from earlier studies showing the effects of these drugs on inflammation and EGFR downstream pathways (17,18,27). Importantly, Inflammation and EGFR pathways are known to synergistically activate oncogenic signaling. The EGFR and inflammatory pathways interact at
several levels, and are involved in carcinogenesis, angiogenesis, and chemoresistance. Studies showed that the activation of the EGFR pathway promotes transcription of the inflammatory genes (28,29). Likewise, the inflammatory signaling pathway activates EGFR phosphorylation (30) and EGFR transcription (31). Prostaglandins transactivate the EGFR by induction of phosphorylation of the EGFR and extracellular signal-regulated kinase (30). Many studies have shown that combination of lower doses of agents demonstrate greater chemopreventive efficacy than individual agents in several organ site cancers (32-39). Previous studies demonstrated that simultaneous targeting of EGFR and inflammatory pathways delays progression of pancreatic cancers (40). In the biomarker analysis, the erlotinib and naproxen combination at pulsatile or intermittent dosing inhibited the expression of pERK, pSTAT3, cyclin D1, Ki67, and IL1β (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 2). Further studies are warranted to evaluate the exact and in-depth mechanism of action of this combination intermittent dosing treatments.

These studies clearly show that pulsatile and intermittent dosing regimens of erlotinib and naproxen at the tested doses provide significant chemopreventive efficacy against OH-BBN induced rat bladder tumors with little or no serious side-effects. Also, it is evident from our studies that continuous administration of agents is not necessary for desirable chemopreventive effects, thus intermittent and combinational approaches can maintain efficacy with reduced toxicity. Although the half-life of naproxen and erlotinib is limited to 3 hrs and ≤8 hrs, respectively, in rats, the weekly treatment of erlotinib yielded effective serum levels for up to 48 hrs (17,18), chemopreventive efficacy was observed when naproxen was administered with either a 3 weeks on/3 weeks off or once a week regimen for erlotinib. Importantly, the lower naproxen dose of 128 ppm given to rats in the diet was observed to be more effective than gavage dosing. It appears that the effects of these drugs on pharmacodynamic markers might be longer than the drug half-life, as seen with our recent studies (41). In this study, pERK was inhibited in Pirc colon polyps for up to 10 days after discontinuing erlotinib treatment, with full recovery on or around day 14 (41), indicating that erlotinib showed prolonged effects on pharmacodynamic biomarkers with an intermittent dosing regimen.

In summary, a significant chemopreventive efficacy was seen when a low dose drug combination was intermittently administered one week, three months, or one-month post carcinogen treatment. The combination of the agents reduced tumor incidence, multiplicity, and tumor weights even when administered three months post OH-BBN treatment, when microscopic tumors are known to be present in this model. Further studies in other animal...
models and in-depth toxicity evaluations are warranted to move this combination regimen to the clinic for the treatment of patients with non-muscle invasive transitional cell carcinoma.
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Table 1. Experimental dosing regimens for protocols 1 and 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th># of Rats / group</th>
<th>OH-BBN</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Regimen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Erlotinib 42 mg/kg BW</td>
<td>1X/week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Naproxen 30 mg/kg BW/day</td>
<td>3 weeks on / 3 weeks off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Erlotinib + Naproxen</td>
<td>As in groups 1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>As in groups 1-4</td>
<td>As in groups 1-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Experimental dosing regimens for protocol 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th># of Rats / group</th>
<th>OH-BBN</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Regimen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Erlotinib 42 mg/kg BW</td>
<td>1X/week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Naproxen 128 mg/kg diet</td>
<td>Daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Erlotinib + Naproxen</td>
<td>As in groups 1 and 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>As in groups 1-4</td>
<td>As in groups 1-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Effects of erlotinib and/or naproxen in the prevention of bladder cancer when agents were started one week after final OH-BBN treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number of Rats</th>
<th>Carcinogen</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Incidence</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>Number Greater than 200 mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>OH-BBN</td>
<td>Erlotinib, 42 mg/kg BW, 1x/week</td>
<td>76% (24%↓)</td>
<td>1.48 (47%↓)</td>
<td>272 (8%↓)</td>
<td>35% (40%↓)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>OH-BBN</td>
<td>Naproxen, 30 mg/kg BW/day (3 weeks on / 3 weeks off)</td>
<td>72% (28%↓)</td>
<td>1.20 (57%↓)</td>
<td>213 (28%↓)</td>
<td>39% (33%↓)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>OH-BBN</td>
<td>Erlotinib + Naproxen (as indicated for Groups 1 and 2)</td>
<td>58% (42%↓)</td>
<td>0.96 (66%↓)</td>
<td>136 (54%↓)</td>
<td>9% (84%↓)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>OH-BBN</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Female Fischer-344 rats received OH-BBN for 8 weeks beginning at 56 days of age. Administration of Erlotinib and/or Naproxen initiated one week after final OH-BBN treatment. Study terminated 10 months after the initial OH-BBN treatment.

\(^a\) Statistically significant from the control group (Group 4) at \(p \leq 0.05\).

\(^b\) Statistically significant from the control group (Group 4) at \(p \leq 0.01\).

\(^c\) Statistically significant from the combination group (Group 3) at \(p \leq 0.05\).
Table 4. Effects of erlotinib and/or naproxen in the prevention of bladder cancer when agents were started three months after final OH-BBN treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number of Rats</th>
<th>Carcinogen</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Incidence</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>Number Greater than 200 mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>OH-BBN</td>
<td>Erlotinib, 42 mg/kg BW, 1x/week</td>
<td>84% (5%↓)</td>
<td>1.88 (31%↓)</td>
<td>490 (33%↓)</td>
<td>58% (29%↓)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>OH-BBN</td>
<td>Naproxen, 30 mg/kg BW/day (3 weeks on / 3 weeks off)</td>
<td>84% (5%↓)</td>
<td>2.68 (1%↓)</td>
<td>234 (68%↓)</td>
<td>44% (46%↓)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>OH-BBN</td>
<td>Erlotinib + Naproxen (as indicated for Groups 1 and 2)</td>
<td>52% (41%↓)</td>
<td>0.96 (65%↓)</td>
<td>138 (81%↓)</td>
<td>8% (90%↓)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>OH-BBN</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Female Fischer-344 rats received OH-BBN for 8 weeks beginning at 56 days of age. Administration of Erlotinib and/or Naproxen initiated three months after final OH-BBN treatment. Study terminated 11 months after the initial OH-BBN treatment. Numbers in parentheses represent percent decrease from the control group (Group 4).

- † Statistically significant from the control group (Group 4) at p ≤ 0.05.
- ‡ Statistically significant from the control group (Group 4) at p ≤ 0.01.
- § Statistically significant from Group 3 (p = 0.0458)
- ¶ Statistically significant from the combination group (Group 3) at p ≤ 0.01.
Table 5. Effects of erlotinib and/or naproxen in the prevention of bladder cancer when agents were started one month after final OH-BBN treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number of Rats</th>
<th>Carcinogen</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Incidence</th>
<th>Multiplicity</th>
<th>Weight (mg)</th>
<th>Number Greater than 200 mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>OH-BBN</td>
<td>Erlotinib, 42 mg/kg BW, 1x/week</td>
<td>86% (14%↓)</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>57% (37%↓)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b,d,e,a,c</td>
<td></td>
<td>b,c,a,c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>OH-BBN</td>
<td>Naproxen, 128 mg/kg diet</td>
<td>95% (5%↓)</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>29% (68%↓)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>a,c,b,d</td>
<td></td>
<td>a,c,b,c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>OH-BBN</td>
<td>Erlotinib + Naproxen (as indicated for Groups 1 and 2)</td>
<td>50% (50%↓)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>15% (83%↓)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>OH-BBN</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Female Fischer-344 rats received OH-BBN for 8 weeks beginning at 56 days of age. Administration of Erlotinib and/or Naproxen initiated one month after final OH-BBN treatment. Study terminated 10 months after the initial OH-BBN treatment.

\(^a\) Statistically significant from the control group (Group 4) at \(p \leq 0.05\).

\(^b\) Statistically significant from the control group (Group 4) at \(p \leq 0.0001\).

\(^c\) Statistically significant from the combination group (Group 3) at \(p \leq 0.01\).

\(^d\) Statistically significant from the combination group (Group 3) at \(p \leq 0.001\).

\(^d\) Statistically significant from Group 2 (\(p = 0.0452\)).
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Figure Legends:

**Figure 1.** Experimental design, dosing regimens and OH-BBN induced rat tumorigenesis. A. Experimental design to evaluate the chemopreventive effects of erlotinib and naproxen in rat urinary bladder cancer model. B. OH-BBN induced bladder cancer progression in rats. C. Progression of bladder cancer in OH-BBN treated rats. D. Photograph showing bladder tumor in treated and untreated rat urinary bladders.

**Figure 2.** Chemopreventive efficacy of erlotinib and/or naproxen in Protocol 1. A. Survival of rats receiving erlotinib and/or naproxen one week post final carcinogen treatment during the chemoprevention study. B. Effect of erlotinib and/or naproxen on the incidence of rats with larger bladder tumors. Individually erlotinib and naproxen showed 40% and 33% inhibition of large bladder cancers whereas the combination treatment reduced the large cancers by 84% (p<0.01). C. Effect of erlotinib and naproxen on cell proliferation and proliferative index. The Ki67 positive proliferation index (PI) was determined by counting the cells where each area containing cancer cells was randomly circled and analyzed and counted for stained cells divided by total cells counted by the program within the scan scope. A total of 1000-5000 cells were usually counted. D-H. Effect of erlotinib and/or naproxen on expression of IL1-β (D), pSTAT3 (E), pP38 (F), cyclin D1 (G), and pERK (H). (* represents p<0.05 and ** p<0.001).

**Figure 3.** Chemopreventive efficacy of erlotinib and/or naproxen in Protocols 2 and 3. A. Effect of erlotinib and/or naproxen on the incidence of rats with larger bladder tumors (Protocol 2). Individually erlotinib and naproxen showed 29% and 46% inhibition of large bladder cancers whereas the combination treatment reduced the large cancers by 90% (p<0.05). B. Effect of erlotinib and/or naproxen on the incidence of rats with larger bladder tumors one month post final carcinogen treatment during the chemoprevention study (Protocol 3). Individually erlotinib and naproxen showed 37% (p<0.05) and 68% (p<0.0001) inhibition of large bladder cancers whereas the combination treatment reduced the large cancers by 83% (p<0.0001).
Figure 1

A. Time course of experimental protocol:
- Age: 4wks, 8wks, 16wks
- Protocol 1: 17wks - 20wks - 28wks
- Protocol 2: 48 or 52 wks
- Protocol 3: 48 or 52 wks
- OH-BBN 16 doses 2x/wk
- Experimental Drugs: Erlotinib / Naproxen
- Gavage
- Sacrifice, Evaluate Efficacy

B. Histological images:
- Normal
- One Month

C. Stages of cancer progression:
- Hyperplasia
- Papilloma
- Carcinoma

D. Comparison of treated and untreated samples.
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